applications and the above—listed specifications are defining characteristics of the scope of this review. Therefore, seamless pipes meeting the physical description above, but not produced to the ASTM A-53, ASTM A-106, ASTM A-333, ASTM A-334, ASTM A-589, ASTM A-795, and API 5L specifications shall be covered if used in a standard, line, or pressure application, with the exception of the specific exclusions discussed below.

For example, there are certain other ASTM specifications of pipe which, because of overlapping characteristics, could potentially be used in ASTM A–106 applications. These specifications generally include ASTM A–161, ASTM A–192, ASTM A–210, ASTM A–252, ASTM A–501, ASTM A–523, ASTM A–524, and ASTM A–618. When such pipes are used in a standard, line, or pressure pipe application, such products are covered by the scope of this review.

Specifically excluded from the scope of this review are:

- A. Boiler tubing and mechanical tubing, if such products are not produced to ASTM A–53, ASTM A–106, ASTM A–334, ASTM A–589, ASTM A–795, and API 5L specifications and are not used in standard, line, or pressure pipe applications.
- B. Finished and unfinished oil country tubular goods (OCTG), if covered by the scope of another antidumping duty order from the same country. If not covered by such an OCTG order, finished and unfinished OCTG are included in this scope when used in standard, line or pressure applications.
- C. Products produced to the A–335 specification unless they are used in an application that would normally utilize ASTM A–53, ASTM A–106, ASTM A–333, ASTM A–795, and API 5L specifications.
- D. Line and riser pipe for deepwater application, i.e., line and riser pipe that is (1) used in a deepwater application, which means for use in water depths of 1,500 feet or more; (2) intended for use in and is actually used for a specific deepwater project; (3) rated for a specified minimum yield strength of not less than 60,000 psi; and (4) not identified or certified through the use of a monogram, stencil, or otherwise marked with an API specification (e.g., "API 5L").

With regard to the excluded products listed above, the Department will not instruct Customs to require end—use certification until such time as

petitioner or other interested parties provide to the Department a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that the products are being utilized in a covered application. If such information is provided, we will require end-use certification only for the product(s) (or specification(s)) for which evidence is provided that such products are being used in a covered application as described above. For example, if, based on evidence provided by petitioner, the Department finds a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that seamless pipe produced to the A–335 specification is being used in an A-106 application, we will require end-use certifications for imports of that specification. Normally we will require only the importer of record to certify to the end use of the imported merchandise. If it later proves necessary for adequate implementation, we may also require producers who export such products to the United States to provide such certification on invoices accompanying shipments to the United States.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.

Rescission of the Administrative Review

As noted above, all four respondents submitted letters to the Department indicating that they did not make any shipments or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. In response to the Department's query to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), CBP data showed a small quantity of subject merchandise manufactured by one or more of the respondent companies was entered for consumption into the United States during the POR from a third country. On November 8, 2007, the Department placed on the record of this review copies of the entry documents in question. On the basis of these documents, the Department concluded that there is no evidence on the record that the respondents in question were involved with the 2007 entries of the subject merchandise into the United States. Specifically, although JFE Steel Corporation, Nippon Steel Corporation, NKK Tubes, and Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. did not have any sales or exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, subject merchandise produced by one or more of these companies entered the United States during the POR under their antidumping case number, without their knowledge by way of intermediaries. See Memorandum to the File, from

Salim Bhabhrawala, Case Analyst, "Department Intent to Rescind Review," January 16, 2008 (Intent to Rescind Memo). Thus, the Department found that the respondents' claims of no shipments or entries for consumption to be substantiated. On January 16, 2008, the Department notified interested parties of its intent to rescind this administrative review and gave parties until January 28, 2008 to provide comments. No comments were received. See Intent to Rescind Memo.

Based upon the certifications and the evidence on the record, we are satisfied that no respondent had shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, in whole or with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Secretary concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. Therefore, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

The Department will instruct CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice to liquidate such entries at the "All Others" rate in effect on the date of the entry. See 19 CFR 351.212(c); see also Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) 777 (i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: February 25, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–4063 Filed 2–29–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DR–S**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 2008. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hua

Lu, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6478.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 12, 2007, the Department initiated new shipper reviews of Dongguan Bon Ten Furniture Co., Ltd. ("Bon Ten") and Dongguan Mu Si Furniture Co., Ltd. ("Mu Si") covering the period January 1, 2007, through July 31, 2007. See Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews, 72 FR 52083 (September 12, 2007). The preliminary results of the new shipper reviews are currently due no later than February 27, 2008.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. See also 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(1). The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 180-day period to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The Department determines that these new shipper reviews involve complicated methodological issues and the examination of importer information. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for these preliminary results by 90 days, until no later than May 27, 2008. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 21, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–4037 Filed 2–29–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Federal Consistency Appeals by Weaver's Cove, LLC and Mill River Pipeline, LLC

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (Commerce). ACTION: Notice of stay—closure of administrative appeals decision record.

SUMMARY: This announcement provides notice that the Secretary of Commerce has stayed, for a period of 60 days, closure of the decision record in administrative appeals filed by Weaver's Cove, LLC and Mill River Pipeline, LLC (Weaver's Cove and Mill River Consistency Appeals).

DATES: The decision record for the Weaver's Cove and Mill River Consistency Appeals will now close on May 5, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Office of the General Counsel for Ocean Services, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1305 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brett Grosko, Attorney-Advisor, Office of the General Counsel, via e-mail at *gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov*, or at (301) 713–7384.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In August 2007, Weaver's Cove, LLC and Mill River Pipeline, LLC (Weaver's Cove and Mill River, or Appellants) filed appeals with the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) pursuant to section 307(c)(3)(A) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA). The appeal was taken from an objection by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (Commonwealth), relating to Weaver's Cove's and Mill River's proposal to construct and operate a liquefied natural gas terminal in Fall River, Massachusetts, and two associated

pipeline laterals that would transport gas from the terminal to the interstate pipeline grid.

Under the CZMA, the Secretary must close the decision record in an appeal 160 days after the notice of appeal is published in the **Federal Register**. 16 U.S.C. 1465. However, the CZMA authorizes the Secretary to stay closing the decision record for up to 60 days when the Secretary determines it necessary to receive, on an expedited basis, any supplemental information specifically requested by the Secretary to complete a consistency review or any clarifying information submitted by a

party to the proceeding related to information in the consolidated record compiled by the lead Federal permitting agency. 16 U.S.C. 1465(b)(3).

After reviewing the Weaver's Cove and Mill River Consistency Appeals' decision record developed to date, the Secretary has decided to solicit supplemental and clarifying information. In order to allow receipt of this information, the Secretary hereby stays closure of the decision record, currently scheduled to occur on March 4, 2008, until May 5, 2008.

Additional information about the Weaver's Cove and Mill River Consistency Appeals and the CZMA appeals process is available from the Department of Commerce CZMA appeals Web site http://www.ogc.doc.gov/czma.htm.

Dated: February 26, 2008.

Jeffrev S. Dillen,

 $\label{lem:constraint} \textit{Deputy Assistant General Counsel for Ocean} \\ \textit{Services.}$

[Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog No. 11.419 Coastal Zone Management Program Assistance.]

[FR Doc. E8–3951 Filed 2–29–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. DOD-2008-OS-0015]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics announces the proposed extension of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated