6, except that instead of loaning \$50 million to D, C contributes the \$50 million to E in exchange for 10 percent of the stock of E. E is a country Y corporation that is not engaged in the active conduct of a trade or business. Also in year 1, D pays no dividends to C, E pays \$3.2 million in dividends to C, and C makes a payment of \$960,000 to country X with respect to C's net income.

(ii) Result. C's dividend income attributable to its stock in E is passive investment income, and C's stock in E is held to produce such income. C's stock in D is not held to produce passive investment income because C owns at least 10 percent of D and D derives more than 50 percent of its income from the active conduct of its widget business. See paragraph (e)(5)(iv)(C)(5)(ii) of this section. As a result, less than substantially all of C's assets are held to produce passive investment income. Accordingly, C is not an SPV because it does not meet the requirements of paragraph (e)(5)(iv)(B)(1) of this section, and the \$960,000 payment to country X is not attributable to a structured passive investment arrangement.

Example 8. Asset holding transaction. (i) Facts. (A) A domestic corporation (USP) contributes \$6 billion of country Z debt obligations to a country Z entity (DE) in exchange for all of the class A and class B stock of DE. A corporation unrelated to USP and organized in country Z (FC) contributes \$1.5 billion to DE in exchange for all of the class C stock of DE. DE uses the \$1.5 billion contributed by FC to redeem USP's class B stock. The class C stock is entitled to "all" income from DE. However, FC is obligated immediately to contribute back to DE all distributions on the class C stock. USP and FC enter into—

(1) A contract under which USP agrees to buy after five years the class C stock for \$1.5 billion; and

(2) An agreement under which USP agrees to pay FC periodic payments on \$1.5 billion.

(B) For U.S. tax purposes, these steps create a loan of \$1.5 billion from FC to USP, and USP is the owner of the class C stock and the class A stock. DE is a disregarded entity for U.S. tax purposes and a corporation for country Z tax purposes. In year 1, DE earns \$400 million of interest income on the country Z debt obligations. DE makes a payment to country Z of \$100 million with respect to such income and distributes the remaining \$300 million to FC. FC contributes the \$300 million back to DE. None of FC's stock is owned, directly or indirectly, by USP or shareholders of USP that are domestic corporations, U.S. citizens, or resident alien individuals. Country Z does not impose tax on interest income derived by U.S. residents.

(C) Country Z treats FC as the owner of the class C stock. Pursuant to country Z tax law, FC is required to report the \$400 million of income with respect to the \$300 million distribution from DE, but is allowed to claim credits for DE's \$100 million payment to country Z. For country Z tax purposes, FC is entitled to current deductions equal to the \$300 million contributed back to DE.

(ii) *Result*. The payment to country Z is not a compulsory payment, and thus is not an amount of tax paid because the payment is

attributable to a structured passive investment arrangement. First, DE is an SPV because all of DE's income is passive investment income described in paragraph (e)(5)(iv)(C)(5) of this section; all of DE's assets are held to produce such income; the payment to country Z is attributable to such income; and if the payment were an amount of tax paid it would be paid or accrued in a U.S. taxable year in which DE meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(5)(iv)(B)(1)(i) of this section. Second, if the payment were an amount of tax paid, USP would be eligible to claim a credit for such amount under section 901(a). Third, USP would not pay any country Z tax if it directly owned DE's assets. Fourth, FC is entitled to claim a credit under country Z tax law for the payment and recognizes a deduction for the \$300 million contributed to DE under country Z law. The credit claimed by FC corresponds to more than 10 percent of USP's share (for U.S. tax purposes) of the foreign payment and the deductions claimed by FC correspond to more than 10 percent of the base with respect to which USP's share of the foreign payment was imposed. Fifth, FC is a counterparty because FC is considered to own equity of DE under country Z law and none of FC's stock is owned, directly or indirectly, by USP or shareholders of USP that are domestic corporations, U.S. citizens, or resident alien individuals. Sixth, the United States and country X treat certain aspects of the transaction differently and the amount of credits claimed by USP if the country Z payment were an amount of tax paid is materially greater than it would be if FC, rather than USP, owned the class C stock for U.S. tax purposes. Because the payment to country Z is not an amount of tax paid, USP is not considered to pay tax under section 901. USP has \$400 million of interest

Example 9. Loss surrender. (i) Facts. The facts are the same as in Example 8, except that the deductions attributable to the arrangement contribute to a loss recognized by FC for country Z tax purposes, and pursuant to a group relief regime in country Z FC elects to surrender the loss to its country Z subsidiary.

(ii) Result. The results are the same as in Example 8. The surrender of the loss to a related party is a foreign tax benefit that corresponds to the base with respect to which USP's share of the foreign payment was imposed.

Example 10. Joint venture; no foreign tax benefit. (i) Facts. FC, a country X corporation, and USC, a domestic corporation, each contribute \$1 billion to a newly-formed country X entity (C) in exchange for stock of C. FC and USC are entitled to equal 50% shares of C's income, gain, expense and loss. C is treated as a corporation for country X purposes and a partnership for U.S. tax purposes. In year 1, C earns \$200 million of passive investment income, makes a payment to country X of \$60 million with respect to that income, and distributes \$70 million to each of FC and USC. Country X does not impose tax on dividends received by one country X corporation from a second country X corporation.

(ii) Result. FC's tax-exempt receipt of \$70 million, or its 50% share of C's profits, is not

a foreign tax benefit within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5)(iv)(B)(4) of this section, because it does not correspond to any part of the foreign base with respect to which USC's share of the foreign payment was imposed. Accordingly, the \$60 million payment to country X is not attributable to a structured passive investment arrangement.

(f) through (h)(1) [Reserved]. For further guidance, see § 1.901–2(f) through (h)(1).

(h)(2) This section applies to foreign payments that, if such payments were an amount of tax paid, would be considered paid or accrued under § 1.901–2(f) by a U.S. or foreign person in taxable years ending on or after July 16, 2008. In the case of foreign payments by a foreign corporation that has a domestic corporate shareholder, this section also applies to such payments that, if such payments were an amount of tax paid, would be considered paid or accrued in the foreign corporation's U.S. taxable years ending with or within taxable years of its domestic corporate shareholder ending on or after July 16, 2008. In the case of foreign payments by a partnership, trust or estate with respect to which any person would be eligible to claim a credit under section 901(b) if the payment were an amount of tax paid, this section also applies to such payments that would be considered paid or accrued in U.S. taxable years of the partnership, trust or estate ending with or within taxable years of such eligible persons ending on or after July 16, 2008.

(3) *Expiration date*. The applicability of this section expires on July 15, 2011.

#### Linda E. Stiff,

Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.

Approved: June 30, 2008.

# Eric Solomon,

Assistant Secretary of the Treasury (Tax Policy).

[FR Doc. E8–16329 Filed 7–15–08; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4830-01-P$ 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

# Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 301

[TD 9409]

RIN 1545-BI01

Amendments to the Section 7216 Regulations—Disclosure or Use of Information by Preparers of Returns; Correction

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to final and temporary regulations (TD 9409) that was published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, July 2, 2008 (73 FR 37804) providing rules relating to the disclosure and use of tax return information by tax return preparers. These regulations provide updated guidance regarding the disclosure of a taxpayer's social security number to a tax return preparer located outside of the United States.

DATES: Effective Date: July 16, 2008.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lawrence E. Mack, (202) 622–4940 (not a toll-free number).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The final and temporary regulations that are the subjects of this document are under section 7216 of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### **Need for Correction**

As published, final and temporary regulations (TD 9409) contain an error that may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

# List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 301

Employment taxes, Estate taxes, Excise taxes, Gift taxes, Income taxes, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### **Correction of Publication**

■ Accordingly, 26 CFR part 301 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

# PART 301—PROCEDURE AND ADMINISTRATION

■ Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 301 continues to read, in part, as follows:

**Authority:** 26 U.S.C. 7805 \* \* \*

■ Par. 2. Section 301.7216–3T(d) is amended by revising the second sentence to read as follows:

# § 301.7216–3T Disclosure or use permitted only with the taxpayer's consent (temporary).

(d) \* \* \* The applicability of this section expires on July 1, 2011.

#### LaNita Van Dyke,

Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration). [FR Doc. E8–16288 Filed 7–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 301

[TD 9410]

RIN 1545-BF54

Change to Office to Which Notices of Nonjudicial Sale and Requests for Return of Wrongfully Levied Property Must Be Sent; Correction

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final regulations; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to final regulations (TD 9410) that were published in the Federal Register on Tuesday, July 8, 2008 (73 FR 38915) relating to the discharge of liens under section 7425 and return of wrongfully levied upon property under section 6343 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. These regulations revise regulations currently published under sections 7425 and 6343. These regulations clarify that such notices and claims should be sent to the IRS official and office specified in the relevant IRS publications. The regulations will affect parties seeking to provide the IRS with notice of a noniudicial foreclosure sale and parties making administrative requests for return of wrongfully levied property.

**DATES:** This correction is effective July 16, 2008, and is applicable on July 8, 2008.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robin M. Ferguson, (202) 622–3630 (not a toll-free number).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The final regulations that are the subjects of this document are under sections 6343 and 7425 of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### **Need for Correction**

As published, final regulations (TD 9410) contain an error that may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

# **Correction of Publication**

- Accordingly, the publication of the final regulations (TD 9410), which were the subject of FR Doc. E8–15460, is corrected as follows:
- On page 38916, column 1, in the preamble, under the caption **DATES**:, lines 3 thru 4, the language "Applicability Date: See §§ 301.6343–2 and 301.6343–3." is corrected to read

"Applicability Date: See §§ 301.6343–2 and 301.7425–3.".

#### LaNita Van Dyke,

Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration). [FR Doc. E8–16289 Filed 7–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 105

[Docket Nos. TSA-2006-24191; USCG-2006-24196]

Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC) Implementation in the Maritime Sector; Hazardous Materials Endorsement for a Commercial Driver's License

**AGENCY:** United States Coast Guard; DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of compliance date, Captain of the Port Zones Cape Fear River, Corpus Christi, North Carolina, and Port Arthur.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice informs owners and operators of facilities located within Captain of the Port Zones Cape Fear River, Corpus Christi, North Carolina, and Port Arthur that they must implement access control procedures utilizing TWIC no later than November 28, 2008.

**DATES:** This Notice is effective July 16, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this notice as being available in the docket, are part of dockets TSA-2006-24191 and USCG-2006-24196, and are available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this Notice, call LCDR Jonathan Maiorine, telephone 1–877–687–2243. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–493–0402.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: