

## APPENDIX—Continued

[TAA petitions instituted between 5/18/09 and 5/22/09]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
70496 .....	Tektronix, Inc. (State) .....	Beaverton, OR .....	05/22/09	05/21/09
70497 .....	Victor Insulators, Inc. (Comp) .....	Victor, NY .....	05/22/09	05/21/09
70498 .....	Munksjo Paper, Inc. (Comp) .....	Fitchburg, MA .....	05/22/09	05/21/09
70499 .....	Solutia, Inc. (State) .....	Greenwood, SC .....	05/22/09	05/19/09
70500 .....	Methode Electronics, Inc. (Comp) .....	Carthage, IL .....	05/22/09	05/21/09
70501 .....	Cummins Power Generation (State) .....	Fridley, MN .....	05/22/09	05/18/09
70502 .....	Spectrum Industrial Service (State) .....	Minneapolis, MN .....	05/22/09	05/18/09
70503 .....	R and R Donnelley (State) .....	Long Prairie, MN .....	05/22/09	05/18/09
70504 .....	Seagate Technology (State) .....	Bloomington, MN .....	05/22/09	05/18/09

[FR Doc. E9-18664 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training  
Administration

[TA-W-64,396]

**Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Sauget, IL;  
Notice of Revised Determination on  
Reconsideration**

On June 10, 2009, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 18, 2009 (74 FR 28956).

The initial investigation initiated on November 12, 2008, resulted in a negative determination issued on January 14, 2009, was based on the finding that imports of copper tubing did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift in production to a foreign source occurred. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 2, 2009 (74 FR 5871).

On reconsideration, the Department requested an additional list of customers of the subject firm and conducted a customer survey to determine whether imports of copper tubing negatively impacted employment at the subject firm.

The sample survey of the declining customers revealed that the customers increased their imports of copper tubing from January through October 2008 over the corresponding 2007 period.

In accordance with Section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Workers of Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Sauget, Illinois were previously certified eligible for TAA under TA-W-59,870. That certification expired on November 3, 2008.

**Conclusion**

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Sauget, Illinois, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

“All workers of Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Sauget, Illinois, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 4, 2008, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.”

Signed in Washington, DC this 17th day of July 2009.

**Elliott S. Kushner,***Certifying Officer, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-18650 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training  
Administration

[TA-W-70,098]

**American Roller Bearing, Hiddenite,  
NC; Notice of Termination of  
Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on June 9, 2009, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of American Roller Bearing, Hiddenite, North Carolina.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition (TA-W-71,074) filed on June 3, 2009 that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of July 2009.

**Elliott S. Kushner,***Certifying Officer, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-18654 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training  
Administration

[TA-W-70,102]

**Fairchild Semiconductor, Signal Path  
Organization, South Portland, ME;  
Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated in response to a petition filed on May 19, 2009, by a state workforce office on behalf of workers of Fairchild Semiconductor,

Signal Path Organization, South Portland, Maine.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Accordingly, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of July 2009.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-18652 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2008-0339; Docket No. 40-9067]

### Uranerz Energy Corporation; Nichols Ranch In-Situ Recovery Project; New Source Material License Application; Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent (NOI).

**SUMMARY:** Uranerz Energy Corporation (Uranerz) submitted an application for a new source material license for the Nichols Ranch *In-Situ* Recovery (ISR) Project to be located in Campbell and Johnson Counties, Wyoming, approximately 46 miles south-southwest of Gillette, Wyoming and approximately 61 miles north-northeast of Casper, Wyoming. The application proposes the construction, operation, and decommissioning of ISR, also known as *in-situ* leach, facilities and restoration of the aquifer from which the uranium is being extracted. Uranerz submitted the application for the new source material license to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) by a letter dated November 30, 2007. A notice of receipt and availability of the license application, including the Environmental Report (ER), and opportunity to request a hearing was published in the **Federal Register** on June 16, 2008 (73 FR 34052). The purpose of this notice of intent is to inform the public that the NRC will be preparing a site-specific Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for In-Situ Leach Uranium Milling Facilities (ISR GEIS) for a new source material license for the Nichols Ranch ISR Project, as required by 10 CFR 51.26(d). In addition, as outlined in 36 CFR 800.8, "Coordination with the National Environmental Policy Act," the NRC plans to use the environmental review process as reflected in 10 CFR

part 51 to coordinate compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information on the NRC NEPA or the environmental review process related to the Nichols Ranch ISR Project application, please contact the NRC Environmental Project Manager, Irene Yu, at (301) 415-1951 or [irene.yu@nrc.gov](mailto:irene.yu@nrc.gov).

Information and documents associated with the Nichols Ranch ISR Project, including the license application, are available for public review through our electronic reading room: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> and on the NRC's Nichols Ranch Site Web page: <http://www.nrc.gov/info-finder/materials/uranium/apps-in-review/nichols-ranch-new-app-review.html>. Documents may also be obtained from NRC's Public Document Room at the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Headquarters, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### 1.0 Background

Uranerz submitted the application for the new source material license to the NRC for ISR facilities by a letter dated November 30, 2007. A notice of receipt and availability of the license application, including the ER, and opportunity to request a hearing was published in the **Federal Register** on June 16, 2008 (73 FR 34052). No requests for hearing were submitted.

Based on the anticipated efficiencies gained through the development of the ISR GEIS, the NRC originally planned to document this environmental evaluation in draft and final Environmental Assessments (EAs). However, during the development of the final ISR GEIS, NRC decided to prepare a SEIS that will tier off of the ISR GEIS for applications to license new ISR facilities. This environmental evaluation for the Nichols Ranch ISR Project will now be documented in draft and final SEISs instead of an EA. While NRC regulations do not require scoping under 10 CFR part 51 for SEISs, NRC staff met with Federal (Bureau of Land Management—Cheyenne, Casper, Buffalo; Bureau of Indian Affairs—Fort Washakie; Fish & Wildlife Service—Buffalo), State (Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality—Cheyenne, Sheridan; State Engineer's Office; Governor's Planning Office; State Historic Preservation Office) and local government agencies (Converse County Planning Department; Johnson County Commissioners' Office; City of Casper

Planning Office; Town of Wright) and public organizations (Buffalo Chamber of Commerce; Campbell County Economic Development Corporation; Wyoming Community Development Authority; Converse Area New Development Organization) in January of 2009 as part of a site visit to gather site-specific information to assist in the preparation of the Nichols Ranch ISR Project environmental review. NRC also contacted potentially interested tribes and local public interest groups via e-mail and telephone to gather additional information.

The NRC has begun evaluating the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed ISR facility in parallel with the review of the license application. This environmental evaluation will be documented in draft and final SEISs in accordance with NRC's NEPA implementing regulations contained in 10 CFR part 51. The NRC is required by 10 CFR 51.20 (b)(8) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or a supplement to an EIS for the issuance of a license to possess and use source material for uranium milling. The ISR GEIS and the site-specific SEIS fulfills this regulatory requirement. The purpose of the present notice is to inform the public that the NRC staff will prepare a site-specific supplement to the ISR GEIS (NUREG-1910) as part of the review of the application.

##### 2.0 Nichols Ranch ISR Facilities

The facilities, if licensed, would include a central processing plant, satellite facility, accompanying wellfields, and ion exchange columns. The process involves the dissolution of the water-soluble uranium from the mineralized host sandstone rock by pumping oxidants (oxygen or hydrogen peroxide) and chemical compounds (sodium bicarbonate) through a series of production and extraction wells. The uranium-rich solution is transferred from the production wells to either the central processing plant or satellite facility for uranium concentration using ion exchange columns. Final processing is conducted in the central processing plant process to produce yellowcake for use in manufacturing commercial nuclear fuel for use in power reactors.

##### 3.0 Alternatives To Be Evaluated

**No-Action**—The no-action alternative would be not to issue the license. Under this alternative, the NRC would not approve the license application for the proposed ISR facilities. This serves as a baseline for comparison.

**Proposed Action**—The proposed Federal action is to issue a license to use