

demonstrate a quality, cost-effective program.

7. *Value to U.S.-Partner Country Relations:* Proposals should indicate how the program is of value to U.S. and partner countries' interests, and receive positive assessments by the U.S. Department of State's geographic area desks and overseas officers of program need, potential impact, and significance in the partner countries.

VI. Award Administration Information

VI.1a. *Award Notices:* Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures. Successful applicants will receive an Assistance Award Document (AAD) from the Bureau's Grants Office. The AAD and the original grant proposal with subsequent modifications (if applicable) shall be the only binding authorizing document between the recipient and the U.S. Government. The AAD will be signed by an authorized Grants Officer, and mailed to the recipient's responsible officer identified in the application.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification of the results of the application review from the ECA program office coordinating this competition.

All awards made under this competition must be executed according to all relevant U.S. laws and policies regarding assistance to the Palestinian Authority, and to the West Bank and Gaza. Organizations submitting proposals for this competition must consult with relevant Public Affairs Offices before entering into any formal arrangements or agreements with Palestinian organizations or institutions.

Note: To assure that planning for the inclusion of the Palestinian Authority complies with requirements, please contact Kevin Baker, Program Officer, telephone (202) 453-8153 or BakerKM1@state.gov for additional information.

VI.2. *Administrative and National Policy Requirements:* Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following:

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-122, "Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations."

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21, "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions."

OMB Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments".

OMB Circular No. A-110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education,

Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A-102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.

OMB Circular No. A-133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-profit Organizations.

Please reference the following Web sites for additional information: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants>. <http://exchanges.state.gov/education/grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI>.

VI.3. *Reporting Requirements:* You must provide ECA with one hard copy original plus one copy of the following reports:

Mandatory:

1. Monthly school and housing placement reports of the students should be provided in the Excel spreadsheet format provided by ECA.

2. A final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award;

3. A concise, one-page final program report summarizing program outcomes no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award. This one-page report will be transmitted to OMB, and be made available to the public via OMB's USAspending.gov website—as part of ECA's Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting requirements.

Grantees will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. (Please refer to IV. Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3.d.3) above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this announcement, contact: Kevin Baker, Program Officer, ECA/PE/C/PY, Room 220, Ref. Nr. ECA/PE/C/PY-08-27, U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547, tel. 202-453-8153 or e-mail: BakerKM1@state.gov.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the program title and the corresponding Reference number.

Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP

deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

VIII. Other Information

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

Dated: April 3, 2008.

C. Miller Crouch,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E8-7631 Filed 4-9-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Salt Lake and Davis Counties, UT

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Termination.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that the effort to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for transportation improvements in the I-15 North Corridor from downtown Salt Lake City to Kaysville will be terminated.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The project was initiated in 1997 and was a companion project to the Legacy Parkway EIS. Portions of the I-15 North Corridor and the Legacy Parkway project study areas overlapped and results of environmental studies performed for the I-15 North Corridor project were utilized in the Legacy Parkway EIS. Due to litigation on the Legacy Parkway project, the I-15 North Corridor Project was placed on hold. There were no federal funds used for the I-15 North Corridor EIS project. There are no immediate plans for substantial improvements in the I-15 North Corridor; therefore the EIS for the North Corridor Project will be terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Edward Woolford, Environmental Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration, 2520 West 4700 South, Suite 9A, Salt Lake City, Utah 84047, Office Hours: 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. MST, Edward.Woolford@DOT.gov. Ms. Rebecka Stromness, Environmental Program Manager, Utah Department of Transportation, 4501 South 2700 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119, Office Hours 7 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. MST RStromness@Utah.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Notice of Intent for this project was originally published on January 22, 1997. The FHWA, in conjunction with the Utah Department of Transportation, has decided to discontinue efforts on this project.

Issued on: April 4, 2008.

Edward T. Woolford,

Environmental Program Manager, Salt Lake City, Utah.

[FR Doc. E8-7577 Filed 4-9-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

[Docket Number FHWA-2008-0037]

Proposed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Assigning Environmental Responsibilities to the State of Utah

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Utah Division Office, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed MOU and request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that the FHWA and the Utah Department of Transportation, have developed a proposed MOU, pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326, under which the FHWA would assign to the State the FHWA's responsibility for determining whether a project is categorically excluded from preparation of an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.* (NEPA), and for carrying out certain other responsibilities for conducting environmental reviews, consultations, and related activities for Federal-aid highway projects. The public is invited to comment on any aspect of the proposed MOU, including the proposed designations of categorical exclusions and scope of environmental review, consultation and other activities to be assigned.

DATES: Please submit comments by May 27, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments through the U.S. Document Management System (DMS), identified by Docket Number FHWA-2008-0037, or by any of the methods described below:

Web site: <http://www.udot.utah.gov/go/environmental>.

Fax: 1-202-493-2251.

Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

Hand Delivery: Ground Floor Room W12-140, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Docket: For access to the docket to view a complete copy of the proposed MOU, or to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> at anytime or go to the ground floor U.S. Department of Transportation, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Edward Woolford, Environmental Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration, 2520 West 4700 South, Suite 9A, Salt Lake City, Utah 84118, Office Hours: 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (MST), Edward.Woolford@DOT.gov; Ms. Rebecka Stromness, Environmental Program Manager, Utah Department of Transportation, 4501 South 2700 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119, Office Hours 7 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (MST), RStromness@Utah.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

An electronic copy of this notice may be downloaded using a computer, modem and suitable communications software from the Government Printing Office's Electronic Bulletin Board Service at (202) 512-1661. Internet users may reach the Office of the Federal Register's home page at <http://www.archives.gov> and the Government Printing Office's Web site at <http://www.access.gpo.gov>. An electronic version of the proposed MOU may be downloaded by accessing the electronic DMS docket, as described above, at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Background

Section 6004(a) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Pub. L. 109-059), codified as Section 326 of amended Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code (23 U.S.C. 326), allows the Secretary of the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT Secretary), to assign, and a State to assume, responsibility for determining whether certain designated activities are included within classes of action that are categorically excluded from requirements for environmental assessments or environmental impact statements pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality under part 1500 of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) (as in effect on October 1, 2003). The FHWA is authorized to act on behalf of the USDOT Secretary with respect to these matters. Under the proposed MOU, the FHWA would assign to the State the responsibility for making decisions on the following types of categorical exclusions:

1. Activities listed in 23 CFR 771.117 (c);
2. The example activities listed in 23 CFR 771.117(d); and
3. Additional actions listed in Appendix A: None.

The proposed MOU also would assign to the State the responsibility for conducting Federal environmental review, consultation, and other related activities for projects that are subject to the MOU with respect to the following Federal laws and Executive Orders:

1. Clean Air Act (CAA), 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q (determinations of project-level conformity if required for the project).
2. Compliance with the noise regulations in 23 CFR Part 772.
3. Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 U.S.C. 1531-1544 and Section 1536.
4. Marine Mammal Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. 1361.
5. Anadromous Fish Conservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 757a-757g.
6. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C., 661-667d.
7. Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C. 703-712.
8. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*
9. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, 16 U.S.C., 470(f) *et seq.*
10. Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966, 23 U.S.C. 138 and 49 U.S.C. 303.