

Estimated average annual burden hours per THPO HPF grant-related Applicant/Grantee for all responses: 21 hours.

Estimated total annual average burden hours for all THPO HPF grant-related respondents: 1,217 hours.

Estimated average burden hours in the CLG program per response: 50 minutes.

Estimated average burden hours in the State inventory program per response: 40 minutes.

Estimated average burden hours in the Federal agency consultation tracking program per response: 10 minutes.

Estimated average burden hours in other performance reporting per response: 2 hours.

Estimated average burden hours in the State Program Review program per response: 90 hours.

Estimated average annual burden hours per partner for all non grant-related responses: 432 hours.

Estimated annual burden on all respondents for all non grant related responses: 33,565 hours.

Estimated total annual reporting burden: 36,351 hours per year.

Dated: July 25, 2007.

Leonard E. Stowe,

NPS, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 07-3740 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-EN-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

60-day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites public comments on an extension of a currently approved information collection (OMB #1024-0037).

DATES: Public comments on the proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) will be accepted on or before October 1, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Francis P. McManamon, Manager, Archeology Program, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW. (2275), Washington, DC 20240. Phone: 202/354-2123; Fax: 202/

371-5102; or by e-mail at fp_mcmamon@nps.gov. Also, you may send comments to Leonard Stowe, NPS Information Collection Clearance Officer, 1849 Street, NW. (2605), Washington, DC 20240, or by e-mail at leonard_stowe@nps.gov. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Mudar, Archeology Program, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW. (2275), Washington, DC 20240. Phone: 202/354-2103; Fax: 202/371-5102; or by e-mail at karen_mudar@nps.gov. You are entitled to a copy of the entire ICR package free of charge.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Archeology Permits and Reports—43 CFR parts 3 and 7.

Form Number(s): DI-1926 (permit application), DI-1991 (permit form).

OMB Number: 1024-0037.

Expiration Date: January 31, 2008.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Description of Need: Section 4 of the Archeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA) of 1979 (16 U.S.C. 470cc), and Section 3 of the Antiquities Act (AA) of 1906 (16 U.S.C. 432), authorize any individual or institution to apply to Federal land managing agencies to scientifically excavate or remove archeological resources from public or Indian lands. 43 CFR part 7 for ARPA, and 43 CFR part 3 for the AA, ensure that the resources are scientifically excavated or removed and deposited, along with associated records, in a suitable repository for preservation. Section 13 of ARPA (16 U.S.C. 47011) requires that the Secretary of the Interior report annually to the Congress on archeological activities conducted pursuant to the Act. The information collected is reported periodically to Congress and is used for land management purposes. The obligation to respond is required to obtain or retain benefits.

Comments Are Invited on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden to respondents, including use of automated information collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address,

or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Description of Respondents:

Respondents are those individuals or organizations wishing to excavate or remove archeological resources from public or Indian lands.

Estimated Average Number of Respondents: 700 per year.

Estimated Average Number of Responses: 2,100 per year.

Frequency of Response: 3 per respondent.

Estimated Average Time Burden per Respondent: 2.5 hours per respondent.

Estimated Total Annual Reporting Burden: 1,750 hours per year.

Dated: July 12, 2007.

Leonard E. Stowe,

NPS, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 07-3741 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-53-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Big Cypress National Preserve Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee; Notice of Establishment

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of establishment.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of the Interior is giving notice of the establishment of the Big Cypress National Preserve Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee to offer recommendations, alternatives and possible solutions to management of off-road vehicles at Big Cypress National Preserve.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Gustin, Superintendent, Big Cypress National Preserve, 33100 Tamiami Trail E, Ochopee, Florida 34141; 239-695-1103.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Big Cypress National Preserve Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee has been established as directed in the *Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan, 2000*. This plan guides the National Park Service in its management of recreational off-road vehicle (ORV) use in Big Cypress National Preserve, and tiers off of the Preserve's 1991 General Management

Plan. The National Park Service agreed to prepare an ORV management plan as part of a settlement agreement negotiated in 1995 between the Florida Biodiversity Project and several Federal agencies and bureaus. The agreement settled a lawsuit which alleged failure by the agencies to comply with Federal statutes, including the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act.

The Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan, 2000 (p. 29) states "Under the proposed action, the National Park Service would establish an advisory committee of concerned citizens to examine issues and make recommendations regarding the management of ORVs in the Preserve. The establishment of the committee would meet the legal requirements of the 1972 Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (Pub. L. 92-463, 1972, as amended). The advisory committee would provide access to the extensive knowledge available in the public arena and would offer advice to the National Park Service in the decision-making process in a manner consistent with FACA. This committee would be an element of the adaptive management approach that would be used to develop best management practices for ORV use."

As part of the ORV management plan, NPS committed to establishing the ORV Advisory Committee. In addition, the establishment of the Committee fulfills the agency's policy of civic engagement. It is envisioned that this committee will strengthen the relationship that the NPS has with its partners and communities. The Committee will be comprised of individuals that represent (1) Sportsmen/ORV users; (2) landowners; (3) academia; (4) environmental advocates; (5) the state government, and (6) Tribes.

Certification: I hereby certify that the administrative establishment of the Big Cypress Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior by the Act of August 25, 1916, 16 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*, and other statutes relating to the administration of the National Park System.

Dated: June 14, 2007.

Dirk Kempthorne,

Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. E7-14890 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-V6-P

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

National Park Service

General Management Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Saguardo National Park, AZ

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, Saguardo National Park.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan for Saguardo National Park, Arizona.

DATES: The Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan will remain available for public review for 60 days after publication of this notice by the Environmental Protection Agency. Public meetings will be announced in the local media.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/parkHome.cfm?parkId=96>. Copies of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan are available from the Superintendent Sarah Craighead, Saguardo National Park, Arizona, 3693 South Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, AZ 85730-5601, (520) 733-5101. Public reading copies of the document will be available for review at the following locations:

Office of the Superintendent, Saguardo National Park, 3693 South Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, AZ 85730-5601.

Planning and Environmental Quality, Intermountain Regional Office—Denver, National Park Service, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, CO 80225, Telephone: (303) 987-6671.

Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 18th and C Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20240, Telephone: (202) 208-6843.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent Sarah Craighead, Saguardo National Park, at the above address and telephone number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You may mail comments to Superintendent Sarah Craighead, Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement,

Saguardo National Park, Arizona, 3693 South Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, AZ 85730-5601. You may also comment via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/>. Please include your name and return address in your Internet message. If you do not receive a confirmation from the system that we have received your Internet message, contact us directly by calling Superintendent Sarah Craighead at 520-733-5107. Finally, you may hand-deliver comments to the Saguardo National Park visitor center or the Intermountain Region Office—Denver, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, CO 80225.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

This general management plan will guide the management of Saguardo National Park for the next 15 to 20 years. The general management plan considers three alternatives—a no-action and two action alternatives, including the National Park Service preferred alternative. Alternative 1, the no-action alternative, is a continuation of current management trends and serves as a basis of comparison with the action alternatives. Alternative 2, the preferred alternative, would emphasize protecting ecological processes and biological diversity by connecting wildlife and plan habitats with habitat corridors. The concept was developed to help protect biological and ecological diversity from being compromised by habitat fragmentation. Alternative 3 would emphasize providing a wider range of opportunities for visitors compatible with the preservation of park resources and wilderness characteristics. The concept was developed because the public wanted the park to expand programs and opportunities for a growing diverse visitor population.

The draft environmental impact statement assesses impacts to cultural resources (archeological resources, historic structures, cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources, and museum collections); natural resources (soils, soundscape, vegetation, wildlife, and threatened, endangered, and candidate species and species of special concern); visitor understanding and experience;