2. An increase in rail yard activity of at least 20 percent or more in carload activity (rail car switching and block swapping).

3. Increase in truck traffic greater than 10 percent of average daily traffic (ADT)

or 50 trucks per day.

B. Discuss the net change in emissions from changes in railroad operations associated with the proposed transaction. Net emissions changes will be calculated for counties with projected proposed transaction-related changes in train traffic.

C. Discuss the following information regarding the anticipated transportation of ozone depleting materials (such as

nitrogen oxide and Freon):

1. Materials and quantity;

- 2. Applicants' safety practices;
- 3. Applicants' safety record (within the United States) on derailments, accidents, and spills;
- 4. Contingency plans to address accidental spills; and
- 5. Likelihood of an accidental release of ozone depleting materials in the event of a collision or derailment.
- D. Discuss potential air emissions increases from vehicle delays at highway/rail at-grade crossings where the crossing is projected to experience a change in rail traffic arising from the proposed transaction over the thresholds described above. Such increases will be factored into the net emissions estimates for the affected area.
- E. Estimate potential increases or decreases in diesel particulate emissions arising from the proposed transaction.
- F. Discuss potential for changes in greenhouse gas emissions arising from the proposed transaction and how such changes may relate to climate change.

9. Noise and Vibration

The EIS will:

A. Describe potential noise and vibration impacts of the proposed transaction for those areas that exceed the Board's environmental thresholds identified in the Air Quality section.

- B. Identify whether the proposed transaction-related increases in rail traffic will cause an increase to a noise level of 65 dBA $L_{\rm dn}$ and 3 dBA $L_{\rm dn}$ or greater. If so, an estimate of the number of sensitive receptors (e.g., schools and residences) within such areas will be made.
- C. Assess potential proposed transaction-related vibration effects based on Federal Transit Administration (FTA) vibration methodology in areas where it appears there may be vibration sensitive receptors within or immediately adjacent to the railroad right of way.

D. Discuss existing or planned Quiet Zones.

10. Biological Resources

The EIS will:

A. Discuss the potential environmental impacts of construction of proposed connections, siding extensions, and installation of double track on federal or state endangered or threatened species or designated critical habitats.

- B. Discuss the effects of construction of proposed rail connections, siding extensions, and installation of double track on wildlife sanctuaries or refuges, and national or state parks or forests.
- C. Discuss potential effects of proposed transaction-related increased train traffic on federal or state designated protected species or areas of special biological significance.

11. Water Resources

The EIS will:

A. Describe existing surface and groundwater resources in the vicinity of the EJ & E, particularly in areas of planned construction activity.

- B. Discuss whether potential impacts from the construction of proposed rail connections, siding extensions, and installation of double track may be inconsistent with applicable federal or state water quality standards.
- C. Discuss whether permits may be required under Sections 404 or 402 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344) for any construction of proposed rail connections, siding extensions, and installation of double track, and whether any such projects have the potential to encroach upon any designated wetlands or 100-year floodplains.
- D. Discuss hydrogeology in the study area and presence of any designated sensitive groundwater areas.

12. Environmental Justice

The EIS will:

A. Report on the demographics in the immediate vicinity of any area where major activity such as construction of rail connections, siding extensions, and/or installation double track is proposed.

- B. Report on the demographics in the vicinity of rail lines with projected proposed transaction-related rail traffic increases above the Board's thresholds for environmental review.
- C. Evaluate whether such activities potentially have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on any minority or low-income group.

13. Cultural and Historic Resources

The EIS will address potential impacts from the proposed construction

of rail connections, siding extensions, and installation of double track on cultural and historic resources that are within areas potentially disturbed by construction activities.

14. Indirect and Cumulative Effects

The EIS will:

A. Address indirect and cumulative effects of environmental impacts that have regional or system-wide ramifications. This analysis will be done for environmental impacts that warrant such analysis given the context and scope of the proposed transaction.

B. Discuss as part of the indirect and cumulative impact analysis the potential environmental impacts of yard modification activities on railroadowned property that would potentially be affected by the proposed transaction.

C. Evaluate indirect and cumulative effects, as appropriate, for other projects or activities that relate to the proposed transaction where SEA determines that there is the likelihood of significant environmental impacts and where information is provided to the Board that describes (1) those other projects or activities, (2) their interrelationship with the proposed acquisition, and (3) the type and severity of the potential environmental impacts. This information must be provided to the Board within sufficient time to allow for review and analysis in the EIS.

15. Mitigation

Where SEA determines there is potential for significant adverse impacts arising from the proposed transaction, SEA will consider reasonable mitigation measures that could reduce or eliminate such adverse impacts. SEA may consider a range of mitigation measures based on the nature and severity of the potential impact and consistent with the Board's jurisdiction and authority.

[FR Doc. E8–9214 Filed 4–25–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

Release of Waybill Data

The Surface Transportation Board has received a request from The Brookings Institution (WB971–1—4/7/08), for permission to use certain data from the Board's Carload Waybill Samples. A copy of this request may be obtained from the Office of Economics, Environmental Analysis, and Administration.

The waybill sample contains confidential railroad and shipper data;

therefore, if any parties object to these requests, they should file their objections with the Director of the Board's Office of Economics, Environmental Analysis, and Administration within 14 calendar days of the date of this notice. The rules for release of waybill data are codified at 49 CFR 1244.9.

Contact: Mac Frampton, (202) 245–0317.

Anne K. Quinlan,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–9071 Filed 4–25–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Additional Designations, Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets

Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") is publishing the names of 5 additional entities and individuals whose property and interests in property have been blocked pursuant to the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act ("Kingpin Act") (21 U.S.C. 1901–1908, 8 U.S.C. 1182).

DATES: The designation by the Secretary of the Treasury of the two entities and four individuals identified in this notice pursuant to section 805(b) of the Kingpin Act is effective on April 22, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Assistant Director, Compliance Outreach & Implementation, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20220, tel.: 202/622–2490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic and Facsimile Availability

This document and additional information concerning OFAC are available on OFAC's Web site (http://www.treas.gov/ofac) or via facsimile through a 24-hour fax-on-demand service, tel.: (202) 622–0077.

Background

The Kingpin Act became law on December 3, 1999. The Kingpin Act establishes a program targeting the activities of significant foreign narcotics traffickers and their organizations on a worldwide basis. It provides a statutory framework for the President to impose sanctions against significant foreign narcotics traffickers and their organizations on a worldwide basis, with the objective of denying their businesses and agents access to the U.S. financial system and to the benefits of trade and transactions involving U.S. companies and individuals.

The Kingpin Act blocks all property and interests in property, subject to U.S. jurisdiction, owned or controlled by significant foreign narcotics traffickers as identified by the President. In addition, the Kingpin Act blocks the property and interests in property, subject to U.S. jurisdiction, of foreign persons designated by the Secretary of Treasury, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Director of Central Intelligence, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Homeland Security who are found to be: (1) Materially assisting in, or providing financial or technological support for or to, or providing goods or services in support of, the international narcotics trafficking activities of a person designated pursuant to the Kingpin Act; (2) owned, controlled, or directed by, or acting for or on behalf of, a person designated pursuant to the Kingpin Act; or (3) playing a significant role in international narcotics

trafficking.
On April 22, 2008, OFAC designated two additional entities and four additional individuals whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to section 805(b) of the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act.

The list of additional designees is as follows:

Entities

1. CAMBIOS NASDAQ LTDA, Avenida 15 No. 77–05 Local 2–106, Bogota, Colombia; NIT # 8301284123 (Colombia); (ENTITY) [SDNTK].

2. CAMBIOS EL TREBOL, Avenida Calle 26 No. 69C–03 Local 214, Bogota, Colombia; Commercial Registry Number 1404087 (Colombia); (ENTITY) [SDNTK].

Individuals

1. CALDERON VELANDIA, Nilson (a.k.a. "Villa"); Colombia; DOB 18 Jul 1974; POB Mogotes, Santander, Colombia; Citizen Colombia; Nationality Colombia; Cedula No. 91348897 (Colombia); Passport AK040618 (Colombia); (INDIVIDUAL) [SDNTK].

2. CAMACHO BERNAL, Jose Edilberto, Colombia; DOB 28 Feb 1954; POB Venecia, Cundinamarca, Colombia; Citizen Colombia; Nationality Colombia; Cedula No. 11374416 (Colombia); Passport AI222190 (Colombia); (INDIVIDUAL) [SDNTK].

- 3. DIAZ HERRERA, Carlos Olimpo, c/o CAMBIOS NASDAQ LTDA, Bogota, Colombia; DOB 07 Feb 1954; POB Pandi, Cundinamarca, Colombia; Citizen Colombia; Nationality Colombia; Cedula No. 11250581 (Colombia); Passport 11250581 (Colombia); (INDIVIDUAL) [SDNTK].
- 4. RINCON MOLINA, Myriam, c/o CAMBIOS EL TREBOL, Bogota, Colombia; DOB 29 Jan 1959; POB Girardot, Cundinamarca, Colombia; Citizen Colombia; Nationality Colombia; Cedula No. 20622294 (Colombia); Passport AK739055 (Colombia); (INDIVIDUAL) [SDNTK].

Dated: April 22, 2008.

Barbara Hammerle,

Acting Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

[FR Doc. E8–9218 Filed 4–25–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4811–45–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Internal Revenue Service Advisory Council (IRSAC); Nominations

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury. **ACTION:** Request for Applications.

SUMMARY: The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requests applications of individuals to be considered for selection as Internal Revenue Service Advisory Council (IRSAC) members. Applications will be accepted for current vacancies and should describe and document the applicant's qualifications for membership. IRSAC is comprised of up to thirty (30) appointed members; approximately three of these appointments will expire in December 2008. It is important that the IRSAC continue to represent a diverse taxpayer and stakeholder base. Accordingly, to maintain membership diversity, selection is based on the applicant's qualifications as well as areas of expertise.

The Internal Revenue Service Advisory Council (IRSAC) provides an organized public forum for IRS officials and representatives of the public to discuss relevant tax administration issues. The council advises the IRS on issues that have a substantive effect on federal tax administration. As an advisory body designed to focus on broad policy matters, the IRSAC reviews existing tax policy and/or recommends policies with respect to emerging tax administration issues. The IRSAC