

necessary, and to conduct verification of the questionnaire responses, if necessary.

Therefore, given the additional time needed to conduct a complete analysis for this administrative review, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results to 365 days.

Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than July 30, 2008. The final results continue to be due no later than 120 days after publication of the notice of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 11, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-5420 Filed 3-17-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-888

#### Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 11, 2007, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ironing tables from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 51781 (September 11, 2007) ("AR2 Preliminary Results"). This review covers exports from Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Since Hardware"). The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006. For these final results, the Department revised and continued to apply the Since Hardware supplier price benchmark analysis. Furthermore, the Department revised its calculation of the surrogate financial ratios. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. See "Final Results of Review" section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 18, 2008.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bobby Wong or Michael Quigley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0409 or (202) 482-4047, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

We published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the second administrative review on September 11, 2007. See *AR2 Preliminary Results*.

Following the *AR2 Preliminary Results*, on October 1, 2007, Since Hardware submitted surrogate financial information to value factors of production. On October 11, 2007, Home Products International Inc.

("petitioner") and Since Hardware submitted case briefs. On October 16, 2007, both petitioner and Since Hardware also submitted rebuttal briefs.

##### Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the product covered consists of floor-standing, metal-top ironing tables, assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, and certain parts thereof. The subject tables are designed and used principally for the hand ironing or pressing of garments or other articles of fabric. The subject tables have full-height leg assemblies that support the ironing surface at an appropriate (often adjustable) height above the floor. The subject tables are produced in a variety of leg finishes, such as painted, plated, or matte, and they are available with various features, including iron rests, linen racks, and others. The subject ironing tables may be sold with or without a pad and/or cover. All types and configurations of floor-standing, metal-top ironing tables are covered by this review.

Furthermore, this order specifically covers imports of ironing tables, assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, and certain parts thereof. For purposes of this order, the term "unassembled" ironing table means a product requiring the attachment of the leg assembly to the top or the attachment of an included feature such as an iron rest or linen rack. The term "complete" ironing table means product sold as a ready-to-use ensemble consisting of the metal-top table and a pad and cover, with or without additional features, e.g. iron rest or linen rack. The term "incomplete" ironing table means product shipped or sold as a "bare board" i.e., a metal-top table only, without the pad and cover

with or without additional features, e.g. iron rest or linen rack. The major parts or components of ironing tables that are intended to be covered by this order under the term "certain parts thereof" consist of the metal top component (with or without assembled supports and slides) and/or the leg components, whether or not attached together as a leg assembly. The order covers separately shipped metal top components and leg components, without regard to whether the respective quantities would yield an exact quantity of assembled ironing tables.

Ironing tables without legs (such as models that mount on walls or over doors) are not floor-standing and are specifically excluded. Additionally, tabletop or countertop models with short legs that do not exceed 12 inches in length (and which may or may not collapse or retract) are specifically excluded.

The subject ironing tables were previously classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 9403.20.0010. Effective July 1, 2003, the subject ironing tables are classified under new HTSUS subheading 9403.20.0011. The subject metal top and leg components are classified under HTSUS subheading 9403.90.8040. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") purposes, the Department's written description of the scope remains dispositive.

##### Separate Rates

Since Hardware requested a separate, company-specific antidumping duty rate. In the *AR2 Preliminary Results*, we found that Since Hardware had met the criteria for the application of a separate antidumping duty rate. *Preliminary Results*, 72 FR at 51782.

We have not received any information since the *Preliminary Results* with respect to Since Hardware that would warrant reconsideration of our separate-rates determination. Therefore, we have assigned an individual dumping margin to Since Hardware for this review period.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the briefs are addressed in the Memorandum to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying the *Final Results in the 2005-2006 Administrative Review of Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from*

the People's Republic of China, (February 10, 2008) ("I&D Memorandum"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised, all of which are in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in the briefs and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room 1117 of the Department of Commerce. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://trade.gov/ia>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from interested parties, we have made company-specific changes to certain surrogate value calculations that affect the margin calculations for Since Hardware as discussed below.

#### 1) Benchmark Methodology:

For these final results, the Department has determined to apply the benchmark analysis consistent with the methodology applied in the preliminary results. However, for the *Preliminary Results*, the Department inadvertently failed to remove export sales into NME countries from the benchmark analysis. Therefore, for these final results, the Department corrected this inadvertent error and revised the benchmark analysis to exclude export sales into NME countries from the analysis. This is consistent with the Department's recent practice. See *Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG"), Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 13091 and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment "Issue: The use of China, a non-market economy, as the basis for normal value" (March 14, 2006). See also, *Husteel Company, Ltd. v. United States*, CIT Court No. 06-00075 (where the Department is currently defending this position before the CIT). For a more detailed discussion, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 3; and the March 10, 2008, Memorandum to the File; From Blaine Wiltse, International Trade Compliance Analyst and Bobby Wong, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst; Regarding Second Antidumping Administrative Review of Floor-standing, Metal-top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Since Hardware") Analysis

Memorandum for the Final Results, accompanying these final results.  
2) Carriage Inward:

For these final results, consistent the Department's practice in recent reviews, the Department has included Infiniti Modules freight-in expenses in the calculation of the denominator used to determine Since Hardware's surrogate financial ratios. See *Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 71355 (December 18, 2007) ("Tables & Chairs Final"). For a more detailed discussion, see, Comment 2 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying these final results.

#### 3) Plywood:

In the preliminary results of the instant review, the Department inadvertently applied the incorrect harmonized tariff schedule code to value plywood. For these final results, the Department has corrected this clerical error (for further detail). See Comment 4 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying these final results.

### Final Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that the following antidumping duty margins exist:

Exporter	Margin (percent)
Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ....	0.34 % (de minimis)

For details on the calculation of the antidumping duty weighted-average margin for each company, see See March 10, 2008, Memorandum to the File; From Blaine Wiltse, International Trade Compliance Analyst and Bobby Wong, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst; Regarding Second Antidumping Administrative Review of Floor-standing, Metal-top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Since Hardware") Analysis Memorandum for the Final Results. The public version of this memorandum is on file in the CRU.

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of

review. For assessment purposes, where possible, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates for subject ironing tables from the PRC via *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for Since Hardware, the Department has calculated a *de minimis* margin for these final results, and therefore no cash deposit will be required for this company; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, including those companies for which this review has been rescinded, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 157.68 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary

information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This administrative review and this notice are published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 10, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-5415 Filed 3-17-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-580-825

#### **Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce**

**SUMMARY:** On September 11, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods, other than drill pipe ("OCTG"), from Korea for the period ("POR") August 1, 2005 through July 25, 2006. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 51793 (September 11, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers the following manufacturers/exporters: Husteel Co., Ltd. ("Husteel"), SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH"), and Nexteel Co. Ltd. (Nexteel). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For the final dumping margins see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 18, 2008.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0780.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On June 22, 2007, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department revoked this antidumping

duty order effective July 25, 2006. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico; Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders Pursuant to Second Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 72 FR 34442-34443 (June 22, 2007) (*Revocation*). Therefore, the POR of this administrative review is August 1, 2005 through July 25, 2006.

On September 11, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Korea. *See Preliminary Results*. Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred. We received case briefs on October 11, 2007, and rebuttal briefs on October 16, 2007. On January 7, 2008, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department extended the deadline for issuing the final results by 60 days to March 10, 2008. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Administrative Review: Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea*, 72 FR 1205 (January 7, 2007).

#### **Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order**

The products covered by this order are OCTG, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including only oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute ("API") or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing or tubing pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium, or drill pipe. The products subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") under sub-headings:

7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00,

7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

As a result of changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule, effective February 2, 2007, the subject merchandise is also classifiable under the following additional HTS item numbers: 7304.29.31.10, 7304.29.31.20, 7304.29.31.30, 7304.29.31.40, 7304.29.31.50, 7304.29.31.60, 7304.29.31.80, 7304.29.41.10, 7304.29.41.20, 7304.29.41.30, 7304.29.41.40, 7304.29.41.50, 7304.29.41.60, 7304.29.41.80, 7304.29.61.15, 7304.29.61.30, 7304.29.61.45, 7304.29.61.60, 7304.29.61.75, 7306.29.10.30, 7306.29.10.90, 7306.29.20.00, 7306.29.31.00, 7306.29.41.00, 7306.29.60.10, 7306.29.60.50, 7306.29.81.10, and 7306.29.81.50. The HTSUS sub-headings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive of the scope of the order.

#### **Analysis of Comments Received**

The issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decisions Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") from Korea*, March 10, 2008 (*Issues and Decisions Memorandum*), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Issues and Decisions Memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 1117 of the Department of Commerce main building and can be accessed directly at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Issues and Decisions Memorandum* are identical in content. A list of the issues addressed in the *Issues and Decisions Memorandum* is appended to this notice.

#### **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the calculations for the final dumping margin. The changes are discussed in detail in the Issues and Decisions Memorandum and in the Memorandum from Scott Lindsay, Case Analyst, to the File: Analysis of Husteel Corporation ("Husteel") for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe from Korea, and Memorandum from Scott Lindsay, Case Analyst, to the File: Analysis of SeaH Steel Corporation ("SeAH") for the