

Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 73, Number 70, page 19529 on April 10, 2008, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until July 14, 2008. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202)-395-5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* A National Repository for the Collection and Inventory of Information Related to Arson and the Criminal Misuse of Explosives.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the*

*collection:* Form Number: None. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local or Tribal Government. Other: Federal Government. Abstract: All Federal agencies are required to report information relating to arson and the criminal misuse of explosives in a national repository database maintained by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF)-United States Bomb Data Center (USBDC). State, Local and Tribal law enforcement agencies report this information on a voluntary basis. The ATF USBDC maintains all National Repository databases within the Department of Justice.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* There will be an estimated 2,000 respondents, who will report the information within approximately 10 minutes.

(6) *An estimate of the total burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 333 total burden hours associated with this collection.

*If additional information is required contact:* Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 9, 2008.

**Lynn Bryant**

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E8-13299 Filed 6-12-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Bureau of Prisons

#### Annual Determination of Average Cost of Incarceration

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Prisons, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The fee to cover the average cost of incarceration for Federal inmates in Fiscal Year 2007 was \$24,922. In addition, the average annual cost to confine an inmate in a Community Corrections Center for Fiscal Year 2007 was \$22,871.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 13, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Office of General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons, 320 First St., NW., Washington, DC 20534.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sarah Qureshi, (202) 307-2105.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 28 CFR part 505 allows for assessment and collection of a fee to cover the average cost of incarceration for Federal inmates. We calculate this fee by dividing the number representing Bureau facilities' monetary obligation (excluding activation costs) by the number of inmate-days incurred for the preceding fiscal year, and then by multiplying the quotient by 365.

Under § 505.2, the Director of the Bureau of Prisons determined that, based upon fiscal year 2007 data, the fee to cover the average cost of incarceration for Federal inmates in Fiscal Year 2007 was \$24,922. In addition, the average annual cost to confine an inmate in a Community Corrections Center for Fiscal Year 2007 was \$22,871.

**Harley G. Lappin,**

Director, Bureau of Prisons.

[FR Doc. E8-13265 Filed 6-12-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-05-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-331]

### FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-49 issued to FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC (the licensee) for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC) located in Linn County, Iowa.

The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specification (TS) Section 3.8.1 Actions for the Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG) to remove the conditional surveillance requirement to test the alternate EDG whenever one EDG is taken out of service for pre-planned preventive maintenance and testing.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under

the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* No.

The proposed change eliminates a conditional surveillance of the Operable EDG whenever the alternate division EDG is out of service for pre-planned preventive maintenance and testing. The EDG are not an initiator of any accident previously evaluated. As a result, the probability of any accident previously evaluated is not significantly increased.

The consequences of any accident previously evaluated are not increased, as the EDG will continue to meet its safety function to supply backup AC power as specified in the accident analysis, in a highly reliable manner, as a common cause problem between the two EDGs will have been precluded, the alternate division EDG will no longer be taken out of service for testing, and its normally scheduled surveillances will be met.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* No.

No new or different accidents result from utilizing the proposed change. The changes do not involve a physical alteration of the plant (i.e., no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. The changes do not alter assumptions made in the safety analysis for EDG performance.

Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

*Response:* No.

The proposed change eliminates a conditional surveillance of the Operable EDG whenever the alternate division EDG is out of service for pre-planned preventive maintenance and testing. The EDG will continue to meet its specified safety function in the safety analysis to provide backup AC

power, in a highly reliable manner, as a common cause problem between the two EDGs will have been precluded, the alternate division EDG will no longer be taken out of service for testing, and its normally scheduled surveillances will be met.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Commission may issue the license amendment before expiration of the 60-day period provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. In addition, the Commission may issue the amendment prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period should circumstances change during the 30-day comment period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility. Should the Commission take action prior to the expiration of either the comment period or the notice period, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. Should the Commission make a final No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, any hearing will take place after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rulemaking, Directives and Editing Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, the person(s) may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person(s) whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request via electronic submission through the NRC E-filing system for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's Rules of "Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2.

Interested person(s) should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309, which is available at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/>. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or a presiding officer designated by the Commission or by the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of a hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.309, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following general requirements: (1) The name, address and telephone number of the requestor or petitioner; (2) the nature of the requestor's/petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (3) the nature and extent of the requestor's/petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (4) the possible effect of any decision or order which may be entered in the proceeding on the requestor's/petitioner's interest. The petition must also identify the specific contentions which the petitioner/

requestor seeks to have litigated at the proceeding.

Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner/requestor shall provide a brief explanation of the bases for the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner/requestor must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. The petition must include sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner/requestor who fails to satisfy these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule, which the NRC promulgated on August 28, 2007 (72 FR 49139). The E-Filing process requires participants to submit and serve documents over the internet or in some cases to mail copies on electronic storage media. Participants may not submit paper copies of their filings unless they seek a waiver in accordance with the procedures described below.

To comply with the procedural requirements of E-Filing, at least ten (10) days prior to the filing deadline, the petitioner/requestor must contact the Office of the Secretary by e-mail at [hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov), or by calling (301) 415-1677, to request (1) a digital ID certificate, which allows the participant (or its counsel or representative) to digitally sign documents and access the E-Submittal server for any proceeding in which it is participating; and/or (2) creation of an electronic docket for the proceeding (even in instances in which the petitioner/requestor (or its counsel or representative) already holds an NRC-issued digital ID certificate). Each petitioner/requestor will need to download the Workplace Forms Viewer™ to access the Electronic Information Exchange (EIE), a component of the E-Filing system. The Workplace Forms Viewer™ is free and is available at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals/install-viewer.html>. Information about applying for a digital ID certificate is available on NRC's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals/apply-certificates.html>. Once a petitioner/requestor has obtained a digital ID certificate, had a docket created, and downloaded the EIE viewer, it can then submit a request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene. Submissions should be in Portable Document Format (PDF) in accordance with NRC guidance available on the NRC public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>. A filing is considered complete at the time the filer submits its documents through EIE. To be timely, an electronic filing must be submitted to the EIE system no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date. Upon receipt of a transmission, the E-Filing system time-stamps the document and sends the submitter an e-mail notice confirming receipt of the document. The EIE system also distributes an e-mail notice that provides access to the document to the NRC Office of the General Counsel and any others who have advised the Office of the Secretary that they wish to participate in the proceeding, so that the filer need not serve the documents on those participants separately. Therefore, applicants and other participants (or their counsel or representative) must apply for and receive a digital ID certificate before a hearing request/petition to intervene is filed so that they can obtain access to the document via the E-Filing system.

A person filing electronically may seek assistance through the "Contact

Us" link located on the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html> or by calling the NRC technical help line, which is available between 8:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday. The help line number is (800) 397-4209 or locally, (301) 415-4737. Participants who believe that they have a good cause for not submitting documents electronically must file a motion, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302(g), with their initial paper filing requesting authorization to continue to submit documents in paper format. Such filings must be submitted by: (1) First class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, *Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff*; or (2) courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service to the Office of the Secretary, Sixteenth Floor, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, *Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff*. Participants filing a document in this manner are responsible for serving the document on all other participants. Filing is considered complete by first-class mail as of the time of deposit in the mail, or by courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service upon depositing the document with the provider of the service.

Non-timely requests and/or petitions and contentions will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer, or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted and/or the contentions should be admitted, based on a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1)(i)-(viii). To be timely, filings must be submitted no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Documents submitted in adjudicatory proceedings will appear in NRC's electronic hearing docket which is available to the public at [http://ehd.nrc.gov/EHD\\_Proceeding/home.asp](http://ehd.nrc.gov/EHD_Proceeding/home.asp), unless excluded pursuant to an order of the Commission, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, or a Presiding Officer. Participants are requested not to include personal privacy information, such as social security numbers, home addresses, or home phone numbers in their filings. With respect to copyrighted works, except for limited excerpts that serve the purpose of the adjudicatory filings and would constitute a Fair Use application, Participants are requested not to include copyrighted materials in their submissions.

For further details with respect to this license amendment application, see the application for amendment dated February 19, 2008, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, File Public Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of June, 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Justin C. Poole,**

*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch  
III-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E8-13323 Filed 6-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-04336]

### Notice of Environmental Assessment Related to the Issuance of a License Amendment To Terminate Byproduct Material License No. 13-02249-01, for Bayer Healthcare, LLC, Elkhart, IN

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License termination.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George M. McCann, Senior Health Physicist, Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region III, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2443 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532; telephone: (630) 829-9856; fax number: (630) 515-1259; or by e-mail at [Mike.McCann@nrc.gov](mailto:Mike.McCann@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of an amendment to terminate NRC Byproduct Materials License No. 13-02249-01, which is held by Bayer Healthcare, LLC (licensee). The issuance

of the amendment would authorize the unrestricted release of the licensee's facilities located at 1884 Miles Avenue, Elkhart, Indiana, and 1000 Randolph Street, Elkhart, Indiana (the facilities). The addresses specified in the licensee's license, 1884 Miles Avenue, Elkhart, Indiana, and 1000 Randolph Street, Elkhart, Indiana all refer to the same licensed site.

The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 51. Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the **Federal Register**.

## II. Environmental Assessment

### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would approve Bayer Healthcare's request to terminate its license and release the licensee's former facilities for unrestricted use in accordance with 10 CFR Part 20, Subpart E. The licensee requested termination of the Bayer Healthcare, LLC license in a letter dated October 23, 2006 (ADAMS Accession Number ML062970437), and the NRC's "Certificate of Disposition of Materials," dated October 31, 2007 (ML073050274), with a "Historical Site Assessment for the Elkhart, Indiana Facility" (ML081400331), and a "Final Status Survey Report for Selected Laboratories in Building 18," Report No. 2007006/G-4349, October 29, 2007 (ML081400331) attached. The Bayer Healthcare License No. 13-02249-01 was originally issued March 21, 1957, to Miles Laboratory, Inc. (later known as Miles-Ames Research Laboratory) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorized the Licensee to use unsealed byproduct materials for conducting research and development activities involving animals, production of reagent test kits, and on laboratory bench tops and in hoods.

Since that time, research facilities were built on the Miles-Ames campus, consisting of approximately seven acres and as many as 41 buildings. The campus was operated by Miles, Inc. until 1978 when the property was purchased by Bayer Corporation. The company name, Bayer HealthCare, LLC, was changed in 1995. The licensee's research campus is bounded by Bristol Street (State Route 19) to the north,

North Michigan Street to the east, Mishawaka Street to the south, and Oak Street to the west. Building 9, the C.S. Beardsley Building, was the principal building in which radioactive materials were used. This C.S. Beardsley Building was demolished in 1999, and research involving radioactive materials was moved to Building 18. The licensee's license was amended by the NRC on November 18, 1999 (Amendment No. 47), authorizing the release of the C.S. Beardsley Building.

Radioactive materials were used in Building 18 until 2006. The licensee had also used materials in other buildings and at remote locations approved by the NRC, which were subsequently removed from the license by previous amendments. A complete list of these locations of use, both at the Elkhart, Indiana research campus and at remote sites are discussed in the licensee's "Historical Site Assessment for the Elkhart, Indiana Facility."

Building 18 is located on the Elkhart, Indiana research campus, and is a multi-story brick building that was constructed to house various chemical research and development activities. Radioactive materials were used in Building 18 from 1975 to 2006. The Building 18 laboratories were equipped with cabinets, ventilation hoods, and sinks. The concrete floors in each of the laboratories were covered with an industrial-grade tile to restrict the absorption of liquids. The building is currently maintained by Bayer.

A wide range of research was conducted in Building 18, wherein both short- and long-lived radioisotopes were used. Several areas in Building 18 used hydrogen-3 and carbon-14 during the late 1970s and into the early 1990s. These isotopes were used in quantities ranging from microcuries to millicuries in different chemical forms. From 1995 until the present day, the use of radioactivity was limited primarily to microcurie quantities of iodine-125.

Miles Laboratories and Bayer did not dispose of radioactive waste via on-site burial. All waste containing long-lived radioisotopes was shipped offsite to a licensed landfill approved to receive and dispose of radioactive materials. There were no related environmental concerns identified during the record search or interviews of the radiation safety staff. There were no recorded spills or loss of control that required additional investigation.

The licensee ceased licensed activities and completed decontamination of the licensee's facilities in 2006. The licensee also completed "in-house surveys," which were submitted to the NRC on October 23, 2006