# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### [ER-FRL-6687-8]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

### Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070085, ERP No. D–USN– K13000–GU, Kilo Wharf Extension (MILCON P–52), To Provide Adequate Berthing Facilities for Multi-Purpose Dry Cargo/Ammunition Ship (the T– AKE), Apra Harbor Naval Complex, Mariana Island, GU

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to coral reef ecosystems and recommended additional mitigation for sedimentation impacts, evaluation of a less-damaging alternative, and selection of Sella Bay coral reef restoration as compensatory mitigation. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070091, ERP No. D–NPS– F65066–MN, Pipestone National Monument General Management Plan, Implementation, Pipestone County, MN

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about habitat, historic preservation, land use, threatened and endangered species, and noise. EPA suggested modifications to existing alternatives, and recommended green architectural features. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070109, ERP No. D–NGB– E11062–MS, Camp Shelby Joint Force Training Center, Implementation of Installation Mission Support Activities, Renewal of Special Use Permit, DeSoto National Forest, in portions of Forrest, George and Perry Counties, MS

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetland and water quality impacts associated with the proposed action. EPA supports a comprehensive monitoring program to ensure that the ongoing impacts from military training are assessed and appropriately addressed/mitigated once identified. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070115, ERP No. D–FAA– E51052–FL, Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport, Proposed Development and Extension of Runway 9R/27L and other Associated Airport Projects, Funding, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, FL

Summary: EPA expressed concern about aircraft noise impacts and air quality impacts related to PM2.5. EPA recommends that the final EIS discuss approaches to minimize and mitigate noise and air quality impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070123, ERP No. D–IBR– K31019–CA, North Sonoma County Agricultural Reuse Project, Construct and Operate a Recycled Water to Agricultural Lands, Sonona County, CA

*Summary:* EPA expressed concern about air and water quality impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070072, ERP No. DA– FHW–E40339–NC, NC 12 Replacement of Herbert C. Bonner Bridge (Bridge No. 11) Revisions and Additions, over Oregon Inlet Construction, Funding, U.S. Coast Guard Permit, Special-Use-Permit, Right-of-Way Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, Dare County, NC

Summary: EPA expressed concern about impacts to a national wildlife refuge and national seashore and questioned the long-term effects to water quality and migratory birds. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070063, ERP No. DS–USN– D52000–00, Introduction of F/A 18 E/F (Super Hornet) Aircraft, Updated Information, Construction and Operation of an Outlying Landing Field, Naval Air Station (NAS) Oceana, VA; Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Cherry Point, NC

Summary: EPA has concerns about the potential environmental impacts of locating an outlying landing field in close proximity to the nationallysignificant Pocosin Lakes National Wildlife Refuge. EPA recommends that the Navy reconsider other available alternatives. Rating EC2. FINAL EISs

EIS No. 20070099, ERP No. F–SFW– K65313–CA, San Joaquin Valley Operations and Maintenance Program Habitat Conservation Plan, Application for Incidental Take Permits, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Fresno, Kings, Kern Mariposa, Madera and Tulare Counties, CA

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070148, ERP No. F–FHW– E40796–NC, U.S 64 Corridor Project, Transportation Improvements in the Vicinity of the City of Asheboro and Improved Access to the NC Zoological Park, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Project No. R–2536, Randolph County, NC Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about stream/ wetland impacts, terrestrial forest impacts, and short-term air quality impacts. EPA requested additional interchange design considerations to avoid and minimize impacts to streams and wetlands.

EIS No. 20070156, ERP No. F–NOA– K90031–CA, Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary (CINMS) Project, Establishment of No-ake and Limited-Take Marine Zones, Protection of Sanctuary Biodiversity, CA

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070161, ERP No. F–IBR– G39046–00, Upper Rio Grande Basin Water Operations Review, Preferred Alternative E–3, To Develop an Integrated Plan for Water Operations at the Existing Facilities, NM, CO and TX

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the pareparing agency.

EIS No. 20070162, ERP No. F–FRC– G03033–LA, Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline Project, Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, Construction and Operation, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Evangeline, Cameron, and Acadia Parishes, LA

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. EIS No. 20070126, ERP No. FS-AFS-

EIS No. 20070126, ERP No. FS–AFS– K65286–CA, Watdog Project, Preferred Alternative is B, Feather River Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Butte and Plumas Counties, CA

Summary: EPA has continuing concerns about cumulative impacts to watersheds and short-term impacts to old-forest species, and continues to recommend a less-intensive harvest alternative.

Dated: June 5, 2007.

### Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7–11102 Filed 6–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### [ER-FRL-6687-6]

### Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements.

Filed 05/28/2007 Through 06/01/2007. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20070220, Final EIS, BLM, WY, Casper Field Office Planning Area

Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Natrona, Converse, Goshen, and Platte Counties, WY, Wait Period Ends: 07/09/2007, Contact: Linda Slone 307–261–7520

- EIS No. 20070221, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Butte Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Beaverhead, Broadwater, Deerlodge, Gallatin, Jefferson, Lewis and Clark, Silver Bow and Park Counties, MT, Comment Period Ends: 09/06/2007, Contact: Tim LaMarr 406–533–7645
- EIS No. 20070222, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Grizzly Vegetation and Transportation Management Project, Proposes Timber Harvest, Prescribed Burning, Road Maintenance, and Transportation Management Actions, Three Rivers Ranger District, Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, MT, Comment Period Ends: 07/23/2007, Contact: Kathy Mohar 406-295-4693 EIS No. 20070223, Second Final Supplement, AFS, CA, Empire Vegetation Management Project, Reducing Fire Hazards, Harvesting of Trees Using Group-Selection (GS) and Individual Trees Selection (ITS) Methods, Mt. Hough Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA, Wait Period Ends: 07/09/ 2007, Contact: Gary Rotta 530-283-0555
- EIS No. 20070224, Final EIS, BLM, CA, Sierra Resource Management Plan, Provide Direction for Managing Public Lands, Several Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: 07/09/2007, Contact: Sandra McGinnis 916–985–4474
- EIS No. 20070225, Fifth Final Supplement, AFS, 00, Northern Spotted Owl Management Plan, Removal or the Modification to the Survey and Manage Mitigation Measures, Standards and Guidelines (to the Northwest Forest Plan) New Information to Address Three **Deficiencies Final Supplemental EIS** (2004), Northwest Forest Plan, OR, WA, and CA, Wait Period Ends: 07/ 09/2007 Contact: Alan Christensen 503-808-2922 EIS No. 20070226, Final Supplement, AFS, MT, Frenchtown Face Ecosystem **Restoration Project**, Additional Information Maintenance and Improvement of Forest Health, Risk Reduction of Damage Insects and Disease, Lolo National Forest, Ninemile Ranger District, Missoula County, MT, Wait Period Ends: 07/09/ 2007, Contact: Gary Edson 406-626-5201
- EIS No. 20070227, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Proposed Marin Headlands and Fort Baker Transportation Infrastructure and Management Plan,

Implementation, Marin County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/07/2007, Contact: Steve Ortega 415–561–4841

- EIS No. 20070228, Final EIS, AFS, NM, Canadian River Tamarisk Control, Proposes to Control the Nonnative Invasive Species Tamarisk (also Known as salt cedar) Cibola National Forest, Canadian River, Harding and Mora Counties, New Mexico, Wait Period Ends: 07/09/2007, Contact: Keith Baker 505–346–3820
- EIS No. 20070229, Draft EIS, AFS, 00, Nebraska and South Dakota Black-Tailed Prairie Dog Management, To Mange Prairie Dog Colonies in an Adaptive Fashion, Nebraska National Forest and Associated Units, Including Land and Resource Management Plan Amendment 3, Dawes, Sioux, Blaines Counties, NE and Custer, Fall River, Jackson, Pennington, Jones, Lyman, Stanley Counties, SD, Comment Period Ends: 07/23/2007, Contact: Michael E. McNeill 605–745–4107
- EIS No. 20070230, Draft EIS, FHW, NY, NYS Route 17 at Exit 122 Interchange Project, To Improve the Safety and Operation, Right-of-Way Acquisition, Town of Wallkill, Orange County, NY, Comment Period Ends: 07/25/2007, Contact: Robert Arnold 518–431–4127
- EIS No. 20070231, Draft EIS, UAF, 00, Common Battlefield Airmen Training (CBAT) Program, Proposes to Implement the CBAT Program at One of Three Installations: Moody Air Force Base (AFB), near Valosta, GA; Barkdale AFB in Bossier City, LA; and Arnold AFB near Manchester, TN, Comment Period Ends: 07/27/2007, Contact: Debra Harkiewicz 210–652– 3959

Dated: June 5, 2007.

### Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. E7–11104 Filed 6–7–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8322-2]

## Availability of FY 06 Grantee Performance Evaluation Reports for the Eight States of EPA Region 4 and 15 Local Agencies, and FY 05 Grantee Performance Evaluation Reports for Four Local Agencies

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of Clean Air Act, section 105 grantee performance evaluation reports.

SUMMARY: EPA's grant regulations (40 CFR 35.115) require the Agency to evaluate the performance of agencies which receive grants. EPA's regulations for regional consistency (40 CFR 56.7) require that the Agency notify the public of the availability of the reports of such evaluations. EPA performed end-of-year evaluations of eight state air pollution control programs (Alabama Department of Environmental Management; Florida Department of **Environmental Protection; Georgia** Department of Natural Resources; Commonwealth of Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection; Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality; North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural **Resources; South Carolina Department** of Health and Environmental Control; and Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation) and 15 local programs (City of Huntsville Division of Natural Resources, AL; Jefferson County Department of Health, AL; Broward County Environmental Protection Department, FL; City of Jacksonville Environmental Quality Division, FL; Hillsborough County Environmental Protection Commission, FL; Miami-Dade County Air Quality Management Division, FL; Palm Beach County Health Department, FL; Pinellas County Department of Environmental Management, FL; Forsyth County Environmental Affairs Department, NC; Mecklenburg County Land Use and Environmental Services Agency, NC; Western North Carolina Regional Air Quality Agency, NC; Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau, TN; Memphis-Shelby County Health Department, TN; Knox County Department of Air Quality Management, TN; and Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County Public Health Department, TN). The 23 evaluations were conducted to assess the agencies' FY 06 performance under the grants awarded by EPA under authority of section 105 of the Clean Air Act. EPA Region 4 has prepared reports for each agency identified above and these reports are now available for public inspection. The evaluation for the remaining local government (Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, KY) will be published at a later date.

In addition, EPA performed end-ofyear evaluations in FY 05 of four local air pollution control programs (Broward County Environmental Protection Department, FL; Pinellas County Department of Environmental Management, FL; Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, KY; and