

02 as given in the service bulletin. An "operator's equivalent procedure" may be used only if approved as an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (p) of this AD.

Compliance With AD 2007-12-11, Amendment 39-15089, for MED 3 Only

(m) Accomplishment of the applicable repair required by this AD constitutes compliance with the repair of the lower forward corner casting (reveal) of the number 3 MEDs only, as required by paragraph (q)(2)(ii) of AD 2007-12-11 (which specifies the actions to be done in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-53A2378, Revision 1, dated March 10, 1994; or Boeing Service Bulletin 747-53A2378, Revision 3, dated August 11, 2005). Accomplishment of the actions of this AD does not terminate the remaining requirements of AD 2007-12-11.

Parts Installation

(n) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a door lower forward corner reveal made of cast 356 aluminum on any airplane at a location specified by this AD.

(o) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a door lower forward corner reveal made of machined 6061 aluminum on any airplane at a location specified by this AD, unless it has been confirmed/reworked to be without a sharp edge in accordance with the service bulletin.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(p)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD, if it is approved by an Authorized Representative for the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Delegation Option Authorization Organization who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 7, 2008.

Michael J. Kaszycki,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E8-11474 Filed 5-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0584; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-315-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328-100 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all AvCraft Dornier Model 328-100 airplanes. The existing AD currently requires modifying the electrical wiring of the fuel pumps; installing insulation at the hand flow control and shut-off valves, and other components of the environmental control system; and installing markings at fuel wiring harnesses. The existing AD also requires revising the Airworthiness Limitations section (ALS) of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to incorporate new inspections of the fuel tank system. This proposed AD would replace the flight-hour-based threshold for conducting certain initial inspections, with an 8-year threshold. This proposed AD results from fuel system reviews conducted by the manufacturer. We are proposing this AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 23, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- **Fax:** 202-493-2251.
- **Mail:** U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- **Hand Delivery:** U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this AD, contact 328 Support Services

GmbH, P.O. Box 1252, D-82231 Wessling, Germany.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Groves, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1503; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2008-0584; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-315-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

On June 15, 2005, we issued AD 2005-13-24, amendment 39-14161 (70 FR 36470, June 24, 2005), for all AvCraft Dornier Model 328-100 airplanes. That AD requires modifying the electrical wiring of the fuel pumps; installing insulation at the flow control and shut-off valves, and other components of the environmental control system; and installing markings at fuel wiring harnesses. That AD also requires revising the Airworthiness Limitations section (ALS) of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to incorporate new inspections of the fuel tank system. That AD resulted from fuel system reviews conducted by the manufacturer.

We issued that AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane.

Actions Since Existing AD Was Issued

Since we issued AD 2005–13–24, the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has assumed responsibility for the airplane model subject to this AD, and has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2006–0197 [Corrected], dated July 11, 2006. The EASA airworthiness directive revises the threshold for conducting the initial inspections specified in the ALS. That threshold was originally specified in the German airworthiness directive that corresponds to AD 2005–13–24: German airworthiness directive D–2005–001, dated January 26, 2005.

Relevant Service Information

AvCraft Dornier has issued Service Bulletin SB–328–00–445, Revision 1, dated June 17, 2005. We referred to the original issue of the service bulletin, dated August 23, 2004, as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing certain actions required by AD 2005–13–24. The procedures in Revision 1 of the service bulletin are essentially the same as those in the original issue. However, Figure 4, a wiring harness diagram, is corrected in Revision 1 of the service bulletin. Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition.

We have also reviewed Section F, “Fuel Tank System Limitations,” of the Dornier 328 Airworthiness Limitations Document (hereafter referred to as “the ALD”), Revision 15, dated January 15, 2005. The limitations in the document are divided into two sections as follows:

- System Code 28–00–00 (sub-tasks 28–00–00–02 and 28–00–00–03) specifies the scheduled maintenance tasks, which are detailed inspections of the outer and inner internal fuel tank harness.
- System Code 28–00–99–00 (sub-tasks 28–00–99–01, 28–00–99–02, and 28–00–99–03) specifies critical design configuration control limitations (CDCCLs).

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

These airplanes are manufactured in Germany and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the

Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. As described in FAA Order 8100.14A, “Interim Procedures for Working with the European Community on Airworthiness Certification and Continued Airworthiness,” dated August 12, 2005, the EASA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. We have examined the EASA’s findings, evaluated all pertinent information, and determined that we need to issue an AD for airplanes of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

This proposed AD would supersede AD 2005–13–24 and would retain the requirements of the existing AD. This proposed AD would also replace the flight-hour-based threshold for conducting certain initial inspections, with a calendar-based threshold.

Costs of Compliance

This proposed AD would affect about 16 airplanes of U.S. registry. The actions that are required by AD 2005–13–24 and retained in this proposed AD take about 70 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$80 per work hour. Required parts cost about \$14,118 per airplane. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the currently required actions is \$315,488, or \$19,718 per airplane.

The new proposed action to revise the Airworthiness Limitations section would take about 1 work hour per airplane. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the new actions specified in this proposed AD for U.S. operators is \$1,280, or \$80 per airplane.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by removing amendment 39–14161 (70 FR 36470, June 24, 2005) and adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

328 Support Services GmbH (Formerly Avcraft Aerospace GmbH): Docket No. FAA–2008–0584; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–315–AD.

Comments Due Date

- (a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by June 23, 2008.

Affected ADs

- (b) This AD supersedes AD 2005–13–24.

Applicability

- (c) This AD applies to all Dornier Model 328–100 airplanes, certificated in any category.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from fuel system reviews conducted by the manufacturer. We are issuing this AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Note 1: This AD requires revisions to certain operator maintenance documents to include inspections. Compliance with these inspections is required by 14 CFR 91.403(c). For airplanes that have been previously modified, altered, or repaired in the areas addressed by these inspections, the operator may not be able to accomplish the inspections described in the revisions. In this situation, to comply with 14 CFR 91.403(c), the operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance according to paragraph (j) of this AD. The request should include a description of changes to

the required inspections that will ensure the continued operational safety of the airplane.

Restatement of the Requirements of AD 2005–13–24**Modification and Installations**

(f) Within 12 months after July 29, 2005 (the effective date of AD 2005–13–24), do the actions in Table 1 of this AD in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of AvCraft Service Bulletin SB–328–00–445, dated August 23, 2004; or Revision 1, dated June 17, 2005.

TABLE 1.—REQUIREMENTS

Do the following actions—	By accomplishing all the actions specified in—
(1) Modify the electrical wiring of the left-hand and right-hand fuel pumps	Paragraph 2.B(1) of the service bulletin.
(2) Install insulation at the left-hand and right-hand flow control and shut-off valves, and other components of the environmental control system.	Paragraph 2.B(2) of the service bulletin.
(3) Install markings at fuel wiring harnesses	Paragraph 2.B(3) of the service bulletin.

Revision to Airworthiness Limitations

(g) Within 12 months after July 29, 2005, revise the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness by inserting a copy of Dornier Temporary Revision ALD–080, dated October 15, 2003, into the Dornier 328 Airworthiness Limitations Document. Thereafter, except as provided in paragraphs (i) and (j) of this AD, no alternative inspection intervals may be approved for this fuel tank system.

New Requirements of This AD**Revised Initial Compliance Time**

(h) For Tasks 28–00–00–02 and 28–00–00–03 (“Detailed Inspection of Outer Fuel Tank harness internal, LH/RH,” and “Detailed Inspection of Inner Fuel Tank harness internal, LH/RH”), as identified in Dornier Temporary Revision ALD–080, dated October 15, 2003, or Section F, “Fuel Tank System Limitations,” of the Dornier 328 Airworthiness Limitations Document (ALD), Revision 15, dated January 15, 2005; the initial compliance time is within 8 years after the effective date of this AD. Thereafter, except as provided by paragraphs (i) and (j) of this AD, these tasks must be accomplished at the repetitive interval specified in Section F, “Fuel Tank System Limitations,” of the Dornier 328 ALD, Revision 15, dated January 15, 2005.

Later Revisions of the ALD

(i) After accomplishing the actions specified in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this AD, no alternative inspections, inspection intervals, or CDCCLs may be used unless the inspections, intervals, or CDCCLs are part of a later revision of Section F, “Fuel Tank System Limitations,” of the Dornier 328 ALD, Revision 15, dated January 15, 2005, that is approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) (or its delegated agent); or unless the inspections, intervals, or CDCCLs are approved as an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (j) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(j)(1) The Manager, ANM–116, International Branch, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

Related Information

(k) EASA airworthiness directive 2006–0197 [Corrected], dated July 11, 2006, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 14, 2008.

Ali Bahrami,

*Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. E8–11469 Filed 5–21–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

[Docket No. USCG–2008–0229, Formerly CGD05–07–021]

RIN 1625–AA09

**Drawbridge Operation Regulations;
Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW),
Albemarle and Chesapeake Canal,
Chesapeake, VA**

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is withdrawing its notice of proposed rulemaking concerning the proposed change to the regulations that govern the operation of the Centerville Turnpike (SR 170) Bridge, at AIWW mile 15.2, across the Albemarle and Chesapeake Canal in Chesapeake, Virginia. The requested change would have allowed the bridge to open on signal every hour on the half hour from 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., year round. The withdrawal is based on further investigation indicating that this change would not improve the schedule for both roadway and waterway users.

DATES: The proposed rule published on April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17065), is withdrawn on May 22, 2008.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this withdrawn rulemaking is available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notice, call Bill H. Brazier, Bridge Management Specialist, Fifth Coast Guard District, at (757) 398–6422.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On April 6, 2007, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled “Drawbridge Operation