

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**[Investigation No. 337-TA-582]****In the Matter of Certain Hydraulic Excavators and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review the Initial Determination Contained in Order No. 45****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") contained in Order No. 45.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan J. Engler, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3112. Copies of the ALJ's IDs and all other non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 29, 2006, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Caterpillar Inc. ("Caterpillar") of Peoria, Illinois. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain hydraulic excavators and components thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,140,606, U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,421,077, U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,140,605, and U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,448,848. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainants requested that the Commission issue a general

exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The complaint named twenty (20) firms as respondents. Two respondents, Barkley Industries LLC and Frontera Equipment Sales, have been found in default. Thirteen have been terminated as a result of settlement agreements.

On April 17, 2007, Caterpillar filed a motion for summary determination on certain issues, including Caterpillar's satisfaction of the domestic industry requirement and affirmative defenses raised by the Respondents. On April 27, 2007, Alex Lyon & Son Sales Managers, Hoss Equipment Co., World Tractor and Equipment Company, LLC, Worldwide Machinery, Inc. and Yoder & Frey Auctioneers (collectively, the "respondents") filed an opposition to the motion and on May 3, 2007, the Commission Investigative Staff filed a response to the motion, opposing it in part and supporting it in part. The ALJ in an ID (Order No. 44) granted Caterpillar's motion with respect to the domestic industry requirement and the respondents' affirmative defenses of unclean hands, violation of public policy, trademark abandonment and antitrust violations, denied the motion with respect to the respondents' affirmative defenses of laches, acquiescence and estoppel, and left certain other issues unresolved. The Commission determined not to review the ID.

On June 20, the ALJ issued Order No. 45, resolving the several issues that were still before him, having not been addressed in Order No. 44. Specifically, Order No. 45 denied the respondents' motion for summary determination, and denied, in part, the complainant's motion for summary determination. Order No. 45 also contained an ID, granting in part the complainant's motion for summary determination. On June 27, 2007, the respondents moved for a "clarification" by the Commission as to whether that portion of Order 45 in which the ALJ denies the respondents' motion for summary determination is part of the ID. To the extent that it is part of the ID, the respondents petitioned for review of the ID. Caterpillar opposed the respondents' motion and petition for review.

The Commission finds that the ALJ's denial of the respondents' motion for summary determination is not part of the ID and therefore not before the Commission. The Commission further finds that any motion for clarification belongs before the ALJ, not the Commission. The respondents' petition for review of the ID and motion for clarification are therefore denied as improperly filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 26, 2007.

Marilyn R. Abbott,*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-14810 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION****[Inv. No. 337-TA-611]****In the Matter of Certain Magnifying Loupe Products and Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 26, 2007 under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of General Scientific Corp. of Ann Arbor, Michigan. A supplement to the complaint was filed on July 18, 2007. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain magnifying loupes and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,446,507, 6,513,929, and 6,704,141. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will

need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David H. Hollander, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2746.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2006).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 24, 2007, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain magnifying loupe products and components thereof by reason of infringement of claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 5,446,507, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,513,929, or claims 1-5 or 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,704,141, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—General Scientific Corp., 77 Enterprise Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48103.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: SheerVision, Inc., 4030 Palos Verdes Drive North, Ste., 104, Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274.

Nanjing JinJiahe I/E Co. Ltd., 1-46 Tianpu Road, Pukou Economy Development Zone, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is David H. Hollander, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E

Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondents.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 26, 2007.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E7-14808 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Pursuant to Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on July 11, 2007, a proposed settlement in *United States v. Alcan Aluminum Corp., et al.*, Civil No. 03-765, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

In this action, the United States asserted a claim against Alcan Aluminum Corp. under section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for recovery of response costs incurred regarding the Quanta Resources

Superfund Site in Syracuse, New York. The proposed consent decree embodies an agreement with Alcan to pay \$2,011,832 of response costs. The decree provides Alcan with an covenant to sue under section 107(a) of CERCLA.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the settlement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environmental and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-76121, and should refer to *U.S. v. Alcan Aluminum Corp., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-848/2.

The settlement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of New York, James Foley Building, 445 Broadway, Albany, New York 12207, and at the Region II Office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Records Center, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866. During the public comment period, the settlement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the settlement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Ellen Mahan,

Deputy Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 07-3749 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 2007, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Commonwealth Edison Co., et al.*, Case No. 07-CV-03799