

review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 23, 2007.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-17463 Filed 8-31-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Upcoming Sunset Reviews.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a

review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

#### Upcoming Sunset Reviews for October 2007

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in October 2007 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five-year Sunset Reviews.

| Antidumping Duty Proceedings   | Department Contact               |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Sulfanilic Acid from Hungary (A-437-804) .....   | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| Sulfanilic Acid from Portugal (A-471-806) .....  | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| <b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings.</b>  |                                  |
| Sulfanilic Acid from Hungary (C-437-805) .....   | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| <b>Suspended Investigations.</b>   |                                  |
| No Sunset Reviews of suspended investigations are scheduled for initiation in October 2007.. |                                  |

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3--Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 15 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in

response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 23, 2007.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-17442 Filed 8-31-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating a five-year ("Sunset Review") of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice

its notice of *Institution of Five-year Review* which covers the same orders.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 4, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Department official identified in the *Initiation of Review(s)* section below at AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230. For information from the Commission contact Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission at (202) 205-3193.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in its *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3 - *Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy*

*Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (“Sunset Policy Bulletin”).

### Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are initiating the Sunset

Review of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders:

| DOC Case No.   | ITC Case No. | Country           | Product                                 | Department Contact               |
|--|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| A-351-832 .....  | 731-TA-953   | Brazil            | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| A-122-840 .....  | 731-TA-954   | Canada            | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| A-560-815 .....  | 731-TA-957   | Indonesia         | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| A-201-830 .....  | 731-TA-958   | Mexico            | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| A-841-805 .....  | 731-TA-959   | Moldova           | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| A-274-804 .....  | 731-TA-961   | Trinidad & Tobago | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| A-823-812 .....  | 731-TA-962   | Ukraine           | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| C-351-833 .....  | 701-TA-417   | Brazil            | Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod | Brandon Farlander (202) 482-0182 |
| <b>Suspended Investigations.</b><br>No Sunset Reviews of suspended investigations are scheduled for initiation in September 2007.. |              |                   |   |                                  |

### Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to Sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Department's regulations, the Department's schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset Internet Web site at the following address: “<http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/>.” All submissions in these Sunset Reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (“APO”) immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation of the sunset review. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

### Information Required from Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in these Sunset Reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the orders without further review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

For sunset reviews of countervailing duty orders, parties wishing the Department to consider arguments that countervailable subsidy programs have been terminated must include with their substantive responses information and documentation addressing whether the changes to the program were (1) limited to an individual firm or firms and (2) effected by an official act of the government. Further, a party claiming program termination is expected to document that there are no residual benefits under the program and that substitute programs have not been introduced. Cf. 19 CFR 351.526(b) and (d). If a party maintains that any of the subsidies countervailed by the Department were not conferred pursuant to a subsidy program, that

party should nevertheless address the applicability of the factors set forth in 19 CFR 351.526(b) and (d). Similarly, parties wishing the Department to consider whether a company's change in ownership has extinguished the benefit from prior non-recurring, allocable, subsidies must include with their substantive responses information and documentation supporting their claim that all or almost all of the company's shares or assets were sold in an arm's length transaction, at a price representing fair market value, as described in the *Notice of Final Modification of Agency Practice Under Section 123 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act*, 68 FR 37125 (June 23, 2003) (“*Modification Notice*”). See *Modification Notice* for a discussion of the types of information and documentation the Department requires.

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's

conduct of Sunset Reviews.<sup>1</sup> Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: August 23, 2007.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-17455 Filed 8-31-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

#### **Honey from Argentina: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding in part the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina for the period December 1, 2005, to November 30, 2006 with respect to Mielar S.A. (Mielar)/Compania Apicola Argentina (CAA).<sup>1</sup> This partial rescission is based on the withdrawal of the requests for review by the interested parties that requested the review. Additionally, the Department is extending the preliminary results of this administrative review to no later than December 20, 2007.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 4, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Scott or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2657 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

**BACKGROUND:** On December 1, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on, *inter alia*, honey from Argentina. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 69543 (December 1, 2006). In response, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners) timely requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina for the December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006 period of review (POR). The petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise made by nine Argentine producers/exporters. In addition, the Department received timely requests for review from six Argentine exporters included in the petitioners' request and one timely request from a producer/exporter that was not included in petitioners' requests for review.

On February 2, 2007, the Department initiated a review on the ten companies<sup>2</sup> for which an administrative review was requested. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 5005 (February 2, 2007). On March 27, 2007, the Department indicated that it was selecting for review the four producers/exporters with the largest export volume during the POR: Asociacion de Cooperativas Argentinas (ACA), Mielar/CAA, Nexco S.A. (Nexco), and Seylinco, S.A. (Seylinco). *See* the memorandum, "Selection of Respondents" to Stephen J. Claeys, dated March 27, 2007 (Selection Memorandum). Based on the timely withdrawal of requests for review from the requesting parties, on June 19, 2007, the Department rescinded this review with respect to four companies, one of which was Nexco. *See Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 33740 (June 19, 2007).

On July 17, 2007, petitioners and respondent company Mielar/CAA withdrew their requests for review. *See*

Letters from petitioners and from Mielar/CAA to the Department, dated July 17, 2007, on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the main Department building.

#### **Rescission, in Part, of Administrative Review**

The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review in whole or in part. Furthermore, the regulation states the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so.

Although both petitioners and Mielar/CAA withdrew their requests for review after the 90-day deadline, the Department finds it reasonable to extend the withdrawal deadline because the Department has not yet devoted significant time or resources to this review, *e.g.*, the Department has not yet conducted a sales-below cost investigation. As such, based on prior practice, the Department determines it is reasonable to extend the time limit in this case and rescind the review with respect to Mielar/CAA. *See, e.g., Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 61018 (October 17, 2006). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions for Mielar/CAA directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) within 15 days of the publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties for Mielar/CAA at the cash deposit rates in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), requires the Department to complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested.

The Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because we require additional time to conduct

<sup>1</sup> In comments made on the interim final sunset regulations, a number of parties stated that the proposed five-day period for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation was insufficient. This requirement was retained in the final sunset regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), however, the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

<sup>2</sup> Petitioners requested that the Department review Mielar and CAA as separate entities. However, in a previous segment of this proceeding, the Department treated these two companies as a single entity, and no new evidence has been presented in this segment of the proceeding to warrant changing this treatment.

<sup>2</sup> The **Federal Register** notice lists 11 companies; however, as explained in the previous footnote, we are treating Mielar and CAA as a single entity based on our treatment of these two entities in a previous segment of this proceeding.