

tunnel may transition to the ocean floor via a riser to seafloor pipeline(s), which would connect to the diffuser structure. Depending on the location of the diffuser, the seafloor pipeline(s) may extend up to 7 miles offshore.

2. Proposed Action: The offshore component of the new ocean outfall could entail excavation of an approximately 105-foot-wide trench up to 7 miles long requiring dredging of approximately 950,000 cubic yards of sediment. Once excavated, outfall pipe(s), diffuser pipes, bedding, ballast, dredged material, and armor stone would be discharged into the trench. Dredged material not used for trench backfill could be designated for ocean disposal or beach nourishment depending on sediment chemistry.

Dredging, pipe laying, trenching, and other construction activities within the Pacific Ocean, a navigable water of the United States, would be subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. The discharge of dredged and fill materials associated with pipe laying activities in the Pacific Ocean, a water of the United States, would also be subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The transportation and discharge of dredged material for the purpose of ocean disposal, if required, would be subject to Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act.

The geographic jurisdiction of Section 10 RHA and Section 404 CWA extends 3 geographic miles seaward (33 CFR Part 329.12(a)). However, a wider zone of geographic jurisdiction out to the Outer Continental Shelf (200 miles seaward) is recognized when a project entails placement of devices on the seabed (33 CFR 322.3(b)). Because the project entails placement of a pipeline up to 7 miles on the seabed, the entire length of the project is subject to both Section 10 RHA and Section 404 CWA jurisdictions.

3. Alternatives Considered: The feasibility of several alternatives is being considered and will be addressed in the DEIS/EIR. Those considered feasible will be analyzed in equal detail to the Proposed Action. Alternatives for the proposed project would evaluate alternate onshore and offshore tunnel alignments; alternate tunnel shaft site locations; and alternate diffuser locations. Furthermore, alternate offshore project designs would be evaluated. One design would extend the tunnel up to 7 miles offshore and connect to the diffuser via a riser. Alternatively, the tunnel may transition via a riser to seafloor pipeline(s), which would connect to a diffuser. Depending on the location of the diffuser, the

seafloor pipeline(s) may extend up to 7 miles offshore. The No Federal Action Baseline Alternative would result in implementation of the recommended projects within the MFP without the new ocean outfall and other infrastructure upgrades that require Department of Army permits. Under the No Action Alternative, there would be no upgrade and development of the Sanitation Districts' infrastructure, including the new ocean outfall, to accommodate wastewater management needs through 2050. These alternatives will be further formulated and developed during the scoping process. Additional alternatives that may be developed during the scoping process will also be considered in the DEIS/EIR.

5. Scoping Process: The Corps' scoping process for the DEIS/EIR will involve soliciting written comments and a public meeting. Potential significant issues to be addressed in the DEIS/EIR include aesthetics; air quality; biological resources; cultural resources; geology; hydrology; hazards and hazardous materials; water quality; public health; land use and planning; marine environment (marine hydrology, water quality, public health, and biological resources); noise; population, employment, and housing/ environmental justice; public services; recreation; transportation and traffic; utilities, service systems, and energy; and cumulative and growth-inducing impacts. Additional environmental impacts may be identified during the scoping process. Furthermore, the DEIS/EIR will assess the consistency of the Proposed Action with the Coastal Zone Management Act and potential water quality impacts pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. Comments are invited from the public and affected agencies, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Coast Guard, California Department of Fish and Game, California State Water Resources Control Board, California State Lands Commission, California Coastal Commission, and the city of Los Angeles.

Public Meeting: A public scoping meeting to receive input on the scope of the DEIS/EIR will be conducted on Thursday, November 6, 2008 at 6:30 p.m. at Crowne Plaza Hotel, 601 South Palos Verdes Street, San Pedro, California. If you have any questions regarding the meeting, please contact Steven Highter, Supervising Engineer, Sanitation Districts, at shighter@lacsdsd.org.

6. Availability of the Draft EIS: The DEIS/EIR is expected to be published

and circulated in fall 2009, and a public meeting will be held after its publication.

Dated: September 23, 2008.

David J. Castanon,

Chief, Regulatory Division Corps of Engineers.

[FR Doc. E8-23528 Filed 10-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 5, 2008.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be

collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: September 30, 2008.

Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Institute of Education Sciences

Type of Review: Reinstatement.

Title: Teacher Follow-Up Survey.

Frequency: Other: One time.

Affected Public: Individuals or household.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 4,910.

Burden Hours: 1,831.

Abstract: The Teacher Follow Up Survey is a follow-up to the School and Staffing Survey and it is a survey of teachers with the main purpose of providing a one year teacher attrition rate.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3856. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. E8-23512 Filed 10-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Proposed Agency Information Collection

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice and Request for OMB Review and Comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance, a proposal for collection of

information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed collection will enable the DOE to develop its part of the U.S. Government Declaration to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) under the Additional Protocol (AP) to the U.S.-IAEA International Safeguards Agreement.

DATES: Comments regarding this collection must be received on or before November 5, 2008. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, please advise the OMB Desk Officer as soon as possible of your intention to make a submission. The Desk Officer's telephone number is 202-395-4650.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the DOE Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, 735 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, and to JoAnna Sellen, Office of International Regimes and Agreements (NA-243), National Nuclear Security Administration, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20585 or by fax at 202-586-1348, or by e-mail at Joanna.Sellen@nnsa.doe.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to JoAnna Sellen.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This information collection request contains: (1) *OMB No.* {"New"}; (2) *Information Collection Request Title:* U.S. Declaration under Protocol Additional to the U.S.-IAEA Safeguards Agreement ("Additional Protocol"); (3) *Type of Request:* {"New collection."} (4) *Purpose:* Develop Information for Inclusion by the Department of Energy in the United States Declaration to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) under the Additional Protocol to the U.S.-IAEA International Safeguards Agreement.

This proposed collection of information is pursuant to implementing the provisions of the Protocol Additional to the Agreement Between the United States of America and the IAEA for the Application of Safeguards in the United States of America (the "Additional Protocol" or AP). The Additional Protocol is a supplement to the existing U.S.-IAEA Safeguards Agreement, which entered into force in 1980, and the U.S. AP will become part of the Safeguards Agreement once the U.S. AP enters into force. The United States signed the U.S. AP in 1998, President Bush submitted it

to the Senate on May 9, 2002 for the Senate's advice and consent to ratification, and the Senate approved a resolution providing such advice and consent on March 31, 2004. Legislation to implement the U.S. AP was enacted on December 18, 2006, and is codified at 22 U.S.C. 1801, *et seq.* Entry into force of the U.S. AP will take place when the President deposits the instrument of ratification with the IAEA.

The Department of Energy (DOE) is the Lead Agency for implementing the Additional Protocol at locations owned, operated, or leased by or for DOE, including Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)-licensed or certified activities on DOE installations, and, in coordination with the Department of Defense, non-military locations on installations that store or process naval reactor fuel (collectively known as "DOE Locations"). This collection of information affects only those persons performing activities at DOE Locations that would be declarable to the IAEA under the U.S. AP. The NRC is the Lead Agency for locations that are subject to the regulatory authority of the NRC, pursuant to the NRC's regulatory jurisdiction under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2011 *et seq.*), with the exception of those NRC-licensed or NRC-certified facilities at DOE Locations. The Department of Commerce (DOC) is the Lead Agency for all other locations in the United States, except DOE Locations and those locations for which the NRC is the Lead Agency. All persons, including DOE contractors performing declarable activities at locations other than those for which DOE is the Lead Agency, would submit their declarations for these activities at non-DOE locations to either the NRC or DOC, as appropriate.

The Department of Energy proposes to collect information that is required for submission under the U.S. AP. Collecting this information from those persons who are actually performing declarable activities at DOE Locations provides the most effective and efficient way for DOE to identify such declarable activities and the locations associated with such activities, and to compile accurate and timely information on such activities.

All reporting requirements that are applicable to respondents making their declarations through DOE can be found in Article 2.a of the U.S. AP. These activities are considered to be funded, specifically authorized or controlled by, or carried out on behalf of, the United States, by virtue of the fact that the Department of Energy, as an agency of the U.S. Government controls all