

purpose Project Management Alternative.

Because improvement measures under consideration are at a conceptual level of development, the USIBWC has taken a broad programmatic look at the environmental implications of their implementation. The USIBWC will apply the programmatic evaluation as an overall guidance for environmental evaluations of future individual improvement projects developed for implementation. Once any given project is identified for implementation, site-specific environmental documentation will be developed based on project specifications and PEIS findings.

DATES: The Final PEIS will be available to agencies, organizations and the general public on May 14, 2008. A copy of the Final PEIS will also be posted in the USIBWC Web site at <http://www.ibwc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Daniel Borunda, Environmental Protection Specialist, Environmental Management Division, USIBWC, 4171 North Mesa Street, C-100, El Paso, Texas 79902 or e-mail: danielborunda@ibwc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Final PEIS analyzes potential effects of the No Action Alternative and a Multi-purpose Project Management (MPM) Alternative for potential environmental improvements to the Tijuana River FCP. Potential improvements incorporated into the MPM Alternative took into consideration measures for modified management of the floodway, and environmental measures supporting initiatives by federal agencies, local governments, and other organizations conducted, largely, under cooperative agreements. The No Action and MPM alternatives were evaluated in terms of their potential effects on water, biological, cultural and socioeconomic resources, land use, and environmental health issues.

Based on the impact analysis, the USIBWC selected the MPM Alternative as the preferred option for improvements to Tijuana River FCP. The MPM Alternative incorporates measures for habitat development and water quality, and is consistent with the core project mission of flood control. Public participation in the PEIS development included a 45-day review period of the Draft PEIS, and a Public Hearing held in the City of Imperial Beach, California on August 30, 2007. Copies of the Final PEIS have been filed with USEPA in accordance with 40 CFR parts 1500–1508 and USIBWC procedures. A Record of Decision on the PEIS alternative selection is anticipated

30 days following the Final PEIS publication date.

Dated: May 7, 2008.

Susan E. Daniel,

Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. E8–10686 Filed 5–13–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7010-01-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–647]

In the Matter of: Certain Hand-Held Meat Tenderizers; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 14, 2008, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Jaccard Corporation of Orchard Park, New York. A supplement to the complaint was filed on May 6, 2008. The complaint as supplemented alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain hand-held meat tenderizers by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,172,879 and also by reason of infringement of trade dress, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States with respect to the asserted intellectual property rights.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and the supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the

Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anne Goalwin, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2574.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2007).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 8, 2008, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine:

(a) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(C) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain hand-held meat tenderizers by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,172,879, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337, or

(b) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain hand-held meat tenderizers by reason of infringement of trade dress, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—
Jaccard Corporation, 3421 North Benzing Road, Orchard Park, New York 14127.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Keystone Manufacturing Co., Inc., 20 Norris Street, Buffalo, New York 14207.

Chefmaster/Mr. Bar-B-Q Inc., 445 Winding Road, Old Bethpage, New York 11804.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Anne Goalwin, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401B, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 8, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-10687 Filed 5-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-745 (Second Review)]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Turkey

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Turkey.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full

review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 6, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 6, 2008, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (73 FR 6206, February 1, 2008) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 9, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-10765 Filed 5-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Federal Register Notice; Public Comment and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), the United States hereby publishes below the comment received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States v. Multiple Listing Service of Hilton Head Island, Inc.*, No. 9:07-CV-0343 5-SB, which was filed in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina on March 4, 2008, together with the response of the United States to the comment.

Copies of the comments and the response are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division; 450 Fifth Street, NW.; Suite 1010; Washington, DC 20530 (telephone (202) 514-2481); and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, Matthew J. Perry Jr. Courthouse, 901 Richland Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (telephone (803) 765-5816). Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

J. Robert Kramer II,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, Beaufort Division

United States of America, Plaintiff v. Multiple Listing Service of Hilton Head Island, Inc., Defendant

Civil Action No. 9:07-C V-3435-SB

Response of the United States to Public Comment on the Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h) ("APPA" or "Tunney Act"), the United States hereby responds to the one public comment received during the public comment period regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case. After careful consideration of the comment, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violation alleged in the Complaint. The United States will move the Court for entry of the proposed Final Judgment after the public comment and this Response have been published in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(d).