

VI.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements: Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following: Office of Management and Budget Circular A-122, "Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations."

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21, "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions".

OMB Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments".

OMB Circular No. A-110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A-102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.

OMB Circular No. A-133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-profit Organizations.

Please reference the following Web sites for additional information:

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants>;
<http://exchanges.state.gov/education/grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI>.

VI.3. Reporting Requirements: You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus two copies of the following report: A final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award.

Grantees will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. (Please refer to IV. Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3.d.3) above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.)

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this announcement, contact: Dorothy Mora, Educational Information and Resources Branch, ECA/A/S/A, Room 349, ECA/A/S/A-08-01, U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547, phone: 202-453-8868, fax: 202-453-8890, e-mail: MoraDD@state.gov.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the above title and number ECA/A/S/A-08-01.

Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

VIII. Other Information

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

Dated: May 23, 2007.

C. Miller Crouch,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E7-10475 Filed 5-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA.

SUMMARY: This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(J)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, along State Route 52 from east of Interstate 15 to Mast Boulevard in the County of San Diego, State of California. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency action subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(J)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before November 27, 2007. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for

filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Healow, Project Development Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 650 Capitol Mall #4-100, Sacramento, CA 95814, weekdays between the hours of 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., telephone 916-498-5849, *E-mail:* Steve.Healow@fhwa.dot.gov or David Nagy, Environmental Branch Chief, California Department of Transportation, MS 242, 4050 Taylor Street, San Diego, CA 92110, *Phone:* (619) 688-0224, *E-mail:* david.nagy@dot.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the FHWA has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(J)(1) by issuing a Finding of No Significant Impact constituting approval pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act for the following highway project in the State of California. The project will widen State Route 52 from 12 to 24 feet into the median from east of Interstate 15 (Postmile 7.4) to Mast Boulevard (Postmile 13.3), a distance of 5.8 miles to accommodate an extra lane in each direction. The project proposes to increase capacity by adding a lane in both eastbound and westbound directions. The project would relieve peak hour traffic congestion, meet anticipated traffic increases, and improve traffic flow on State Route 52. [FHWA File #: 11-SD-52, PM 7.5/13.3] The actions by the Federal agency and the laws under which such actions were taken are described in the Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project, approved on April 24, 2007, in the FHWA Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) issued on April 24, 2007 and in other documents in the FHWA project records. The FEA, FONSI, and other project records are available by contacting FHWA or the California Department of Transportation at the addresses provided above.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. *General:* National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321-4351].
2. *Air:* Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q)].
3. Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703-712].
4. *Historic and Cultural Resources:* Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*].
5. Clean Water Act (Section 401) [33 U.S.C. 1251-1377].

6. Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations.

7. E.O. 13112 Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. § 139(l)(1).

Issued on: May 22, 2007.

David Tedrick,

*Environmental Program Coordinator,
Sacramento, CA.*

[FR Doc. E7-10388 Filed 5-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2007-28261]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter Multipurpose Passenger Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter multipurpose passenger vehicles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter multipurpose passenger vehicles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is July 2, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.] Anyone is able to search the

electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-3151).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

Autostadt West (Autostadt) of Rancho Cordova, CA (Registered Importer 06-346) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter multipurpose passenger vehicles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which Autostadt believes are substantially similar are 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Vanagon multipurpose passenger vehicles that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter multipurpose passenger vehicles to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar

with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.

Autostadt submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter multipurpose passenger vehicles, as originally manufactured, conform to many FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 1986 and 1987 Volkswagen Transporter multipurpose passenger vehicles are identical to their U.S.-certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect*, 103 *Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic Brake Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 107 *Reflecting Surfaces*, 116 *Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids*, 119 *New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles Other than Passenger Cars*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 201 *Occupant Protection in Interior Impact*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 203 *Impact Protection for the Driver from the Steering Control System*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 211 *Wheel Nuts, Wheel Discs and Hub Caps*, 212 *Windshield Mounting*, 301 *Fuel System Integrity*, and 302 *Flammability of Interior Materials*.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays*: (a) Installation of an indicator lamp lens cover inscribed with the word "brake" in the instrument cluster in place of the one inscribed with the international ECE warning symbol; (b) installation of seat belt warning telltale; and (c) replacement or conversion of the speedometer to read in miles per hour.

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment*: installation of U.S.-model: (a) Headlamp assemblies; (b) taillamp assemblies; (c) turn signal lamp assemblies; and (d) front and rear side-mounted reflex reflectors.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirrors*: Installation of a U.S.-model passenger side rearview mirror, or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of that mirror.