

List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 94

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry and poultry products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, we propose to amend 9 CFR part 94 as follows:

PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOWL PLAGUE), EXOTIC NEWCASTLE DISEASE, AFRICAN SWINE FEVER, CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER, AND BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY: PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED IMPORTATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 94 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 450, 7701–7772, 7781–7786, and 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

§ 94.1 [Amended]

2. In § 94.1, paragraph (a)(2) would be amended by adding the words “Argentina (only that region south of 42° S.),” before the word “Australia”.

§ 94.11 [Amended]

3. In § 94.11, paragraph (a) would be amended by adding the words “Argentina (only that region south of 42° S.),” before the word “Austria”.

Done in Washington, DC, this 28th day of December 2006.

W. Ron DeHaven,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E6–22627 Filed 1–4–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Parts 50, 72, and 73

RIN 3150–AG63

Power Reactor Security Requirements; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On October 26, 2006 (71 FR 62664), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published for public comment a proposed rule that would amend its current security regulations and would add new security requirements pertaining to nuclear power reactors. Additionally, this rulemaking includes new proposed security requirements for Category I strategic special nuclear material

(SSNM) facilities for access to enhanced weapons and firearms background checks. The proposed rulemaking would: Make generically applicable security requirements imposed by Commission orders issued after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, based upon experience and insights gained by the Commission during implementation; fulfill certain provisions of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; add several new requirements that resulted from insights from implementation of the security orders, review of site security plans, and implementation of the enhanced baseline inspection program and force-on-force exercises; update the regulatory framework in preparation for receiving license applications for new reactors; and impose requirements to assess and manage site activities that can adversely affect safety and security. A 75-day comment period was provided for the proposed rule, set to expire on January 9, 2007. Comments specific to the information collection aspects of the proposed rule were due on November 27, 2006.

The proposed rule deadline is extended from the original January 9, 2007, deadline to February 23, 2007, and the information collections analysis deadline is extended from the original November 27, 2006 deadline to January 11, 2007.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule has been extended and now expires on February 23, 2007. The comment period for the information collection aspects of this proposed rulemaking has been extended and now expires on January 11, 2007. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received before this date.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

Hand delivered comments should also be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and delivered to 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays.

You may also provide comments via the NRC’s interactive rulemaking Web site: <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>. This site also provides the availability to upload comments as files (any format), if your web browser supports that function. For information about the interactive rulemaking site, contact Ms. Carol

Gallagher, (301) 415–5905; e-mail: CAG@nrc.gov.

Certain documents relating to this rulemaking, including comments received, may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O1–F21, Rockville, MD. The same documents may also be viewed and downloaded electronically via the rulemaking Web site: <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>. Documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999 are also available electronically at the NRC’s Public Electronic Reading room on the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC’s Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC’s public documents. For more information, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 202–634–3273 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard Rasmussen, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone (301) 415–0610; e-mail: RAR@nrc.gov or Mr. Timothy Reed, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone (301) 415–1462; e-mail: TAR@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: During a public meeting held on November 15, 2006, the State of Pennsylvania and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) requested that the comment period for the proposed rulemaking be extended by 45 days. Subsequently, on November 17, 2006, the NEI provided a written request to NRC for a 60-day extension to the public comment period for both the proposed rulemaking and the information collection aspects of the rulemaking. NEI stated four reasons to support their request (listed below):

- (1) There are two major holidays during the comment period;
 - (2) This is a major and complex rulemaking as evidenced by the sheer volume of the rulemaking package (SECY–06–0126 exceeds 1000 pages);
 - (3) Since June 2006, NEI has been engaged in the development of NEI 06–12, “B.5.b Phase 2&3 Submittal Guideline” which licensees will use to respond to the NRC site-specific Phase 3 letters. Licensee responses are due in early January 2007; and,
 - (4) Comments on the proposed § 73.21 rulemaking are due January 2, 2007.
- In view of the NRC’s desire to receive high quality comments from external

stakeholders, and recognizing the extenuating circumstances that adversely impact the capability of external stakeholders to comment on the proposed rulemaking given ongoing activities which are competing for the same industry resources, the comment period, for both the proposed rulemaking and for the information collections analysis, will be extended for an additional 45 days. This partial grant of the request from both NEI and the State of Pennsylvania recognizes that the power reactor security requirements impact new reactor applications, and it is the NRC's objective to not adversely impact new reactor applications which are scheduled to be submitted in late 2007.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of December 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-22581 Filed 1-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 73

[Docket No. PRM-73-11]

Petition for Rulemaking Filed by Scott Portzline, Three Mile Island Alert; Consideration of Petition

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Petition for rulemaking; consideration of petition.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 2001 (66 FR 55603), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published for public comment a petition for rulemaking (PRM) filed by Scott Portzline, Three Mile Island Alert. The petitioner requested that the NRC regulations governing physical protection of plants and materials be amended to require NRC licensees to post at least one armed guard at each entrance to the "owner controlled areas" (OCAs) surrounding all U.S. nuclear power plants. The petitioner states that this should be accomplished by adding armed site protection officers (SPOs) to the security forces—not by simply moving SPOs from their protected area (PA) posts to the OCA entrances. The petitioner believes that its proposed amendment would provide an additional layer of security that would complement existing measures against radiological sabotage and would be consistent with the long-standing principle of defense-in-depth.

This document informs the public that PRM-73-11 and public comments received in response to the above notice will be considered in a proposed rulemaking, "Power Reactor Security Requirements," published in the **Federal Register** on October 26, 2006 (71 FR 62664). This rulemaking proposes extensive revisions to the NRC regulations in 10 CFR parts 50, 72, and 73 that address security requirements for nuclear power reactor licensees and certain materials licensees. The comment period on that proposed rule expires on February 23, 2007. Because the public has already had opportunity to comment on PRM-73-11, the NRC is requesting that comments focus on the proposed rule provisions in light of the subject PRM. Refer to the preamble of the proposed rule for instructions on how to provide comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Tartal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Telephone: 301-415-0016, or toll-free: 800-368-5642, e-mail gmt1@nrc.gov, or Everett Byre, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Telephone: 301-415-7825, or toll-free: 800-368-5642, e-mail exb4@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of December 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-22582 Filed 1-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-26496; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-81-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Alpha Aviation Design Limited (Type Certificate No. A48EU Previously Held by APEX Aircraft and AVIONS PIERRE ROBIN) Model R2160 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the

products listed above. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as a deficiency in compliance with 14 CFR 23.967(d). There have been instances indicating that production aircraft may not have a metal barrier between the cabin and the fuel tank bay. Lack of a barrier could allow flammable fuel vapors to enter the cabin. The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by February 5, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- **DOT Docket Web Site:** Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- **Fax:** (202) 493-2251.

- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karl Schletzbaum, Aerospace Engineer, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 329-4146; fax (816) 329-4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Streamlined Issuance of AD

The FAA is implementing a new process for streamlining the issuance of ADs related to MCAI. The streamlined process will allow us to adopt MCAI safety requirements in a more efficient manner and will reduce safety risks to