The Seneca Lake Facility is currently owned and operated by New York State Electric & Gas Corporation (NYSEG). The facility consists of a salt storage cavern, a 7,761 horsepower compression station, and an 18.6 mile section of high pressure pipeline (West Lateral) connecting to the DTI system in the town of Big Flats, New York. The storage capacity of the Seneca Lake Facility is 2.34 Bcf and the facility has a working gas capacity of 1.45 Bcf. The Seneca Lake Facility can compress up to 72.5 MMcf/d during the injection cycle and up to 145 MMcf/d during the withdrawal cycle. ASC requests authority to charge market-based rates for the Project.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to William R. Moler, Senior Vice President, Midstream Operations, Arlington Storage Company, LLC, Two Brush Creek Boulevard, Suite 200, Kansas City, Missouri 64112; phone number (816) 329–5344 or by e-mail at bmoler@inergyservices.com.

Any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper, see, 18 CFR 385.2001 (a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: April 20, 2010.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-7822 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP10-101-000]

Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 30, 2010.

Take notice that on March 25, 2010, Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC (KMLP), 3250 Lacey Road, Suite 700, Downers Grove, IL 60515, filed in Docket No. CP10-101-000, a prior notice request pursuant to sections 157.205, 157.208, 157.211, and 157.212 of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA). KMLP seeks authorization to construct and operate a new tap, including ball valve and riser and approximately 15 feet of 8-inch pipe to connect the KMPL system to Targa Louisiana Field Services LLC in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana in order to deliver re-vaporized liquefied natural gas to Targa. KMLP proposes to perform these activities under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP06-451-000 [119 FERC ¶ 61,309 (2007)], all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, KMLP proposes to construct and operate facilities in Calcacieu Parish, Louisiana necessary to establish an interconnection with Targa's non-jurisdictional natural gas gathering and processing facilities. The facilities to be constructed by KMLP include an 8-inch tap, 8-inch ball valve, riser, 15-feet of 8-inch diameter piping and such other appurtenant facilities as deemed necessary to effectuate the interconnect. The remainder of the interconnect will be comprised of nonjurisdictional facilities such as meter tube outlet vents, valve actuators, over pressure protection, a line heater, and cathodic protection equipment to be constructed by Targa on an existing meter site associated with Targa's gathering and processing facilities. This interconnection will allow KMLP to deliver up to 100,000 MMBTU/day of re-vaporized liquefied natural gas to Targa for processing. The total cost of the proposed project is estimated to be \$469,000. The proposed in-service date for the interconnect and related facilities is mid to late June 2010.

The filing may be viewed on the Web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call

toll-free, (886) 208–3676 or TYY, (202) 502–8659.

Any questions regarding this application may be directed to Norman Watson, Director, Business Development, Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC, 500 Dallas Street, Suite 1000, Houston, Texas 77002 at (713) 369–9219 or Bruce Newsome, Vice President, 3250 Lacey Road, Suite 700, Downers Grove, IL 60515 at (630) 725–3070.

Any person or the Commission's Staff may, within 60 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and, pursuant to section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the NGA.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (http://www.ferc.gov) under the "e-Filing" link. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–7823 Filed 4–6–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PL10-4-000]

Enforcement of Statutes, Orders, Rules and Regulations; Second Notice of Workshops on Penalty Guidelines

March 30, 2010.

As noticed in the March 24, 2010, "Notice of Workshops on Penalty Guidelines," the staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) will hold three workshops to provide a forum for interested participants to ask questions on the interpretation and application of the Policy Statement on Penalty Guidelines, which the Commission recently issued on March 18, 2010.1 Staff will hold the first workshop on April 7, 2010, from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time, in the Commission Meeting Room (2C) at the Commission's Washington, DC headquarters, 888 First Street, NE. To accommodate participants outside of Washington, DC, this workshop will be Webcast, but will not be transcribed. To access this free Webcast, anyone with Internet access can go to Calendar of Events on the FERC Web site which contains a link to the Webcast. For questions on the Webcast call 703-993- $\bar{3}100.$

All interested parties are invited to all three of the workshops; there is no registration list or registration fee to attend.

The purpose of this second notice is to provide the times and locations for staff's subsequent workshops in Houston, Texas and San Francisco, California. Staff will hold the Houston workshop on April 14, 2010, from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. Central Daylight Time, at the Houston Airport Marriott at George Bush Intercontinental, 18700 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77032. Staff will hold the San Francisco workshop on April 15, 2010, from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time, at the Westin San Francisco Airport, 1 Old Bayshore Highway, Millbrae, California 94030. The Houston and San Francisco workshops will not be webcast.

As indicated, the purpose of the workshops will be to have staff discuss how the Penalty Guidelines will be applied and to answer questions about the Penalty Guidelines. In that regard, questions are being solicited from the public in advance of the workshops. Please submit questions on the Penalty Guidelines to Jeremy Medovoy,

Attorney-Advisor, Office of Enforcement, Division of Investigations, by e-mail at *Jeremy.Medovoy@ferc.gov*. Workshop participants will also have an opportunity to ask questions at the workshops, but due to time limitations, questions in advance are encouraged.

Questions about the workshops may be directed to Jeremy Medovoy by email at *Jeremy.Medovoy@ferc.gov* or by telephone at 202–502–6768.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–7821 Filed 4–6–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R04-OAR-2010-0153-201010-TN; FRL-9133-9]

Adequacy Status of the Knoxville, Tennessee 1997 PM_{2.5} Attainment Demonstration Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that EPA has found that the direct particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and Nitrogen Oxides (NO_X) motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Knoxville, Tennessee Attainment Demonstration Plan for the 1997 PM_{2.5} standard, submitted April 4, 2008, by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DC Circuit) ruled that submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for transportation conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of EPA's finding, the Knoxville, Tennessee area, including the portion of Roane County, must use the MVEBs for future conformity determinations for the $1997 \text{ PM}_{2.5}$ standard.

DATES: The adequacy finding for the $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_X MVEBs are effective April 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Sheckler, Environmental Scientist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, Air Quality Modeling and Transportation Section, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Ms. Sheckler can also be reached by telephone at (404) 562–9222, or via electronic mail at sheckler.kelly@epa.gov. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is simply an announcement of findings that EPA has already made. EPA Region 4 sent a letter to TDEC on February 11, 2010, stating that the PM_{2.5} and NO_X MVEBs in the 1997 PM_{2.5} attainment demonstration for Knoxville, Tennessee, submitted April 4, 2008, are adequate and must be used for transportation conformity determinations in the Knoxville area. EPA posted the availability of the Knoxville MVEBs on EPA's Web site on April 14, 2008, as part of the adequacy process, for the purpose of soliciting comments. The comment period ran from April 14, 2008, through May 14, 2008. During EPA's adequacy comment period, no comments were received on the MVEBs for the area. Through this notice, EPA is informing the public that these MVEBs are adequate for transportation conformity. EPA's findings have also been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: http:// www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/ transconf/adequacy.htm. The PM_{2.5} and NO_x MVEBs are provided in the following table:

KNOXVILLE AREA DIRECT $PM_{2.5}$ AND NO_X MVEBs [Tons per year]

Counties	Pollutant	2009
Anderson, Blount, Knox, Loudon and a portion of Roane County Anderson, Blount, Knox, Loudon and a portion of Roane County	$PM_{2.5}$ NO_{X}	283.63 18,024.9

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to State air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay

 $^{^1}$ Enforcement of Statutes, Orders, Rules, and Regulations, 130 FERC ¶ 61,220 (2010).