

or practice would appear to encompass all behavior that could be called a UMC or a violation of the Sherman or Clayton Acts. The Commission's discussion of the UAP liability standard accepts the view that all business enterprises—including large companies—fall within the class of consumers whose injury is a worthy subject of unfairness scrutiny. If UAP coverage extends to the full range of business-to-business transactions, it would seem that the three-factor test prescribed for UAP analysis would capture all actionable conduct within the UMC prohibition and the proscriptions of the Sherman and Clayton Acts. Well-conceived antitrust cases (or UMC cases) typically address instances of substantial actual or likely harm to consumers. The FTC ordinarily would not prosecute behavior whose adverse effects could readily be avoided by the potential victims—either business entities or natural persons. And the balancing of harm against legitimate business justifications would encompass the assessment of procompetitive rationales that is a core element of a rule of reason analysis in cases arising under competition law.

The prospect of a settlement can lead one to relax the analytical standards that ordinarily would discipline the decision to prosecute if the litigation of asserted claims was certain or likely. This is particularly the case when, as in this matter, the respondent has indicated during negotiations that, for various reasons, it will not litigate and will accept a settlement. If the Commission had in mind specific analytical grounds for including both theories of liability (for example, because each theory standing alone contained weaknesses as foundations for the settlement), the Analysis omits them. In the logic of the Analysis, the UAP theory subsumes the UMC standard and makes the UMC provision superfluous. If the UAP concept is so broad, it is not evident what reasoning in this case supports the parallel inclusion of the UMC claim. More generally, it seems that the Commission's view of unfairness would permit the FTC in the future to plead all of what would have been seen as competition-related infringements as constituting unfair acts or practices. [FR Doc. E8-1801 Filed 1-30-08; 8:45 am]

[Billing Code: 6750-01-S]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation Request for Nominations for Voting Members

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) is requesting nominations to fill vacancies on the Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation (ACOT). The ACOT was established by the Amended Final Rule of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) (42 CFR part 121) and, in accordance with Public Law 92-463, was chartered on September 1, 2000.

DATES: The agency must receive nominations on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: All nominations should be submitted to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation, Healthcare Systems Bureau, HRSA, Parklawn Building, Room 12C-06, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857. Federal Express, Airborne, UPS, etc., mail delivery should be addressed to Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation, Healthcare Systems Bureau, HRSA, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Fant, Ph.D., Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation, at (301) 443-8728 or e-mail Gregory.Fant@hrsa.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As provided by 42 CFR 121.12 (64 FR 56661), the Secretary established the Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation. The Committee is governed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), which sets forth standards for the formation and use of advisory committees.

The ACOT advises the Secretary, acting through the Administrator, HRSA, on all aspects of organ procurement, allocation, and transplantation, and on other such matters that the Secretary determines. One of its principal functions is to advise the Secretary on ways to maximize Federal efforts to increase living and deceased organ donation nationally. Other matters that have been reviewed by the ACOT include:

- Concerns about U.S. citizens traveling abroad in order to receive organ transplants (also known as transplant tourism);
 - Collection of data on the long-term health status of living donors;
 - Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network development and distribution within the transplant community a set of practice guidelines to be followed with respect to public solicitation of organ donors, both living and deceased;
 - Standards of coverage for living donors relating to future adverse events; and
 - CMS reimbursement of organ procurement organizations for donation after cardiac death.
- The ACOT consists of up to 25 members, including the Chair. Members and Chair shall be selected by the Secretary from individuals knowledgeable in such fields as organ donation, health care public policy, transplantation medicine and surgery, critical care medicine and other medical specialties involved in the identification and referral of donors, non-physician transplant professions, nursing, epidemiology, immunology, law and bioethics, behavioral sciences, economics and statistics, as well as representatives of transplant candidates, transplant recipients, organ donors, and family members. To the extent practicable, Committee members should represent the minority, gender and geographic diversity of transplant candidates, transplant recipients, organ donors and family members served by the OPTN. In addition, the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; the Administrator, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; the Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration; the Director, National Institutes of Health; and the Director, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (or the designees of such officials) serve as non-voting ex officio members.

Specifically, HRSA is requesting nominations for voting members of the ACOT representing: Health care public policy; transplantation medicine and surgery, including pediatrics; critical care medicine; nursing; epidemiology and applied statistics; immunology; law and bioethics; behavioral sciences; economics and econometrics; organ procurement organizations; transplant candidates/recipients; transplant/donor family members; and living donors. Nominees will be invited to serve a 4-year term beginning between January and July 2009.

HHS will consider nominations of all qualified individuals with a view to

ensuring that the Advisory Committee includes the areas of subject matter expertise noted above. Individuals may nominate themselves or other individuals, and professional associations and organizations may nominate one or more qualified persons for membership on the ACOT. Nominations shall state that the nominee is willing to serve as a member of the ACOT and appears to have no conflict of interest that would preclude the ACOT membership. Potential candidates will be asked to provide detailed information concerning financial interests, consultancies, research grants, and/or contracts that might be affected by recommendations of the Committee to permit evaluation of possible sources of conflicts of interest.

A nomination package should include the following information for each nominee: (1) A letter of nomination stating the name, affiliation, and contact information for the nominee, the basis for the nomination (i.e., what specific attributes, perspectives, and/or skills does the individual possess that would benefit the workings of ACOT), and the nominee's field(s) of expertise; (2) a biographical sketch of the nominee and a copy of his/her curriculum vitae; and (3) the name, return address, and daytime telephone number at which the nominator can be contacted.

The Department of Health and Human Services has special interest in assuring that women, minority groups, and the physically disabled are adequately represented on advisory committees; and therefore, extends particular encouragement to nominations for appropriately qualified female, minority, or disabled candidates.

Dated: January 22, 2008.

Elizabeth M. Duke,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. E8-1730 Filed 1-30-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Formative Research and Pilot Studies for the National Children's Study

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review and approval of the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on November 19, 2007, pages 65047-8, and allowed 60 days for public comment. One comment was received questioning the utility of the proposed data collection. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Proposed Collection: Title: Formative Research and Pilot Studies for the National Children's Study. *Type of Information Collection Request:* New. *Need and use of information collection:* The NICHD seeks to obtain OMB's generic approval to conduct formative research and pilot studies to be used in the development of instruments, materials, and procedures for the National Children's Study (NCS). The NCS is a long-term cohort study of environmental influences on child health and development authorized under the Children's Health Act of 2000. Further details pertaining to the NCS background and planning, including the NCS Research Plan, can be found at: <http://nationalchildrensstudy.gov>. The proposed data collection program will include community outreach materials,

medical provider and participant materials, questionnaires and measures, use of technology such as Interactive Voice Recognition (IVR), and other aspects related to data collection. Activities will include small focused studies to test data collection items and methods on a specific or targeted population, validation of questionnaires for targeted populations, focus groups within the NCS communities to test forms and procedures, cognitive interviews to test data items, and the use of materials on targeted populations such as medical providers and hospitals, and materials translated into other languages. These activities will be conducted over the life of the study to develop procedures and materials for each stage of data collection. The results of these pilot tests will be used to maximize the efficiency of study procedures, materials, and methods for community outreach, engagement of the medical community, for recruiting and retaining study subjects prospectively across study visits and to ensure that data collection methodologies are efficient and valid for all potential participants. Without this information, NCS will be hampered in its efforts to effectively publicize the NCS, gain public and professional support, and effectively recruit and retain respondents and collect data over the life of the Study. *Affected entities:* Individuals. *Types of respondents:* People potentially affected by this action are pregnant women or women of childbearing age, their husbands or partners, health care professionals, and community leaders. The annual reporting burden is as follows: *Estimated Number of Respondents:* 3,150. *Frequency of Response:* On occasion (see Burden table). *The Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1. *Average Burden Hours Per Response:* Varies with study type. *Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested:* 5,825. The estimated annualized cost to respondents is \$114,250 (based on rates listed in the burden table). There are no Capital Costs to report. There are no Operating or Maintenance Costs to report.

Type of respondents (estimated hourly rate)	Estimated number of respondents	Estimated number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden hours
Small focused studies (\$10)	1,250	1	1.5	1,875
Focus groups with potential participants (\$10)	350	1	3.0	1,050
Focus groups with health care professionals (\$50)	350	1	3.0	1,050
Focus groups with community leaders (\$10)	350	1	3.0	1,050
Medical provider feedback on materials through informal in-person contacts (\$50)	700	1	0.5	350