

averaging, the total annual burden hours may not equal the product of the annual number of responses multiplied by the reporting burden per response.)

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Done in Washington, DC, this 2nd day of June 2006.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E6-8934 Filed 6-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Mendocino Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Mendocino County Resource Advisory Committee will meet June 15, 2006 (RAC) in Willits, California. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Approval of minutes, (2) Handout Discussion, (3) Public Comment, (4) Financial Report, (5) Subcommittees, (6) Matters before the group, (7) Discussion—approval of projects, and (8) Next agenda and meeting date.

DATES: The meeting will be held on June 16, 2006, from 9 a.m. to 12 noon.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Mendocino County Museum, located at 400 E. Commercial St., Willits, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roberta Hurt, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Covelo Ranger District, 78150 Covelo Road, Covelo, CA 95428. (707) 983-8503; e-mail rhurt@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff by June 12, 2006. Public comment will have the opportunity to address the committee at the meeting.

Dated: May 25, 2006.

Blaine Baker,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 06-5211 Filed 6-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

DATE AND TIME: Friday, June 16, 2006. 9:30 a.m., Commission Briefing and Meeting.

PLACE: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Room 540, Washington, DC 20425.

STATUS:

Briefing Agency

Commission Briefing: Affirmative Act and Law Schools

- Introductory Remarks by Chairman.
- Speaker's Presentations.
- Questions by Commissioners and Staff Director.

Agenda

- I. Approval of Agenda
- II. Approval of Minutes of May 4, and May 5, 2006 Meetings
- III. Announcements
- IV. Staff Director's Report
- V. Program Planning
 - FY 2008 Statutory Report on Religious Discrimination and Prisoner Rights.
 - Schedule for Briefing on Racially Identifiable School Districts in Omaha, NE.
 - Report from the Briefing on Campus Anti-Semitism.
- VI. Management and Operations
 - Web site: Posting Addendum to Transcript of November 2005 Briefing on Campus Anti-Semitism.
 - Proposed Information Quality Guidelines.
 - Working Group on Briefing Reports.
 - Strategic Planning.
- VII. State Advisory Committee Issues
 - Religious Discrimination and Prisoner Rights.
 - Recharter Package for the North Carolina State Advisory Committee.
- VIII. Future Agenda Items

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: Audrey Wright, Office of the Staff Director (202) 376-7700.

Kenneth L. Marcus,

Staff Director, Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 06-5276 Filed 6-6-06; 3:39 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-831

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic ("garlic") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 8, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary E. Sadler, Esq. or Jim Nunno, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340, or (202) 482-0783, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background:

On February 1, 2006, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on garlic from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 71 FR 5243 (February 1, 2006). The Department received the Notice of Intent to Participate from the Fresh Garlic Producers Association and its individual members: Christopher Ranch LLC; The Garlic Company; Valley Garlic; and Vessey and Company, Inc. (collectively "the domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's Regulations ("Sunset Regulations"). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status

under sections 771(9)(C) and (F) of the Act, as domestic producers and packagers of fresh garlic and a trade association whose members produce and process a domestic like product in the United States. We received complete substantive responses only from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. We received no responses from the respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(A) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order.

Scope of the Order:

The products subject to the antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay.

The scope of this order does not include the following: (a) garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed.

The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. In order to be excluded from the antidumping duty order, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is (1) mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed must be accompanied by declarations to Customs and Border Protection to that effect.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision

Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated June 1, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading "June 2006." The paper copy and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on garlic from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margin:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
PRC-wide	376.67

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 1, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. E6-8940 Filed 6-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(C-427-819)

Low Enriched Uranium from France: Notice of Court Decision and Suspension of Liquidation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 18, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") sustained the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") March 2, 2006, Final Results of Redetermination on Remand pursuant to *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale*

Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States, Slip. Op. 06-3 (CIT, January 5, 2006) ("LEU Remand Redetermination"), which pertains to the Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination on Low Enriched Uranium ("LEU") from France.

Consistent with the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit") in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("*Timken*"), the Department will continue to order the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise, where appropriate, until there is a conclusive decision in this case. If the case is not appealed, or if it is affirmed on appeal, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate all relevant entries from Eurodif S.A./Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires (collectively, "Eurodif" or "respondents").

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 28, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 21, 2001, the Department published a notice of final affirmative determination in the countervailing duty investigation of LEU from France. See *Notice of Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Low Enriched Uranium from France*, 66 FR 65901 (December 21, 2001) ("*LEU Final Determination*"), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Affirmative Countervailing Determination: Low Enriched Uranium from France. The *LEU Final Determination* was subsequently amended. See *Amended Final Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Low Enriched Uranium from France*, 67 FR 6689 (February 13, 2002).

Respondents challenged the Department's final determination before the CIT. The case was later appealed and the Federal Circuit, in *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States*, 411 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("*Eurodif I*"), ruled in favor of respondents. The court panel later clarified its ruling, issuing a decision in *Eurodif S.A., Compagnie Generale Des Matieres Nucleaires, and Cogema Inc., et. al. v. United States*, 423 F.3d 1275