

TA-W-63,883; *Metaldyne, Sintered Division, Ridgway, PA: August 11, 2007.*

TA-W-63,953; *Katahdin Paper Company, LLC, Millinocket, ME: August 27, 2007.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-63,775; *Duncan Solutions, Harrison, AR: July 30, 2007.*

TA-W-63,846; *Kennametal, Inc., Chestnut Ridge Plant, Latrobe, PA: August 7, 2007.*

TA-W-63,860; *K-Rain Manufacturing Corp., Riviera Beach, FL: August 7, 2007.*

TA-W-63,888; *Plastech Engineered Products (JCIM), Franklin, TN: August 7, 2007.*

TA-W-63,939; *Hewlett Packard, Inkjet & Web Sol., CDI, Manpower, Securitas, Volt, Corvallis, OR: August 26, 2007.*

TA-W-63,972; *DeRoyal Industries, Inc., DeRoyal Surgical Div., Powell, TN: August 26, 2007.*

TA-W-63,978; *Rieter Automotive Systems, Leased Workers From The Wood Companies, Saint Joseph, MI: July 22, 2007.*

TA-W-63,996A; *MPC Computers, LLC, North Sioux City, SD: September 4, 2007.*

TA-W-63,996; *MPC Computers, LLC, Leased Workers of Adecco Staffing, Nampa, ID: September 4, 2007.*

TA-W-64,039; *Kaz, Inc., Hudson, NY: September 12, 2007.*

TA-W-63,829; *S4 Carlisle Publishing Services, Carlisle Publishing, Carlisle Communications, Dubuque, IA: July 29, 2008.*

TA-W-63,838; *International Rectifier, Fabrication Facility #5, El Segundo, CA: July 29, 2007.*

TA-W-63,849; *HDM/Henredon Showroom/Offices, A Subsidiary of Furniture Bands International, High Point, NC: August 5, 2007.*

TA-W-63,863; *WH Manufacturing, Inc., A Subsidiary of Propulsys, Inc., Formerly known as White Hydraulics, Inc., Hopkinsville, KY: August 12, 2007.*

TA-W-63,870; *Peerless-Winsmith, Inc., Springville, NY: August 8, 2007.*

TA-W-63,877; *Covidien, Medical Devices Division, formerly known as Tyco Healthcare Group, LP, Watertown, NY: August 11, 2007.*

TA-W-63,945; *Futuro, A Division of Beiersdorf NA, Mariemont, OH: November 1, 2007.*

TA-W-63,983; *Hillerich and Bradsby Co., Louisville Slugger Div, Select Staffing, Ontario, CA: July 22, 2008.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-63,987; *Metaldyne, St Marys, PA: October 31, 2008.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. The firm does not have a significant number of workers 50 years of age or older.

TA-W-63,765; *Campbell Manufacturing, Sparta, MO.*

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

None.

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse.

None.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

None.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-63,959; *KDH Defense Systems, Inc., Johnstown, Pa.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-63,641; *Shaw*

Industries, Residential

Manufacturing, Stevenson, AL.

TA-W-63,781; *Dow Reichhold Specialty Latex, LLC, Chickamauga, GA.*

TA-W-63,836; *Weyerhaeuser Company, LLevel Coburg Sawmill, Eugene, OR.*

TA-W-63,928; *Norandal USA, Inc., Salisbury, NC.*

TA-W-63,933; *Upoc Networks, Inc., A Subsidiary of DADA USA, Inc., New York, NY.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-63,854; *Cassens Transport, Inc., Fenton, MO.*

TA-W-63,958; *American Parts and Services, Inc., Schaumburg, IL.*

The investigation revealed that criteria of Section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.

None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *September 15 through September 19, 2008*. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: September 26, 2008.

Erin Fitzgerald,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-23297 Filed 10-2-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade

Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than October 14, 2008.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than October 14, 2008.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of September 2008.

Erin Fitzgerald,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[TAA petitions instituted between 9/15/08 and 9/19/08]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
64041	A.G. Simpson (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64042	Grupo Antolin (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64043	Intier (Innertech-Shreveport) (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64044	Kace/Dana (Kace Logistics) (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64045	Kace/Siegel Roberts (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64046	Guilford Mills (Wkrs)	Kenansville, NC	09/15/08	09/04/08
64047	Shreveport Logistics (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64048	Rieter Automotive Systems (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64049	Meridian Automotive Systems (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64050	Ventra St. Louis LLC (Comp)	Pacific, MO	09/15/08	09/12/08
64051	Pacific Consolidated Industries (Comp)	Riverside, CA	09/15/08	09/03/08
64052	Arkansas Extrusions (State)	Hot Springs, AR	09/15/08	09/12/08
64053	Oakley (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64054	Modas LLC (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/15/08	09/12/08
64055	Tango Transport, Inc. (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/16/08	08/01/08
64056	Remy International, Inc. Co. (Comp)	Winchester, VA	09/16/08	09/11/08
64057	Alba Health LLC (State)	Rockwood, TN	09/16/08	09/02/08
64058	Meridian Automotive Systems (Wkrs)	Ionis, MI	09/16/08	09/08/08
64059	Johnson Controls (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/16/08	08/01/08
64060	Ai-Shreveport LLC (State)	Shreveport, LA	09/16/08	08/29/08
64061	R R Donnelley (Wkrs)	Monroe, WI	09/16/08	09/05/08
64062	Valspar Corporation (Wkrs)	Jackson, TN	09/16/08	08/29/08
64063	XP Power (State)	Anaheim, CA	09/16/08	09/15/08
64064	Bumper Works, Inc. (UAW)	Danville, IL	09/17/08	09/15/08
64065	Aeiomed, Inc. (State)	Minneapolis, MN	09/17/08	09/16/08
64066	Mid South Electrical (Wkrs)	East Gadsden, AL	09/17/08	08/28/08
64067	Hillerich and Bradsby Company (CA)	Ontario, CA	09/17/08	09/16/08
64068	Memorex Products, Inc. (State)	Cerritos, CA	09/17/08	09/16/08
64069	Norwalk International Wood Products LLC (Comp)	Byrdstown, TN	09/17/08	09/15/08
64070	Perfection Mold and Machine Company (Comp)	Akron, OH	09/17/08	09/16/08
64071	J P Morgan Chase Bank NA (Wkrs)	Lexington, KY	09/17/08	08/30/08
64072	Bowling Green Metalforming (Comp)	Bowling Green, KY	09/18/08	09/12/08
64073	Broan Nutone Storage Solutions (Comp)	Cleburne, TX	09/18/08	09/01/08
64074	First Insight Corporation (Comp)	Hillsboro, OR	09/18/08	09/17/08
64075	Lexis Nexis/Global Data Fabrication (Wkrs)	Miamisburg, OH	09/18/08	09/09/08
64076	Pearson Education (Wkrs)	York, PA	09/18/08	09/09/08
64077	Trelleborg YSH, Inc. (Comp)	Peru, IN	09/18/08	09/17/08
64078	Tyco Electronics, Global Application Tooling (Comp)	Harrisburg, PA	09/19/08	09/18/08
64079	SKF Automotive Division (Comp)	Glasgow, KY	09/19/08	09/18/08
64080	Prevue Employment Service/Wetzel Molded Plastics (Wkrs)	Warren, OH	09/19/08	09/12/08
64081	Emerson Appliance (Wkrs)	Frankfort, IN	09/19/08	09/15/08
64082	Precision Manufacturing and Assembly (State)	Dayton, OH	09/19/08	09/18/08
64083	American Axle and Manufacturing (Wkrs)	Detroit, MI	09/19/08	09/16/08
64084	Adobe Air, Inc. (Wkrs)	Phoenix, AZ	09/19/08	09/12/08
64085	Whirlpool Corporation (State)	Fort Smith, AR	09/19/08	09/18/08

[FR Doc. E8-23296 Filed 10-2-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-63,640]

3M Touch Systems, a Subsidiary of 3M Electro & Communication Division, Milwaukee, WI; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On August 1, 2008, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 12, 2008 (73 FR 46920).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of touch screens for mobile phones did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration the company official provided an additional list of customers who purchased touch screens from the subject firm.

On reconsideration the Department of Labor surveyed these customers regarding their purchases of touch screens (including like or directly competitive products) during 2006, 2007, and January through June 2008 over the corresponding 2007 period. The survey revealed no imports of touch screens during the relevant period.

The petitioner also stated that workers of the subject firm were previously certified eligible for TAA. The petitioner further states that if the subject firm "did not attempt to re-position the business and instead, close entirely in 2007, all the employees would have been eligible for TAA." The petitioner seems to allege that because workers of the subject firm were previously certified eligible for TAA, the workers of the subject firm should be granted another TAA certification.

When assessing eligibility for TAA, the Department exclusively considers import impact during the relevant time period (from one year prior to the date of the petition). Therefore, events occurring before 2007 are outside of the relevant period and are not relevant in this investigation.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for

worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of 3M Touch Systems, a subsidiary of 3M, Electro & Communications Division, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of September, 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-23302 Filed 10-2-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-63,502]

Onsite International Inc., El Paso, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of July 28, 2008, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on July 7, 2008, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 28, 2008 (73 FR 43790).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Onsite International, Inc., El Paso, Texas engaged in administrative functions was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Act.

The workers of Onsite International Inc., El Paso, Texas were previously certified eligible to apply for TAA under petition number TA-W-55,702, which expired on October 13, 2006. The investigation revealed that production at the subject firm ceased in 2006.

The petitioner contends that the Department erred in its interpretation of work performed at the subject facility and further conveys that workers of the subject company "handled all aspects of shipping, receiving, repairing, repacking

of the garments". The petitioner further states that the subject firm produced articles in the last three years and workers of the subject firm were previously certified eligible for TAA based on a shift in production to Mexico. The petitioner seems to allege that because the petitioning workers were part of the initial certified worker group and remained employed by the subject firm after all the production stopped and beyond October 13, 2006, the current worker group, who are engaged in distribution of articles, should be also eligible for TAA.

A company official of the subject firm verified that production of articles was shifted from the subject firm to Mexico in 2004 and that no production took place at the subject firm since 2006. The official further clarified that workers of the subject firm remained to end programs and dispose of the assets after all production ceased.

The investigation revealed that the subject facility did not manufacture articles since January 2006, when production shifted to Mexico. Although a small amount of cutting continued until early 2007, workers of the subject firm were not engaged in production of an article or supporting production of the article during the relevant time period.

Under the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, certification of group eligibility to apply for TAA will be issued where a shift of production is the alleged basis for certification provided that (1) a significant number or proportion of the workers of such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision, have been totally or partially separated or are threatened to become totally or partially separated; and (2) there has been a shift in production from the workers' firm or subdivision to an eligible foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm or subdivision under section 222(a)(2)(B)(i); and, either the foreign country is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States under section 222(a)(2)(B)(ii)(I), is a beneficiary country under section 222(a)(2)(B)(ii)(II), or there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of like or directly competitive articles. The Department interprets the standard for certification as requiring that the shift of production of an article to a foreign country must be a cause of the separations of workers of the firm that were engaged in or supported the production of that article.

That the subject workers were not separated, or threatened with separation, until January 31, 2008 (two