

Category	Examples of potentially affected entities
Federal Government .....	Facilities included in the following NAICS codes (corresponding to SIC codes other than SIC codes 20 through 39): 212111, 212112, 212113 [correspond to SIC 12, Coal Mining (except 1241)]; or 212221, 212222, 212231, 212234, 212299 [correspond to SIC 10, Metal Mining (except 1011, 1081, and 1094)]; or 221111, 221112, 221113, 221119, 221121, 221122 (Limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating power for distribution in commerce) (correspond to SIC 4911, 4931, and 4939, Electric Utilities); or 424690, 425110, 425120 (Limited to facilities previously classified in SIC 5169, Chemicals and Allied Products, Not Elsewhere Classified.); or 424710 (corresponds to SIC 5171, Petroleum Bulk Terminals and Plants); or 562112 [Limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvent recovery services on a contract or fee basis (previously classified under SIC 7389, Business Services, NEC).]; or 562211, 562212, 562213, 562219, 562920 (Limited to facilities regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, subtitle C, 42 U.S.C. 6921 et seq.) (correspond to SIC 4953). Federal facilities.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be interested in this document. Some of the entities listed in the table have exemptions and/or limitations regarding coverage, other types of entities not listed in this table may also be interested in this document are those covered in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 372, subpart B. If you have any questions regarding whether a particular entity is covered by this section of the CFR, consult the technical person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

#### *B. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?*

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. Commenters wishing to submit proprietary information for consideration must clearly distinguish such information from other comments and clearly label it as CBI. Send submissions containing such proprietary information directly to the following address only, and not to the public docket, to ensure that proprietary information is not inadvertently placed in the docket: Attention: OEI Document Control Officer, Mail Code: 2822T, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). The EPA will disclose information claimed as CBI only to the extent allowed by the procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public

docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

#### **II. What Is the Purpose of This Document?**

On June 28, 2002, Innovene (formerly BP Chemicals Inc.) petitioned the Agency to remove acetonitrile (methyl cyanide) from the list of chemicals subject to the reporting requirements of EPCRA section 313. In response to the petition to delist acetonitrile, EPA has prepared a hazard assessment for acetonitrile entitled "TRI Technical Review of Acetonitrile," which will shortly be submitted for external peer review. This notice provides the public access to EPA's "TRI Technical Review of Acetonitrile", the acetonitrile petition, related materials, peer review charge, and all associated references.

#### **III. Public Comments**

EPA is accepting comments only on the two documents made available through this action. These documents are: (1) The TRI Technical Review of Acetonitrile and (2) the Acetonitrile External Peer Review charge. Comments submitted in response to this notification should be limited to the scientific findings in these documents. Comments responding to these documents will be made available to the peer reviewers for consideration during the external peer review process.

EPA does not intend to respond to comments unrelated to the two documents identified as open for public comment and will not provide them to peer reviewers for consideration.

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 372**

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements and Community right-to-know.

Dated: February 27, 2008.

**Michael P. Flynn,**

*Director, Office of Information Access and Analysis, Office of Environmental Information.*

[FR Doc. E8-4572 Filed 3-6-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6696-7]

#### **Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

#### **Draft EISs**

EIS No. 20070471, ERP No. D-DOE-F09804-MN, Mesaba Energy Project, Proposes to Design, Construct and Operate a Coal-Based Integrated Gasification Cycle (IGCC) Electric Power Generating Facility, located in the Taconite Tax Relief Area (TTRA), Itasca and St. Louis Counties, MN.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections because of significant wetland impacts, and requested additional alternative analysis that might avoid/reduce wetland impacts and mitigation for unavoidable wetland impacts. Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20070504, ERP No. D-FRC-F03011-00, Rockies Express Pipeline Project, (REX-East), Construction and Operation of Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, WY, NE, MO, IL, IN and OH.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts to surface and ground water quality (including sole source aquifers), wetlands, air quality, and upland forest habitat. EPA requested additional information regarding impacts and mitigation measures be included in the FEIS. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070510, ERP No. D-FHW-C40173-NJ, I-295/I76/Route 42 Direct Connection Project, To Improve Traffic Safety and Reduce Traffic Congestion, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Borough of Bellmawr, Borough of Mount Ephraim and Gloucester City, Camden County, NJ.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concern about wetlands impacts, stormwater impacts, air quality/mobile source air toxics impacts, and impacts from hazardous materials. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070533, ERP No. DA-AFS-K65286-CA, Watdog Project, Additional Clarification of Changes Between the Final EIS (2005) and Final Supplement EIS (2007), Feather River Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Butte and Plumas Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about cumulative impacts to watersheds and short-term impacts to the old-forest species present and recommends further consideration a less harvest intensive alternative, such as Alternative D. Rating EC1.

#### Final EISs

EIS No. 20070520, ERP No. F-FHW-C40164-NY, NY-17-Elmira to Chemung Project, Proposed Highway Reconstruction, New Highway Construction, Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Town and City of Elmira, Town of Ashland and Chemung, Chemung County, NY.

*Summary:* EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20070552, ERP No. F-FHW-F40437-MN, Scott County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 21 Project, Extension from CSAH 42 in Prior Lake to CSAH 18 at Southbridge Parkway in Shakopee, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Scott County, MN.

*Summary:* EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20080001, ERP No. F-COE-G32060-TX, Brazos Harbor Navigation District Project, Proposed Port Freeport Channel Widening to the Entrance and Jetty Reach of the Freeport Harbor Jetty Channel and Entrance, Brazoria County, TX.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20080005, ERP No. F-NRS-H34031-00, West Tarkio Creek Watershed Plan, Construction of a Multiple-Purpose Structure for Rural Water Supply, Recreational Opportunities and Agricultural Pollution Control, Page, Montgomery and Fremont Counties, IA and Atchison County, MO.

*Summary:* EPA recommended that the Record of Decision contain additional discussion on the project need, alternatives analysis and cumulative impacts.

EIS No. 20080011, ERP No. F-FHW-L59002-AK, Knik Arm Crossing Project, To Provide Improved Access between the Municipality of Anchorage and Matanuska-Susitna Borough, AK.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections because avoidable adverse impacts to wetlands and aquatic resources. EPA also expressed concern about water quality impacts, sedimentation impacts, and air quality/air toxics impacts.

EIS No. 20080020, ERP No. F-FRC-C03016-NY, Broadwater Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Project, Construction and Operation a Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, (Docket Nos. CP06-54, *et al.*), Long Island Sound, NY.

*Summary:* EPA noted that the FEIS responded to the majority of EPA's comments on the DEIS. However, EPA continues to have concerns about air quality/permitting issues.

EIS No. 20080022, ERP No. F-NOA-L91029-AK, Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission for a Subsistence Hunt on Bowhead Whale for the Years 2008 through 2012 for Issuing Annual Quotas, Proposes to Authorize Subsistence Harvests of the Western Arctic Stock of Bowhead Whales, Bering, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, AK.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20080029, ERP No. F-IBR-K39048-CA, Truckee River Operating Agreement (TROA) Modify Operations of Five Federal Two Non-Federal Reservoirs to Facilitate

Distribution of Water, Truckee River Basin, Alpine, El Dorado, Nevada, Placer, Sierra Counties, CA and Carson City, Churchill Douglas, Lyon, Pershing, Storey, and Washoe Counties, NV.

*Summary:* No formal letter sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20080033, ERP No. F-BLM-K09809-CA, Truckhaven Geothermal Leasing Area, Addresses Leasing of Geothermal Resources, El Centro Field Office, Imperial County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about potential impacts on air quality, recreational use in the OWSVRA, underestimation of geothermal capacity, and water resources.

EIS No. 20080069, ERP No. F-NPS-K61167-AZ, Saguaro National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Rincon Mountain District and Tucson Mountain District, Pima County, AZ.

*Summary:* No formal letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070560, ERP No. FA-NOA-K91012-00, Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region, Amendment 14 to the Fishery Management Plan, Additional Information to Analyze a Range of Management Alternatives to End Bottomfish Overfishing in the Hawaiian Archipelago, HI, GU and AS.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: March 4, 2008.

**Robert W. Hargrove,**  
*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. E8-4598 Filed 3-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6696-6]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 02/25/2008 through 02/29/2008 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20080074, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, American Basin Fish Screen and Habitat Improvement Project, Construction and Operation of one or two Positive-Barrier Fish Screen