the Small Businesses community on a national basis.

Type of Review: Clearance and Renewal.

Abstract: In accordance with Public Law 95-507, an amendment to the Small Business Act and the Small Business Investment Act of 1953, OSDBU is responsible for the implementation and execution of the Department of Transportation (DOT) activities on behalf of small businesses, in accordance with Section 8, 15 and 31 of the Small Business Act (SBA), as amended. The Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization also administers the provisions of Title 49, of the United States Code, Section 332, the Minority Resource Center (MRC) which includes the duties of advocacy, outreach and financial services on behalf of small and disadvantaged business businesses and those certified under CFR 49 parts 23 and or 26 as Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE). The Small Business Transportation Resource Regional Centers will collect information on small businesses, which includes Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE), Women-Owned Small Business (WOB), Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), 8(a), Service Disabled Veteran Owned Business (SDVOB), Veteran Owned Small Business (VOSB). HubZone, and types of services they seek from the SBTRCs. Services and responsibilities of the SBTRCs include business analysis, general management & technical assistance and training, business counseling, outreach services/ conference participation, short-term loan assistance. The cumulative data collected will be analyzed by the OSDBU to determine the effectiveness of services provided, including counseling, outreach, and financial services. Such data will also be analyzed by the OSDBU to determine agency effectiveness in assisting small businesses to enhance their opportunities to participate in government contracts and subcontracts.

The Regional Center Intake Form, (DOT F 4500) is used by the Regional SBTRC staff to enroll small business clients into the program in order to create a viable database of firms that can participate in government contracts and subcontracts, especially those projects that are transportation related. In addition, each enrolled small business will be assigned a client number that can track the firm's involvement in the services offered by the SBTRCs. Each area on the form must be filled in electronically by the SBTRCs and retained in secured files of the client. The completion of the form is used as

a tool for making decisions about the needs of the business, such as; referral to technical assistance agencies for help, identifying the type of profession or trade of the business, the type of certification that the business holds, length of time in business, and location of the firm.

The SBTRCs must complete an Intake Form and retain copies in secured files in their offices. A limited amount of privacy information is requested on this form. We have informed the public that the Privacy Act is stated on the form. Under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552) any person can request to see or get copies of any personal information that DOT has in his or her file, when that file is retrievable by individual identifiers, such as name or Social Security Numbers. Request for information about another party may be denied unless DOT has the written permission of the individual to release the information to the requestor or unless the information is subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. This can assist the SBTRCs in developing a business plan or adjusting their business plan to increase its ability to market its goods and services to buyers and potential users of their services.

Respondents: Small Business
Transportation Resource Centers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 100.

Frequency: The information will be collected monthly.

Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 600 hours.

The Regional Resource Center Monthly Report Form (DOT F 4502) for each SBTRC must submit a monthly status report of business activities conducted during the 30 day time frame. The form is used to capture activities and accomplishments that were made by the Regional SBTRCs during the course of the month. In addition, the form includes a data collection section where numbers and hours are reported and a section that is assigned for a written narrative that provides back up that supports the data.

Activities to be reported are (1)
Counseling Activity which identifies the counseling hours provided to businesses, number of new appointments, and follow-up on counseled clients. (2) Activity for Businesses Served identifies the type of small business that is helped, such as a DBE, 8(a), WOB, HubZone, SDB, SDVOB, or VOSB. (3) Marketing Activity includes the name of an event attended by the SBTRC and the role played when participating in a conference, workshop or any other venue that relates to small businesses.

(4) Meetings that are held with government representatives in the region, or at the state level, are an activity that is reported. (5) Events Hosted by the SBTRCs, such as small business workshops, financial assistance workshops, matchmaking events, are activities that are reported on a monthly basis.

Respondents: Small Business Transportation Resource Centers. Estimated Number of Respondents: 100.

*Frequency:* The information will be collected monthly.

Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 1200 hours.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Departments estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, by the use of electronic means, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Issued in Washington, DC on August 8, 2008.

### Patricia Lawton,

DOT Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–18841 Filed 8–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–9X–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

Availability of Draft Alternatives Working Paper for the Proposed Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport, Las Vegas, Clark County, NV Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of Draft Alternatives Working Paper, correction.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) issued a notice of Availability of Draft Alternatives Working Paper that was published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 2008 (73 FR 45268). That notice advised the public the Draft Alternatives Working Paper for the Draft EIS will be made available for public comment pursuant to section 304 of the *Vision 100 Century of Aviation Act of 2003* (Pub. L. 108–176) [49 U.S.C. 47171(I)]. This notice corrects the days of the comment period from 30 days to 60 days.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David B. Kessler, AICP, Project Manager, Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport EIS, AWP-610.1, Airports Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Western-Pacific Region, P.O. Box 92007, Los Angeles, California 90009–2007, Telephone: 310/725–3615. Comments on the draft Alternatives Working Paper should be submitted to the address above and must be received no later than 5 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, Friday, October 3, 2008.

The Draft Alternatives Working Paper will be available for public comment for 60 days. Written comments on the Draft Alternatives Working Paper should be submitted to the address above under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and must be received no later than 5 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, Friday, October 3, 2008.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on August 5, 2008.

#### Mark A. McClardy,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region, AWP-600.

[FR Doc. E8–18634 Filed 8–13–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–P** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Highway Administration**

# **Environmental Assessment: Jefferson** County, IN and Trimble County, KY

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of Intent (NOI).

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that FHWA will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) to determine the need and feasibility of improvements to the Ohio River Crossing along U.S. 421 in Jefferson County, Indiana and Trimble County, Kentucky. This project will adhere to the requirements of section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU. The existing bridge connects the historic communities of Milton, Kentucky and Madison, Indiana. The objectives of this study are to assess the feasibility of rehabilitating or replacing the bridge, as well as other alternatives, for improving safety and mobility in the general project vicinity.

Comments on the scope of the EA for the proposed project should be forwarded no later than September 12, 2008.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Address all comments concerning this notice to Greg Rawlings of the FHWA Kentucky Division at 502.223.6728 or via e-mail at

Gregory.Rawlings@FHWA.dot.gov. For additional information, contact Robert Martin, P.E., Project Manager for the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, at 502.564.3730 or via e-mail at RobertD.Martin@KY.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) and Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT), will prepare an EA to determine the need and feasibility of rehabilitating or replacing the Milton Madison Bridge and its approaches over the Ohio River between Indiana and Kentucky. The current structure was originally constructed in 1929 then later rehabilitated in the late 1990s. The existing bridge is 3,181 feet in length and has two 10-foot travel lanes. The study area includes the existing U.S. 421 corridor and the general vicinity of the communities of Milton, Kentucky and Madison, Indiana. The nearest alternate crossings are the I-65 Kennedy Bridge in Louisville (46 miles downstream) and the bridge at Markland Locks and Dam (26 miles upstream). The condition of the Milton Madison Bridge is prompting this project, coupled with other issues including traffic demand and accessibility.

The objectives of this study are to assess the feasibility of rehabilitating or replacing the bridge, as well as other alternatives, for improving safety and mobility in the general project vicinity. This study will conform to Kentucky's environmental guidance, Indiana's procedural manual for preparing environmental documents, and the new SAFETEA–LU section 6002 requirements.

Environmental Issues: Possible environmental impacts include effects to historical properties, historic districts, or archaeological sites, specifically as related to Madison's status as a National Historic Landmark; displacement of commercial and/or residential properties; increased noise; viewshed impacts; impacts to water resources, flood plains, prime farmland, sensitive biological species and their habitat; land use compatibility impacts; community impacts; and impacts to agricultural lands.

Alternatives: The EA will consider alternatives that include the No-Build (Do Nothing) Alternative as well as a full range of build alternatives including rehabilitating the existing structure, applying transportation system management principles, and constructing a new bridge on the existing or new alignment.

Scoping and Comment: FHWA encourages broad participation in the EA process and review of the resulting environmental documents. Comments, questions, and suggestions related to the project and potential socioeconomic and environmental concerns are invited from all interested agencies and the public at large to ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action and all reasonable alternatives are considered and all significant issues are identified. These comments, questions, and suggestions should be forwarded to either phone number or email address listed above.

Early Coordination Letters will be sent to the appropriate Federal, State and local agencies by September 2008 describing the project, following a project kick-off coordinated through a media news release. An invitation letter will be sent to potential Cooperating Agencies, Participating Agencies, and Section 106 Consulting Parties inviting the agencies to officially take part in the study, encouraging agency comments and suggestions concerning the proposed project, and further defining the roles of agencies. Existing and future conditions will be identified as work progresses and presented to stakeholders, agencies, and the public. The draft purpose and need for the project will be developed and preliminary alternatives identified. The agencies, stakeholders, and public will have an opportunity to review and comment on this information. The purpose and need and preliminary alternatives will be available for public review and a Resource Agency Meeting, Project Advisory Group Meeting, and Public Information Meeting will be held. Public notice will be given as to the time and place of the meetings. Agencies and the public will also have an opportunity to comment at various study stages, including: (1) Definition of purpose and need; (2) establishment of screening criteria; (3) screening of initial alternatives; (4) selection of final alternatives; and (5) the review of environmental documentation. Project Advisory Group meetings will be conducted regularly as the project moves forward to secure input from key stakeholders as decisions are made.

Notices of availability for the purpose and need and identification of