

Lin was sentenced to 42 months in prison and a \$50,000 fine for her involvement in the conspiracy to violate U.S. export laws. OEE has informed me that Wen and Lin remain out of custody, on bond, and reside at a location that is both their home and also the operating address of WE. I now find, based on the continued circumstances that led to the initial issuance of the Order Denying Export Privileges on January 31, 2005, on the evidence that was presented by OEE prior to the Order's renewal on July 31, 2005, and on the additional evidence supplied by OEE, that the renewal of this Order for a period of 180 days is necessary and in the public interest, to prevent an imminent violation of the EAR. All parties to this Order have been given notice of the request for renewal in accordance with section 766.24 of the EAR.

*It Is Therefore Ordered:*

First, that the Respondents, Wen Enterprises, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220; Ning Wen, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220; and Hailin Lin, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Respondents"), and their successors and assigns and when acting on behalf of any of the Respondents, their officers, employees, agents or representatives, ("Denied Persons") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"), or in any other activity subject to the EAR including, but not limited to:

A. Apply for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the EAR;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by

the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Respondents by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective on January 27, 2006 and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 27th day of January, 2006.

**Wendy Wysong,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 06-1003 Filed 2-2-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-570-891**

#### **Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China; Initiation of New Shipper Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 3, 2006.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has determined the request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks and certain parts thereof ("hand trucks") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), received in December 2005, meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review ("POR") of this new shipper review is May 24, 2004, through November 30, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lilit Astvatsatryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6412.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on hand trucks from the PRC was published on December 2, 2004. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 70122 (December 2, 2004). On December 27, 2005, the Department received from Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Since Hardware") a timely request for a new shipper review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1).

Since Hardware certified that it produced and exported hand trucks on which it based its request for a new shipper review.

### Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Since Hardware certified that it did not export hand trucks to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"). Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), Since Hardware certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, they have not been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported hand trucks to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), Since Hardware also certified that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, and provided a complete Section A response as supporting documentation.

In addition to the certifications described above, Since Hardware submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped hand trucks for export to the United States and the date on which hand trucks were first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment and the volume of subsequent shipments; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating a new shipper review for shipments of hand trucks from the PRC produced and exported by Since Hardware. See Memoranda to the File titled, "New Shipper Initiation Checklist" for Since Hardware, dated January 25, 2006.

The POR is May 24, 2004, through November 30, 2005. See 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A). We intend to issue preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days from the date of initiation, and final results of this review no later than 270 days from the date of initiation. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Because Since Hardware has certified that it produced and exported hand trucks on which it based its request for a new shipper review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of hand trucks both produced and exported by Since Hardware, until the completion of the new shipper review, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act.

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit

applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 30, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-1505 Filed 2-2-06; 8:45 am]

(BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S)

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-428-830**

#### **Stainless Steel Bar From Germany: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from Germany. The period of review is March 1, 2004, through February 28, 2005. This review covers imports of stainless steel bar from one producer/exporter.

We have preliminarily found that sales of subject merchandise sold by BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lippendorf GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lugau GmbH, and BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH have been made at less than normal value. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results not later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 3, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brandon Farlander or Andrew Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0182 or (202) 482-1276, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On March 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published an antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from Germany. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Stainless*

*Steel Bar from Germany*, 67 FR 10382 (March 7, 2002) ("*LTFV Final*"). On October 10, 2003, the Department published an amended antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from Germany. See *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and the United Kingdom*, 68 FR 58660 (October 10, 2003).

On March 1, 2005, the Department published its *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 70 FR 9918 (March 1, 2005). On March 31, 2005, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department received a timely request for review from BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lippendorf GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lugau GmbH, and BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH (collectively "BGH"), and from Stahlwerke Ergste Westig GmbH/Ergste Westig South Carolina ("SEW"). On March 31, 2005, Carpenter Technology Corp., Crucible Specialty Metals Division of Crucible Materials Corp., and Electralloy Corp. requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of BGH.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1), we published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on April 22, 2005. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 70 FR 20862 (April 22, 2005). The period of review ("POR") is March 1, 2004, through February 28, 2005.

Sections A, B, C, and D of the Department's antidumping duty questionnaire were sent to BGH on April 25, 2005. Sections A, B, and C of the Department's antidumping duty questionnaire were sent to SEW on April 25, 2005.

On May 9, 2005, BGH requested that it be relieved from the requirement to report affiliated party resales because sales of the foreign like product to affiliated parties during the POR constituted less than five percent of total sales of the foreign like product. On May 25, 2005, we granted BGH's request in accordance with 19 CFR 351.403(d). See Memorandum to Susan Kuhbach, "Reporting of BGH's Home Market Sales by an Affiliated Party," dated May 25, 2005, which is in the Department's Central Records Unit, located in Room B-099 of the main Department building ("CRU").

We received timely responses to the Department's antidumping duty questionnaire from BGH on May 23 and June 22, 2005. We received a timely response to Section A of the Department's antidumping duty