

be sent to Ms. Toppings at WHS/ESD/ Information Management Division, 1777 North Kent Street, RPN, Suite 11000, Arlington, VA 22209-2133.

Dated: May 18, 2007.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**  
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.  
[FR Doc. 07-2593 Filed 5-24-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

[No. USAF-2007-0006]

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by June 25, 2007.

**Title, Form, and OMB Number:** Air Force Academy Request for Secondary School Transcript, USAFA Form 148; OMB Control Number 0701-0066.

**Type of Request:** Extension.

**Number of Respondents:** 7,954.

**Responses Per Respondent:** 1.

**Annual Responses:** 7,954.

**Average Burden Per Response:** 30 minutes for candidate/15 minutes for counselor.

**Annual Burden Hours:** 5,966.

**Needs and Uses:** The collection of information is necessary to obtain data on candidate's background and aptitude in determining eligibility and selection to the Air Force Academy.

**Affected Public:** Individuals or households.

**Frequency:** On occasion.

**Respondent's Obligation:** Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

**OMB Desk Officer:** Ms. Hillary Jaffe.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jaffe at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name, docket

number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**DOD Clearance Officer:** Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings at WHS/ESD/ Information Management Division, 1777 North Kent Street, RPN, Suite 11000, Arlington, VA 22209-2133.

Dated: May 18, 2007.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**  
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.  
[FR Doc. 07-2594 Filed 5-24-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a New Borrow Area for the Martin County Beach Erosion Control Project Located in Martin County, FL

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for a new borrow area for the Martin County Beach Erosion Control Project. In cooperation with Martin County, the study will evaluate alternative sand sources that will maximize shore protection while minimizing environmental impacts.

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, FL 32232-0019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Paul M. DeMarco, by e-mail [Paul.M.DeMarco@saj02.usace.army.mil](mailto:Paul.M.DeMarco@saj02.usace.army.mil) or by telephone at (904) 232-1897.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. **Proposed Action.** The Martin County, FL, Beach Erosion Control project was authorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 passed November 28, 1990 (Pub. L. 101-640) in accordance with the report of the Chief of Engineers dated November 20, 1989. The final Environmental Impact Statement was filed with the

Environmental Protection Agency in 1986. Prior to construction, General Design Memorandum (GDM) dated June 1994 was prepared. The project was authorized for 50 years from date of initial construction in 1996. The authorized plan consisted of restoration of 4 miles of shorefront southward from the St. Lucie County line to near the limit of Stuart Public Park (R1-R25). The previously approved borrow area has been depleted. The DEIS will evaluate various sources of beach quality sand, the impacts from removal of this sand on the environment and coastal processes, and the impacts from nourishing the beach with this sand. Subsequently a final EIS will be published.

b. **Alternatives.** Specific proposed alternatives at this time include hydraulic dredging of beach quality sand from offshore shoals, truck-haul beach fill from upland sources, and no-action.

c. **Scoping Process.** The scoping process as outlined by the Council on Environmental Quality will be utilized to involve Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested persons and organizations. A scoping letter will be sent to the appropriate parties requesting their comments and concerns. Any persons and organizations wishing to participate in the scoping process should contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at (see **ADDRESSES**).

Significant issues to be analyzed to the DEIS would include effects on Federally listed threatened and endangered species, Essential Fish Habitat with particular concern for nearshore hardbottom habitat. Other issue would be health and safety, water quality, aesthetics and recreation, fish and wildlife resources, cultural resources, socio-economic resources, and any issues identified through scoping and public involvement.

The proposed action would be coordinated with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, with the NMFS concerning Essential Fish Habitat, and with the State Historic Preservation Officer.

The proposed action would also involve evaluation for compliance with guidelines pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act; application (to the State of Florida) for Water Quality Certification pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act; certification of state lands, easement, and rights of way; and determination of Coastal Zone Management Act consistency.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the non-Federal sponsor, Martin County, would provide extensive information and assistance on the resources to be impacted and alternatives.

d. *Scoping Meetings*: Public scoping meetings would be held. Exact dates, times, and locations would be published in local papers.

e. *Draft Environmental Impact Statement Availability*: The Draft Environmental Impact Statement would be available on or about October 2007.

Dated: May 19, 2007.

**Stuart J. Appelbaum,**

Chief, Planning Division.

[FR Doc. 07-2580 Filed 5-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-AS-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6687-3]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

#### Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060507, ERP No. D-FHW-J40175-UT, South Logan to Providence Transportation Corridor Project, Improvements to 100 East Street between 300 South (Logan) to Providence Lane (100 North) in Providence, Funding and Right-of-Way Grant, Cities of Logan and Providence, Cache County, UT.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to wetlands, floodplains, and air impacts related to PM 2.5. EPA is also concerned about cumulative impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070053, ERP No. D-AFS-L65533-ID, Sun Valley Resort (Bald Mountain) 2005 Master Plan—Phase I Project, Implementation, Special-Use Permits, Sawtooth National Forest, Blaine County, ID.

*Summary*: EPA raised environmental concerns with the impacts to water quality, erosion, and changes stream

flows. Also, the final EIS should address cumulative impacts associated with future development and expanded snowmaking operations.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070071, ERP No. D-USA-D11041-VA, Fort Belvoir 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Recommendations and Related Army Actions, Implementation, Fairfax County, VA.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns about natural resources impacts. EPA requested additional information on the locations of forest removal and habitat loss.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070077, ERP No. D-AFS-J65376-SD, Mitchell Project Area, To Implement Multiple Resource Management Actions, Mystic Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Pennington County, SD.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns about human health exposure to pollutants from smoke and impacts to air quality, and impacts from road construction to water quality.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070105, ERP No. D-USA-D15001-MD, Fort George G. Meade Base Realignment and Closure 2005 and Enhanced Use Lease (EUL) Actions, Implementation, Anne Arundel, Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's Counties, MD.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the natural resources impacts. EPA requested additional information on upland habitat, wetlands, surface water, and wildlife. EPA also recommends that the cumulative impact discussion be expanded to include water and wetland resources.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070111, ERP No. D-HUD-L85028-WA, Westpark Redevelopment Master Plan, Redevelop of 82-acre Site to create a Mixed-Use, Mixed-Income Pedestrian Oriented Urban Community, Funding and US Army COE Section 10 Permit, City of Bremerton, Kitsap County, WA.

*Summary*: EPA utilized a screening tool to conduct a limited review of the EIS and, based on the screen, we do not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070114, ERP No. D-USA-G15001-NM, Cannon Air Force Base (AFB), Proposal to Beddown, or Locate Air Force Special Operations

Command (AFSOC), Implementation, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC), NM.

*Summary*: EPA had no objections to the proposed action. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070124, ERP No. D-FHW-C40169-NY, NY-112 Reconstruction Project, From I-495 to NY-25 Improve Safety and Mobility, Town of Brookhaven, Suffolk County, NY.

*Summary*: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070079, ERP No. DS-AFS-L65509-WA, School Fire Salvage Recovery Project, To Clarify Definitions of Live and Dead Trees, Implementation, Pomeroy Ranger District, Umatilla National Forest, Columbia and Garfield Counties, WA.

*Summary*: While EPA has no objections to the proposed action, EPA did recommend that the Forest Service monitor the survival of fire-damaged trees across the project area (both inside and outside of sale units) using the result to validate and calibrate the Scott Guidelines.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070113, ERP No. DS-TVA-E06008-TN, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 2, Completion and Operation, Updated Information on Extensive Environmental Record, Rhea County, TN.

*Summary*: EPA expressed concern about radioactive waste disposition after 2008 and the proposed Dry Cask storage plans. EPA requested the radiological monitoring of all plant effluents along with appropriate storage and disposition of radioactive waste.

Rating EC1.

#### Final EISs

EIS No. 20070101, ERP No. F-FHW-F40430-IN, US-31 Kokomo Corridor Project, Preferred Alternative is J, Transportation Improvement between IN-26 and U.S. 35 Northern Junction, City of Kokomo and Center Township, Howard and Tipton Counties, IN.

*Summary*: EPA continues to express concern that adequate mitigation is not being proposed. EIS No. 20070117, ERP No. F-AFS-D65036-PA, Allegheny National Forest, Proposed Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Preferred Alternative is Cm, Implementation, Elk, Forest, McKean and Warren Counties, PA.

*Summary*: EPA still has concerns with the potential for adverse impacts from oil and gas development to water quality and wildlife resources. EPA encourages the Forest Service to work