

Club, Dover Township, York County, Pa. Modification of surface water withdrawal approval (Docket No. 20020827).

Public Hearing—Enforcement Action—Settlement

South Slope Development Corporation (Docket No. 19991103). The Commission accepted a settlement proposal from South Slope Development Corporation for certain docket violations occurring at the Song Mountain Ski Resort in the Town of Preble, Cortland County, New York.

Public Hearing—Revision of Comprehensive Plan

The Commission revised the SRBC Comprehensive Plan by including the following projects: (1) Whitney Point Lake Section 1135 Project Modification; and (2) Lancashire No. 15 AMD Treatment Plant. Both of these projects will provide releases of water for environmental improvement and low flow augmentation.

Authority: Pub. L. 91–575, 84 Stat. 1509 *et seq.*, 18 CFR parts 806, 807, and 808.

Dated: June 19, 2007.

Thomas W. Beauduy,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. E7–12618 Filed 6–28–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Assessment: Jackson and Jennings Counties, IN

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent (NOI).

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that FHWA will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) to determine the need and feasibility of improvements to U.S. 50 in Jackson and Jennings Counties in Indiana. This project will adhere to the requirements of Section 6002 of SAFETEA–LU so that the steps completed during the EA process will not need to be revisited if the project is elevated to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in the future. The U.S. 50 improvement corridor is approximately 18 miles in length, running from the western terminus at I–65, near Seymour in Jackson County, to the eastern terminus near the Jennings/Ripley County Line, east of North Vernon. The objectives of this study are to assess the need for and

feasibility of improvements to the U.S. 50 corridor as well as other alternatives for improving mobility and alleviating congestion in the urban area boundary of North Vernon and in the general project vicinity.

DATES: Comments on the scope of the EA for the proposed project should be forwarded no later than July 30, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to Carl D. Camacho, P.E., Project Manager, Bernardin, Lochmueller & Associates, Inc. (BLA), 6125 South East Street (US 31 South), Indianapolis, IN 46227. He can be reached by telephone at (317) 222–3880 or by e-mail at ccamacho@blainc.com.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry Heil, Environmental Specialist, FHWA, at (317) 226–7480; or Steve Smith, Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) Project Manager, at (317) 232–5646.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with INDOT, will prepare an EA to determine the need and feasibility of improvements to U.S. 50 in Jackson and Jennings Counties in Indiana. The U.S. 50 improvement corridor is approximately 18 miles in length, running from the western terminus at I–65, near Seymour in Jackson County, to the eastern terminus near the Jennings/Ripley County Line, east of North Vernon. Issues prompting this study include high through traffic volumes (especially trucks) on U.S. 50 through downtown North Vernon, high crash frequency along U.S. 50 from U.S. 31 to the east urban boundary of North Vernon, access to existing and potential commercial and industrial economic growth areas, statewide and regional transportation system mobility and development of the Muscatatuck Urban Training Center (MUTC) east of North Vernon near Butlerville. The objectives of this study are to assess the feasibility of improvements to the U.S. 50 corridor, as well as other alternatives, for improving mobility and alleviating congestion in the urban area boundary of North Vernon and in the general project vicinity. This study will conform to Indiana's Streamlined EIS Procedures and the new SAFETEA–LU Section 6002 requirements.

Environmental Issues: Possible environmental impacts include displacement of commercial and residential properties, increased noise in some areas, decreased noise in other areas, effects to historical properties or archaeological sites, watershed impacts, impacts to water resources, wetlands, prime farmland, sensitive biological

species and habitat, land use compatibility impacts and impacts to agricultural lands.

Alternatives: The EA will consider alternatives that include the No-Build (Do Nothing) Alternative as well as a full range of build alternatives ranging from transportation system management improvements to major capital investments on existing and new alignment.

Scoping and Comment: FHWA encourages broad participation in the EA process and review of the resulting environmental documents. Comments, questions, and suggestions related to the project and potential socioeconomic and environmental concerns are invited from all interested agencies and the public at large to ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action and all reasonable alternatives are considered and all significant issues are identified. These comments, questions, and suggestions should be forwarded to the address listed above.

Early Coordination Letters were sent to the appropriate Federal, State and local agencies on January 31, 2007, describing the proposed action. An invitation letter was sent to potential Participating Agencies inviting the agencies to be Participating Agencies in the study, encouraging agency comments and suggestions concerning the proposed project, and further defining the roles of Participating Agencies. Existing and future conditions and issues within the project area have been identified and presented to the public in a widely advertised Public Information Meeting held in North Vernon, Indiana, on Thursday, February 8, 2007. The draft purpose and need for the project has been developed and preliminary alternatives identified. The purpose and need and preliminary alternatives are currently available for public review and comment. A Public Information Meeting was held on June 26, 2007 and a Resource Agency Meeting was held on June 29, 2007. Agencies and the public will also have an opportunity to comment when the preliminary alternatives have been evaluated and screened and again upon identification of the preferred alternative(s).

Notices of availability for the purpose and need and identification of preliminary alternatives, evaluation and screening of preliminary alternatives, and identification of preferred alternative(s) are being provided through direct mail, e-mail, the project Web site at <http://www.us50northvernon.org> and other media. Notification is also being sent to Federal, State, local agencies, persons

and organizations that submit comments or questions. Precise schedules and locations for public meetings will be announced in the local news media and the project Web site. Interested individuals and organizations may request to be included on the mailing list for distribution of meeting announcements and associated information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to the program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 23 CFR 771.123; 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on: June 25, 2007.

Robert F. Tally, Jr.,

Division Administrator, Indianapolis, Indiana.

[FR Doc. E7-12629 Filed 6-28-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on a Proposed Highway Project in Arizona

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.

SUMMARY: This notice announces actions taken by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). These actions relate to the proposed construction of the approximately 24-mile-long Yuma Area Service Highway, which has been designated as State Route 195 by the State Transportation Board in Arizona. This includes new roadway from the planned commercial International Port of Entry, located near San Luis, Arizona on the US/Mexico border, to Interstate 8. The alignment follows Avenue E north for about 2 miles to County 23rd Street, east on County 23rd Street for about 8 miles, north for about 9 miles within the westernmost portion of the Barry M. Goldwater Range, and continues north for about 3.5 miles between Avenue 6E and Avenue 7E to the existing Interstate 8/Araby Road Traffic Interchange. The actions being taken grant approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency

actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed by December 26, 2007. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steve Thomas, Environmental Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration, One Arizona Center, Suite 410, 400 East Van Buren Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2285 weekdays 7 a.m. to 4 p.m. (Mountain Standard Time) at (602) 379-3645, extension 117, fax (602) 379-3608, steve.thomas@fhwa.dot.gov. Ms. Melissa Maiefski, Project Environmental Coordinator and Monitor, Environmental Planning Group, Arizona Department of Transportation, 1221 South 2nd Avenue, Mail Drop T100, Tucson, Arizona 85713-1602, weekdays 7 a.m. to 4 p.m. (Mountain Standard Time) at (520) 388-4250, fax (520) 388-4255, mmaiefski@azdot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the FHWA and other Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing approval for the proposed construction of the Yuma Area Service Highway in southwest Arizona. The highway will be approximately 24 miles in length, have two travel lanes in each direction, and generally have a right-of-way width of 240- to 280-feet with wider right-of-way at traffic interchanges. FHWA is the Federal lead agency for the Environmental Assessment, which was prepared in conjunction with the Arizona Department of Transportation and the Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization. The Bureau of Land Management, US Bureau of Reclamation, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, and the US Navy served as cooperating agencies. The project would facilitate existing and future travel and movement of goods between the US/Mexico border crossing and Interstate 8, remove commercial traffic and hazardous cargo from populated and congested areas, relieve existing and anticipated future congestion on US 95 through local communities, and reduce the potential for increased traffic accidents in populated areas. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Assessment for the project, approved on August 11, 2005, a Finding of No Significant Impact approved on May 11, 2007, and in other

documents in the FHWA project files. The Final Environmental Assessment and other documents in the FHWA project files are available by contacting the FHWA or the Arizona Department of Transportation at the addresses provided above. The FHWA Final Environmental Assessment can be viewed and downloaded from the following Web site: <http://www.azdot.gov/highways/EEG/DocumentsIndex.asp>.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. *General:* National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321-4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].

2. *Air:* Clean Air Act 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q).

3. *Water:* Clean Water Act 33 U.S.C. 1251-1387.

4. *Noise:* Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise, 23 CFR 772.

5. *Wildlife:* Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531-1544 and Section 1536]; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661-667(d)]; Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703-712].

6. *Historic and Cultural Resources:* Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)-470(ll)]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469-469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 3001-3013].

7. *Social and Economic:* Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)-2000(d)(1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201-4209]; The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended; Metropolitan Planning Regulations, 23 CFR 450.318.

8. *Hazardous Materials:* Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675; Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA); Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6901-6992(k).

9. Section 4(f) of the US Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303].

10. *Executive Orders:* E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority