

identify the transportation mode and alignment for the proposed project with the appropriate level of detail for corridor-level decisions, or select the No Action Alternative. The Tier I EIS will also include a discussion of measures that could be implemented to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potential adverse impacts of the build alternatives. These measures would be developed to mitigate both short-term (construction phase) and long-term (operational) adverse impacts of the proposed build alternatives. The mitigation strategies that will be examined will be designed to specifically minimize any potential adverse effects on the local communities where new or expanded infrastructure is proposed or where the operational effects of increased freight movement are expected. Tier II will then further explore the selected alternative in greater detail to evaluate regional and localized environmental impacts and outline site-specific mitigation measures in project-level environmental documentation. The PANYNJ and FHWA intend to engage the community in devising mitigation measures for potential adverse impacts at both tiers of the EIS. The scope of the Tier I and Tier II analyses will be commensurate with the level of detail necessary for those documents. Input from the public and from reviewing agencies will be solicited during both tiers.

SAFETEA-LU: SAFETEA-LU provisions and NEPA regulations, in general, call for public involvement in the EIS process. Section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU requires that agencies: (1) Extend an invitation to other Federal and non-Federal agencies and Indian tribes that may have an interest in the proposed project to become “participating agencies;” (2) provide an opportunity for involvement by participating agencies and the public in helping to define the purpose and need for the proposed project, as well as the range of alternatives for consideration in the impact statement; and (3) establish a plan for coordinating public and agency participation in and comments on the Scoping Document. Letters will be sent to any agency with a fiduciary, regulatory, or permitting authority over the program as an invitation to be part of the coordination process. Any interested Federal or non-Federal agency or Indian tribe that does not receive an invitation to become a participating agency can notify the contact persons listed above.

A Coordination Plan will be developed to facilitate and document the lead agencies’ structured interaction with the public and other agencies, and to inform the public and other agencies

of the manner in which the coordination will be accomplished. The Coordination Plan prepared for the Cross Harbor Freight Movement Program will include: The Plan Purpose and Identification of Lead Agencies; Program History; List of Participating and Coordinating Agencies; Roles and Responsibilities of the Lead, Participating, and Coordinating Agencies; Agency Contact Information; Coordination Points; and the Program Schedule.

Comments or questions regarding this Notice of Intent should be directed to the FHWA or PANYNJ contacts identified above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372, regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: April 23, 2010.

Jeffrey W. Kolb,

Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Albany, New York.

[FR Doc. 2010-11452 Filed 5-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Record of Decision for Environmental Impact Statement: New Bedford Regional Airport, New Bedford, MA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a Record of Decision (ROD), resulting from an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has been prepared for a New Bedford Regional Airport, New Bedford, Massachusetts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Doucette, Environmental Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration New England, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. Telephone (781) 238-7613.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA is making available a ROD regarding construction of Runway Safety Areas and other airfield improvements at New Bedford. The ROD documents the final Agency decisions regarding the proposed projects as described and analyzed in the EIS. The ROD is available for review during normal business hours at the following locations: FAA New England Region, Airports Division, 16 New England

Executive Park, Burlington, MA. Telephone (781) 238-7613 and New Bedford Regional Airport, 1569 Airport Rd., New Bedford, Massachusetts. Telephone (508) 991-6161.

Issued on: April 27, 2010.

Bryon H. Rakoff,

Assistant Division Manager, Airports Division.

[FR Doc. 2010-11505 Filed 5-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

FTA Supplemental Fiscal Year 2010 Apportionments, Allocations, and Corrections

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, (Pub. L. 111-147), signed into the law by President Obama on March 18, 2010, authorized funds for all of the surface transportation programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) for the remainder of the Fiscal Year (FY) ending September 30, 2010, and the first quarter of FY 2011. This Notice supplements the February 18, 2009

Federal Register notice to apportion the full amount of FY 2010 formula funds. In addition, this Notice revises the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and Alternatives Analysis program carryover tables, Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC) performance data and Apportionments table, and Bus and Bus Facilities Extensions and Reprogramming table, and allocates the remaining FY 2010 funds made available to congressionally designated projects under the Alternative Analysis program.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information about this notice contact Henrika Buchanan-Smith, Office of Program Management, at (202) 366-2053. Please contact the appropriate FTA regional or metropolitan office for any specific requests for information or technical assistance. The appendix at the end of this notice includes contact information for FTA regional and metropolitan offices.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Overview

II. FTA Program Funding Tables

1. FTA Revised FY 2010 Appropriations and Apportionments for Grant Programs

2. FTA Revised FY 2010 Metropolitan Planning Program and Statewide Planning and Research Program Apportionments
 3. FTA Revised FY 2010 Section 5307 and Section 5340 Urbanized Area Apportionments
 4. FTA FY 2010 Section 5307 Apportionment Formula
 5. FTA Revised FY 2010 Formula Programs Apportionments Data Unit Values
 6. FTA Revised FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
 8. FTA Revised FY 2010 Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization Apportionments
 9. FTA Fixed Guideway Modernization Formula
 - 10-B. FTA Revised Section 5309 Bus and Bus-Related Facilities Extensions and Reprogrammed Allocations
 13. FTA Revised FY 2010 Special Needs For Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Apportionments
 14. FTA Revised FY 2010 Section 5311 and Section 5340 Nonurbanized Area Formula Apportionments, and Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) Allocations
 16. FTA Revised FY 2010 Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) Apportionments
 17. FTA Revised Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) Carryover
 18. FTA Revised FY 2010 Section 5317 New Freedom Apportionments
 19. FTA Revised FY 2010 Section 5339 Alternative Analysis Allocations
 20. FTA Revised Alternative Analysis Carryover
- Appendix

I. Overview

FTA's current authorization, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), expired September 30, 2009. Since that time, Congress has enacted the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, (Pub. L. 111–147), hereinafter "HIRE Act, 2010," which continues the authorization of the Federal transit programs of DOT through December 31, 2010. Additionally, Division A of the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act 2010 (Pub. L. 111–68), which was signed into law by President Obama on December 16, 2009, appropriated funds for FTA funded programs for FY 2010. This Notice provides the remaining formula funds, the full year allocations of Alternative Analysis program funds, and corrections to the Alternatives Analysis and JARC program carryover tables, Bus and Bus Facilities Extensions and Reprogramming table, and STIC performance data and Apportionments table.

II. FTA Program Funding Based on the Appropriations Act, 2010 and Hire Act, 2010

FTA's resources are provided in both appropriations and authorization law. For FY 2010, the Transportation and Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 111–117) and Hiring Incentives to Restore

Employment Act (HIRE) Act (Pub. L. 111–147) together included a total of \$10.7 billion. While the appropriations act provided full-year General Fund budget authority for certain FTA programs, the HIRE Act built on previously enacted partial-year extensions of SAFETEA-LU authorities, including the provision of contract authority from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund. Specifically, it provided contract authority for the Formula and Bus Programs for the remainder of FY 2010, as well as contract authority through December 31st of 2010 (the first quarter of FY 2011). Table 1 of this document shows the total amount of FY 2010 funding that is available for FTA's grant programs contract authority for the first quarter of FY 2011 will be apportioned after October 1, 2010. See the February 18, 2008 **Federal Register** notice for detailed information on FTA programs.

Note: The HIRE Act provided contract authority at levels equal to the FY 2009 authorized levels in SAFETEA-LU. However, the FY 2010 Appropriations Act contains an obligation limitation for the Formula and Bus programs that is slightly lower than the FY 2010 authorized contract authority. Funding levels made available under this Notice are based on the obligation limitation contained in FTA's FY 2010 appropriations act.

Issued in Washington, DC, May 7, 2010.

Peter Rogoff,
Administrator.

Appendix A

FTA REGIONAL AND METROPOLITAN OFFICES

Richard H. Doyle, Regional Administrator, Region 1—Boston, Kendall Square, 55 Broadway, Suite 920, Cambridge, MA 02142–1093, Tel. 617–494–2055.

States served: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

Brigid Hynes-Cherin, Regional Administrator, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 429, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2170.

States served: New Jersey, New York.

New York Metropolitan Office, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 428, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2202.

Letitia Thompson, Regional Administrator, Region 3—Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7100.

States served: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia.

Philadelphia Metropolitan Office, Region 3—Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7070.

Washington, DC Metropolitan Office, 1990 K Street, NW., Room 510, Washington, DC 20006, Tel. 202–219–3562.

Yvette Taylor, Regional Administrator, Region 4—Atlanta, 230 Peachtree Street, NW., Suite 800, Atlanta, GA 30303, Tel. 404–865–5600.

States served: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virgin Islands.

Robert C. Patrick, Regional Administrator, Region 6—Ft. Worth, 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A36, Ft. Worth, TX 76102, Tel. 817–978–0550.

States served: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico and Texas.

Mokhtee Ahmad, Regional Administrator, Region 7—Kansas City, MO, 901 Locust Street, Room 404, Kansas City, MO 64106, Tel. 816–329–3920.

States served: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.

Terry Rosapep, Regional Administrator, Region 8—Denver, 12300 West Dakota Ave., Suite 310, Lakewood, CO 80228–2583, Tel. 720–963–3300.

States served: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and, Wyoming.

Leslie T. Rogers, Regional Administrator, Region 9—San Francisco, 201 Mission Street, Room 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105–1926, Tel. 415–744–3133.

States served: American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

Los Angeles Metropolitan Office, Region 9—Los Angeles, 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1850, Los Angeles, CA 90017–1850, Tel. 213–202–3952.

FTA REGIONAL AND METROPOLITAN OFFICES—Continued

Marisol Simon, Regional Administrator, Region 5—Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789. <i>States served:</i> Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin. Chicago Metropolitan Office, Region 5—Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789.	Rick Krochalis, Regional Administrator, Region 10—Seattle, Jackson Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142, Seattle, WA 98174–1002, Tel. 206–220–7954. <i>States served:</i> Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.
--	--

BILLING CODE 4910–57–P

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

REVISED FY 2010 APPROPRIATIONS AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS	
<u>Section 5303 Metropolitan Transportation Planning Program</u>	
Total Available	\$93,691,869
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(468,459)
Reapportioned Funds	430,892
Total Apportioned	\$93,654,302
<u>Section 5304 Statewide Transportation Planning Program</u>	
Total Available	\$19,571,996
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(97,860)
Reapportioned Funds	409,394
Total Apportioned	\$19,883,530
<u>Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program</u>	
Total Available	\$4,151,709,439
Less Oversight (three-fourths percent)	(31,137,821)
Reapportioned Funds	5,468,403
Total Apportioned	\$4,126,040,021
<u>Section 5308 Clean Fuels Program</u>	
	\$51,392,855
<u>Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facility Program</u>	
Total Available	\$981,952,806
Less Oversight (one percent)	(9,819,528)
Funds Available for Allocation	\$972,133,278
<u>Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization</u>	
Total Available	\$1,663,032,878
Less Oversight (one percent)	(16,630,329)
Reapportioned Funds	291,176
Total Apportioned	\$1,646,693,725
<u>Section 5310 Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Program</u>	
Total Available	\$133,222,256
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(666,111)
Reapportioned Funds	1,269,572
Total Apportioned	\$133,825,717
<u>Section 5311 Nonurbanized Area Formula Program</u>	
Total Available	\$439,783,131
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(2,320,163)
Reapportioned Funds	696,242
Total Apportioned	\$438,159,210
<u>Section 5311(b)(3) Rural Transit Assistance Program (RTAP)</u>	
Total Available	\$9,280,652
Less Amount Reserved for National RTAP	(1,392,098)
Reapportioned Funds	119,384
Total Apportioned	\$8,007,938
<u>Section 5311(c) Public Transportation on Indian Reservations</u>	
	\$14,968,793

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

REVISED FY 2010 APPROPRIATIONS AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

<u>Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute Program</u>	
Total Available	\$164,157,761
Reapportioned Funds	10,910,370
Total Apportioned	\$175,068,131
<u>Section 5317 New Freedom Program</u>	
Total Available	\$92,307,555
Reapportioned Funds	6,680,110
Total Apportioned	\$98,987,665
<u>Section 5320 Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program</u>	
Total Available	\$26,844,035
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(134,220)
Funds Available for Allocation	\$26,709,815
<u>Section 5339 Alternative Analysis Program</u>	
Total Available	\$24,947,988
Funds Available for Allocation	\$24,947,988
<u>Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States Formula</u>	
Total Available	\$464,032,576 ^{1/}
Total Apportioned	\$464,032,576
<u>Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility Program (Pub. L. 105-85, Section 3038)</u>	
	\$8,781,692
CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS	
<u>Section 5309 New Starts</u>	
Total Available	\$2,000,000,000
Less Oversight (one percent)	(20,000,000)
Funds Available for Allocation	\$1,980,000,000
RESEARCH	
<u>Section 5314 National Research Program</u>	
	\$65,670,000
TOTAL APPROPRIATION (Above Grant Programs)	
	\$10,405,348,282 ^{2/}
TOTAL APPORTIONMENT/ALLOCATION (Above Grant Programs)	
	\$10,348,957,236

1/ Apportionments derived from the Section 5340 formula are combined with the Section 5307 or Section 5311 apportionments, as appropriate, in accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report.

2/ The total amount appropriated for FTA programs in the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 is \$10.4 billion. The amount shown here only includes funding for the programs included in this notice and shown above.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 2

**Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5303 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM
AND SECTION 5304 STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM APPORTIONMENTS**

*(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)*

STATE	SECTION 5303 APPORTIONMENT	SECTION 5304 APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	\$708,926	\$188,138
Alaska	374,617	99,418
Arizona	1,872,990	378,771
Arkansas	374,617	99,418
California	14,733,468	2,909,442
Colorado	1,403,571	311,395
Connecticut	1,040,322	276,071
Delaware	374,617	99,418
District of Columbia	374,617	99,418
Florida	6,120,354	1,305,494
Georgia	2,413,975	485,570
Hawaii	374,617	99,418
Idaho	374,617	99,418
Illinois	5,193,744	943,735
Indiana	1,410,963	330,581
Iowa	407,119	108,043
Kansas	476,021	117,061
Kentucky	594,113	151,847
Louisiana	928,171	245,747
Maine	374,617	99,418
Maryland	2,099,306	416,501
Massachusetts	2,758,232	546,145
Michigan	3,081,093	637,582
Minnesota	1,314,883	262,817
Mississippi	374,617	99,418
Missouri	1,386,880	299,539
Montana	374,617	99,418
Nebraska	374,617	99,418
Nevada	685,660	162,464
New Hampshire	374,617	99,418
New Jersey	4,344,612	751,481
New Mexico	374,617	99,418
New York	8,280,964	1,502,675
North Carolina	1,373,463	364,496
North Dakota	374,617	99,418
Ohio	2,980,402	708,596
Oklahoma	541,822	143,791
Oregon	833,486	191,522
Pennsylvania	3,847,723	795,793
Puerto Rico	1,554,042	336,954
Rhode Island	387,085	99,418
South Carolina	684,316	181,607
South Dakota	374,617	99,418
Tennessee	1,082,711	287,335
Texas	6,874,926	1,433,984
Utah	638,396	169,420
Vermont	374,617	99,418
Virginia	2,125,374	456,804
Washington	1,996,425	417,116
West Virginia	374,617	99,418
Wisconsin	1,110,275	275,489
Wyoming	374,617	99,418
TOTAL	\$93,654,302	\$19,883,530

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 1 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
1,000,000 or more in Population	\$3,214,600,796
200,000 - 999,999 in Population	831,452,197
50,000 - 199,999 in Population	470,854,665
National Total	\$4,516,907,658

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 1,000,000 or more in Population:

Atlanta, GA	\$68,689,022
Baltimore, MD	58,111,752
Boston, MA--NH--RI	148,058,747
Chicago, IL--IN	246,458,013
Cincinnati, OH--KY--IN	18,732,058
Cleveland, OH	28,569,002
Columbus, OH	12,357,271
Dallas--Fort Worth--Arlington, TX	65,544,638
Denver--Aurora, CO	50,064,627
Detroit, MI	43,111,731
Houston, TX	68,147,934
Indianapolis, IN	12,179,041
Kansas City, MO--KS	15,210,877
Las Vegas, NV	24,588,968
Los Angeles--Long Beach--Santa Ana, CA	295,179,648
Miami, FL	102,874,365
Milwaukee, WI	21,311,097
Minneapolis--St. Paul, MN	50,968,077
New Orleans, LA	17,979,546
New York--Newark, NY--NJ--CT	878,102,305
Orlando, FL	19,830,030
Philadelphia, PA--NJ--DE--MD	140,858,136
Phoenix--Mesa, AZ	49,837,007
Pittsburgh, PA	33,498,403
Portland, OR--WA	37,084,609
Providence, RI--MA	34,235,351
Riverside--San Bernardino, CA	28,198,334
Sacramento, CA	22,683,905
San Antonio, TX	24,800,836
San Diego, CA	57,800,161
San Francisco--Oakland, CA	129,707,568
San Jose, CA	41,781,788
San Juan, PR	30,707,243
Seattle, WA	96,013,644
St. Louis, MO--IL	34,479,650
Tampa--St. Petersburg, FL	24,971,970
Virginia Beach, VA	20,098,133
Washington, DC--VA--MD	161,775,309
Total	\$3,214,600,796

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 2 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
<i>Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 to 999,999 in Population</i>	
Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastian, PR	\$3,736,999
Akron, OH	6,561,010
Albany, NY	11,334,876
Albuquerque, NM	8,650,996
Allentown-Bethlehem, PA--NJ	7,535,463
Anchorage, AK	23,470,873
Ann Arbor, MI	4,809,339
Antioch, CA	6,416,514
Asheville, NC	1,922,849
Atlantic City, NJ	10,693,440
Augusta-Richmond County, GA--SC	2,471,441
Austin, TX	19,935,172
Bakersfield, CA	5,960,852
Barnstable Town, MA	5,658,682
Baton Rouge, LA	4,730,544
Birmingham, AL	6,829,415
Boise City, ID	2,689,670
Bonita Springs-Naples, FL	2,882,441
Bridgeport-Stamford, CT--NY	26,193,255
Buffalo, NY	18,342,289
Canton, OH	3,818,376
Cape Coral, FL	4,238,853
Charleston-North Charleston, SC	4,769,182
Charlotte, NC--SC	16,504,557
Chattanooga, TN--GA	3,422,136
Colorado Springs, CO	6,594,219
Columbia, SC	3,920,595
Columbus, GA--AL	2,221,643
Concord, CA	21,456,912
Corpus Christi, TX	4,771,992
Davenport, IA--IL	3,880,244
Dayton, OH	15,103,536
Daytona Beach-Port Orange, FL	4,249,196
Denton-Lewisville, TX	3,211,828
Des Moines, IA	6,220,811
Durham, NC	6,538,553
El Paso, TX--NM	11,270,788
Eugene, OR	4,904,532
Evansville, IN--KY	2,216,033
Fayetteville, NC	2,429,436
Flint, MI	6,776,831
Fort Collins, CO	2,663,908
Fort Wayne, IN	3,016,431
Fresno, CA	8,788,126
Grand Rapids, MI	7,947,178
Greensboro, NC	4,784,334
Greenville, SC	2,164,254
Gulfport-Biloxi, MS	1,869,853

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 3 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Harrisburg, PA	5,282,718
Hartford, CT	20,812,788
Honolulu, HI	28,832,469
Huntsville, AL	1,826,351
Indio--Cathedral City--Palm Springs, CA	3,533,405
Jackson, MS	2,550,896
Jacksonville, FL	13,801,965
Knoxville, TN	4,292,343
Lancaster, PA	7,415,691
Lancaster--Palmdale, CA	8,967,688
Lansing, MI	5,490,929
Lexington-Fayette, KY	4,150,638
Lincoln, NE	2,840,754
Little Rock, AR	4,124,784
Louisville, KY--IN	13,197,396
Lubbock, TX	2,824,406
Madison, WI	7,065,939
McAllen, TX	3,566,400
Memphis, TN--MS--AR	12,977,271
Mission Viejo, CA	10,375,564
Mobile, AL	3,183,877
Modesto, CA	4,166,376
Nashville-Davidson, TN	11,343,497
New Haven, CT	19,421,587
Ogden--Layton, UT	9,928,780
Oklahoma City, OK	7,268,869
Omaha, NE--IA	7,456,759
Oxnard, CA	7,637,526
Palm Bay--Melbourne, FL	4,346,889
Pensacola, FL--AL	2,928,674
Peoria, IL	3,122,090
Port St. Lucie, FL	2,325,205
Poughkeepsie--Newburgh, NY	17,327,097
Provo-Orem, UT	5,316,515
Raleigh, NC	6,898,495
Reading, PA	3,205,981
Reno, NV	5,398,020
Richmond, VA	10,534,618
Rochester, NY	11,668,165
Rockford, IL	2,839,282
Round Lake Beach--McHenry--Grayslake, IL--WI	4,094,711
Salem, OR	4,880,846
Salt Lake City, UT	27,802,397
Santa Rosa, CA	4,633,906
Sarasota--Bradenton, FL	7,126,681
Savannah, GA	3,311,733
Scranton, PA	4,266,529
Shreveport, LA	3,478,902
South Bend, IN--MI	4,020,904
Spokane, WA--ID	7,987,591
Springfield, MA--CT	12,804,192
Springfield, MO	2,119,009

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 4 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

*(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
 Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)*

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Stockton, CA	7,896,900
Syracuse, NY	7,454,657
Tallahassee, FL	2,682,257
Temecula--Murrieta, CA	3,096,309
Thousand Oaks, CA	3,022,422
Toledo, OH--MI	6,634,280
Trenton, NJ	11,594,918
Tucson, AZ	12,104,359
Tulsa, OK	6,465,926
Victorville--Hesperia--Apple Valley, CA	2,478,611
Wichita, KS	5,163,682
Winston-Salem, NC	2,805,601
Worcester, MA--CT	9,029,309
Youngstown, OH--PA	3,666,411
Total	\$831,452,197

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 5 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

*(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)*

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
<i>Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population</i>	
ALABAMA	\$8,355,606
Anniston, AL	775,792
Auburn, AL	717,312
Decatur, AL	682,204
Dothan, AL	654,227
Florence, AL	822,207
Gadsden, AL	643,758
Montgomery, AL	2,653,645
Tuscaloosa, AL	1,406,461
ALASKA	\$573,437
Fairbanks, AK	573,437
ARIZONA	\$3,970,730
Avondale, AZ	1,000,309
Flagstaff, AZ	741,698
Prescott, AZ	772,888
Yuma, AZ--CA	1,455,835
ARKANSAS	\$5,646,878
Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	2,107,427
Fort Smith, AR--OK	1,388,476
Hot Springs, AR	559,240
Jonesboro, AR	585,295
Pine Bluff, AR	727,434
Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	279,006
CALIFORNIA	\$65,213,927
Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	702,873
Camarillo, CA	1,038,066
Chico, CA	1,669,561
Davis, CA	2,404,718
El Centro, CA	919,646
Fairfield, CA	2,514,211
Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	1,201,683
Hanford, CA	1,867,764
Hemet, CA	1,884,601
Livermore, CA	1,399,924
Lodi, CA	1,699,464
Lompoc, CA	1,021,915
Madera, CA	889,504
Manteca, CA	978,131
Merced, CA	1,870,777
Napa, CA	1,434,119
Petaluma, CA	1,048,314
Porterville, CA	979,322
Redding, CA	1,254,695
Salinas, CA	3,860,985
San Luis Obispo, CA	1,912,389
Santa Barbara, CA	4,370,321
Santa Clarita, CA	3,685,546
Santa Cruz, CA	3,477,424
Santa Maria, CA	2,155,900
Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	3,047,288
Simi Valley, CA	2,285,754
Tracy, CA	1,289,283
Turlock, CA	1,778,691

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 6 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Vacaville, CA	1,821,791
Vallejo, CA	3,502,837
Visalia, CA	2,160,959
Watsonville, CA	1,517,072
Yuba City, CA	1,557,156
Yuma, AZ--CA	11,243
 COLORADO	 \$9,805,867
Boulder, CO	2,644,833
Grand Junction, CO	1,155,625
Greeley, CO	1,512,284
Lafayette--Louisville, CO	962,352
Longmont, CO	1,733,712
Pueblo, CO	1,797,061
 CONNECTICUT	 \$21,092,690
Danbury, CT--NY	8,191,657
Norwich--New London, CT	3,528,460
Waterbury, CT	9,372,573
 DELAWARE	 \$1,550,479
Dover, DE	1,510,265
Salisbury, MD--DE	40,214
 FLORIDA	 \$24,509,626
Brooksville, FL	1,139,327
Deltona, FL	1,847,643
Fort Walton Beach, FL	1,877,619
Gainesville, FL	2,965,110
Kissimmee, FL	2,412,979
Lady Lake, FL	532,365
Lakeland, FL	2,650,413
Leesburg--Eustis, FL	1,133,937
North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1,422,651
Ocala, FL	1,180,047
Panama City, FL	1,510,301
St. Augustine, FL	651,794
Titusville, FL	1,277,375
Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	1,449,781
Winter Haven, FL	1,841,430
Zephyrhills, FL	616,854
 GEORGIA	 \$9,393,664
Albany, GA	1,137,771
Athens-Clarke County, GA	1,231,816
Brunswick, GA	575,111
Dalton, GA	616,293
Gainesville, GA	925,547
Hinesville, GA	664,931
Macon, GA	1,709,762
Rome, GA	843,357
Valdosta, GA	700,128
Warner Robins, GA	988,948

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 7 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
HAWAII	\$2,496,366
Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	2,496,366
IDAHO	\$4,534,777
Coeur d'Alene, ID	968,361
Idaho Falls, ID	949,171
Lewiston, ID--WA	410,595
Nampa, ID	1,335,557
Pocatello, ID	871,093
ILLINOIS	\$12,367,423
Alton, IL	1,047,785
Beloit, WI--IL	163,327
Bloomington-Normal, IL	2,032,080
Champaign, IL	2,986,342
Danville, IL	822,684
Decatur, IL	1,428,684
DeKalb, IL	950,148
Dubuque, IA--IL	33,148
Kankakee, IL	950,597
Springfield, IL	1,952,628
INDIANA	\$12,080,084
Anderson, IN	1,165,481
Bloomington, IN	1,749,435
Columbus, IN	668,517
Elkhart, IN--MI	1,622,862
Kokomo, IN	971,707
Lafayette, IN	2,273,727
Michigan City, IN--MI	885,785
Muncie, IN	1,712,747
Terre Haute, IN	1,029,823
IOWA	\$9,390,056
Ames, IA	1,488,138
Cedar Rapids, IA	2,394,967
Dubuque, IA--IL	878,028
Iowa City, IA	1,861,683
Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	1,291,395
Waterloo, IA	1,475,845
KANSAS	\$3,554,809
Lawrence, KS	1,607,256
St. Joseph, MO--KS	14,151
Topeka, KS	1,933,402
KENTUCKY	\$3,292,186
Bowling Green, KY	713,720
Clarksville, TN--KY	308,567
Huntington, WV--KY--OH	642,713
Owensboro, KY	861,622
Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	765,564
LOUISIANA	\$9,800,564
Alexandria, LA	891,799
Houma, LA	1,546,678
Lafayette, LA	2,375,079
Lake Charles, LA	1,554,858
Mandeville--Covington, LA	715,946
Monroe, LA	1,788,747
Slidell, LA	927,457

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 8 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

*(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)*

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
MAINE	\$3,875,942
Bangor, ME	705,162
Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	74,883
Lewiston, ME	753,101
Portland, ME	2,246,180
Portsmouth, NH--ME	96,616
MARYLAND	\$12,161,812
Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3,334,458
Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	932,105
Frederick, MD	2,201,396
Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	1,656,659
Salisbury, MD--DE	1,338,537
St. Charles, MD	1,405,820
Westminster, MD	1,292,837
MASSACHUSETTS	\$7,005,906
Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2,650,465
Nashua, NH--MA	581
New Bedford, MA	3,299,721
Pittsfield, MA	1,055,139
MICHIGAN	\$14,495,783
Battle Creek, MI	949,185
Bay City, MI	1,267,127
Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	704,009
Elkhart, IN--MI	20,170
Holland, MI	1,210,330
Jackson, MI	1,100,483
Kalamazoo, MI	2,372,442
Michigan City, IN--MI	5,767
Monroe, MI	679,487
Muskegon, MI	1,858,840
Port Huron, MI	1,345,370
Saginaw, MI	1,854,126
South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	1,128,447
MINNESOTA	\$6,080,845
Duluth, MN--WI	1,737,581
Fargo, ND--MN	563,676
Grand Forks, ND--MN	140,103
La Crosse, WI--MN	89,155
Rochester, MN	1,768,266
St. Cloud, MN	1,782,064
MISSISSIPPI	\$1,710,679
Hattiesburg, MS	745,627
Pascagoula, MS	965,052
MISSOURI	\$4,894,415
Columbia, MO	1,461,177
Jefferson City, MO	624,913
Joplin, MO	804,419
Lee's Summit, MO	822,775
St. Joseph, MO--KS	1,181,131
MONTANA	\$3,257,983
Billings, MT	1,417,608
Great Falls, MT	920,482
Missoula, MT	919,893

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 9 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
N. MARIANA ISLANDS Saipan, MP	\$803,244 803,244
NEBRASKA Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	\$250,054 250,054
NEVADA Carson City, NV	\$818,585 818,585
NEW HAMPSHIRE Dover--Rochester, NH--ME Manchester, NH Nashua, NH--MA Portsmouth, NH--ME	\$5,542,196 825,028 1,948,768 2,308,820 459,580
NEW JERSEY Hightstown, NJ Vineland, NJ Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	\$4,550,836 1,645,390 1,833,695 1,071,751
NEW MEXICO Farmington, NM Las Cruces, NM Santa Fe, NM	\$3,217,011 593,523 1,288,075 1,335,413
NEW YORK Binghamton, NY--PA Danbury, CT--NY Elmira, NY Glens Falls, NY Ithaca, NY Kingston, NY Middletown, NY Saratoga Springs, NY Utica, NY	\$11,316,882 3,143,034 95,714 1,284,949 914,805 1,489,459 841,508 818,183 772,927 1,956,303
NORTH CAROLINA Burlington, NC Concord, NC Gastonia, NC Goldsboro, NC Greenville, NC Hickory, NC High Point, NC Jacksonville, NC Rocky Mount, NC Wilmington, NC	\$13,283,379 1,121,563 1,298,455 1,563,557 664,968 1,110,866 1,898,659 1,562,336 1,146,920 744,669 2,171,386
NORTH DAKOTA Bismarck, ND Fargo, ND--MN Grand Forks, ND--MN	\$4,237,178 1,362,923 1,854,410 1,019,845
OHIO Huntington, WV--KY--OH Lima, OH Lorain--Elyria, OH Mansfield, OH Middletown, OH Newark, OH Parkersburg, WV--OH Sandusky, OH	\$10,547,336 420,651 901,850 2,731,851 963,543 1,257,961 1,241,426 295,994 638,418

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 10 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Springfield, OH	1,218,709
Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	508,268
Wheeling, WV--OH	368,665
OKLAHOMA	\$2,532,918
Fort Smith, AR--OK	26,313
Lawton, OK	1,102,747
Norman, OK	1,403,858
OREGON	\$3,341,057
Bend, OR	704,091
Corvallis, OR	791,689
Longview, WA--OR	18,865
Medford, OR	1,826,412
PENNSYLVANIA	\$16,798,033
Altoona, PA	1,161,800
Binghamton, NY--PA	51,424
Cumberland, MD-WV-PA	158
Erie, PA	3,218,311
Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	14,529
Hazleton, PA	662,281
Johnstown, PA	1,276,284
Lebanon, PA	1,037,093
Monessen, PA	984,627
Pottstown, PA	836,289
State College, PA	2,176,357
Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	963,983
Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	3,150
Williamsport, PA	1,738,438
York, PA	2,673,309
PUERTO RICO	\$12,401,365
Arecibo, PR	1,668,202
Fajardo, PR	1,105,921
Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	742,152
Guayama, PR	969,462
Juana Diaz, PR	651,195
Mayaguez, PR	1,508,879
Ponce, PR	3,321,194
San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	1,167,264
Yauco, PR	1,267,096
RHODE ISLAND	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$7,095,222
Anderson, SC	739,141
Florence, SC	1,022,958
Mauldin-Simpsonville, SC	908,005
Myrtle Beach, SC	1,366,959
Rock Hill, SC	761,484
Spartanburg, SC	1,536,918
Sumter, SC	759,757
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$3,105,215
Rapid City, SD	944,601
Sioux City, IA-NE--SD	43,833
Sioux Falls, SD	2,116,781
TENNESSEE	\$7,797,403
Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	400,627
Clarksville, TN--KY	1,164,980

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 11 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Cleveland, TN	642,301
Jackson, TN	968,594
Johnson City, TN	1,105,220
Kingsport, TN-VA	969,238
Morristown, TN	598,715
Murfreesboro, TN	1,947,728
 TEXAS	 \$40,484,799
Abilene, TX	1,546,438
Amarillo, TX	2,687,153
Beaumont, TX	1,774,153
Brownsville, TX	3,037,022
College Station--Bryan, TX	2,101,108
Galveston, TX	1,644,405
Harlingen, TX	1,467,617
Killeen, TX	2,624,272
Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1,042,039
Laredo, TX	4,040,202
Longview, TX	952,721
McKinney, TX	746,087
Midland, TX	1,414,909
Odessa, TX	1,553,210
Pearl Arthur, TX	1,746,929
San Angelo, TX	1,486,406
Sherman, TX	724,425
Temple, TX	922,789
Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	532,087
Texas City, TX	1,208,395
The Woodlands, TX	1,720,087
Tyler, TX	1,310,301
Victoria, TX	685,151
Waco, TX	2,187,058
Wichita Falls, TX	1,329,835
 UTAH	 \$2,179,485
Logan, UT	1,347,296
St. George, UT	832,189
 VERMONT	 \$1,776,414
Burlington, VT	1,776,414
 VIRGIN ISLANDS	 971,438 1/
 VIRGINIA	 \$10,999,578
Blacksburg, VA	1,571,168
Bristol, TN-Bristol, VA	233,626
Charlottesville, VA	1,607,446
Danville, VA	619,524
Fredericksburg, VA	1,158,740
Harrisonburg, VA	1,167,244
Kingsport, TN-VA	18,305
Lynchburg, VA	1,432,883
Roanoke, VA	2,529,445
Winchester, VA	661,197
 WASHINGTON	 \$17,228,703
Bellingham, WA	1,856,360
Bremerton, WA	2,760,790
Kennewick--Richland, WA	2,915,306
Lewiston, ID-WA	239,645
Longview, WA--OR	849,969
Marysville, WA	1,697,442
Mount Vernon, WA	937,584
Olympia-Lacey, WA	2,670,612

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 3

Page 12 of 12

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

*(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)*

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Wenatchee, WA	1,377,586
Yakima, WA	1,923,409
WEST VIRGINIA	<u>\$7,615,995</u>
Charleston, WV	2,539,708
Cumberland, MD-WV-PA	26,139
Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	342,104
Huntington, WV--KY--OH	1,136,729
Morgantown, WV	1,744,982
Parkersburg, WV--OH	772,079
Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	352,713
Wheeling, WV--OH	701,541
WISCONSIN	<u>\$19,100,313</u>
Appleton, WI	2,870,511
Beloit, WI--IL	603,001
Duluth, MN--WI	542,337
Eau Claire, WI	1,419,527
Fond du Lac, WI	748,160
Green Bay, WI	2,693,933
Janesville, WI	943,198
Kenosha, WI	1,718,948
La Crosse, WI--MN	1,494,988
Oshkosh, WI	1,568,761
Racine, WI	2,086,421
Sheboygan, WI	1,222,272
Wausau, WI	1,188,256
WYOMING	<u>\$1,747,492</u>
Casper, WY	820,424
Cheyenne, WY	927,068
Total	\$470,854,665

1/ Language in section 5307(l) of SAFETEA-LU directs that the Virgin Islands be treated as an urbanized area.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 4

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Distribution of Available Funds

Of the funds made available to the Section 5307 program, a one percent takedown is authorized for Small Transit Intensive Cities. This amount is apportioned to the Governors based on a separate formula that uses criteria related to specific service performance categories.

The remaining funds are apportioned to small, medium, and large sized urbanized areas (UZAs). 9.32% is made available for UZAs 50,000-199,999 in population, and 90.68% to UZAs 200,000 or more in population.

UZA Population and Weighting Factors

50,000-199,999 in population : 9.32% of available Section 5307 funds

(Apportioned to Governors) **50% apportioned based on population**

50% apportioned based on population x population density

200,000 and greater in population: 90.68% of available Section 5307 funds

(Apportioned to UZAs) **33.29% (Fixed Guideway Tier*)**

95.61% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)

— at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater

60% - fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles

40% - fixed guideway route miles

4.39% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)

— at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater

— fixed guideway passenger miles x fixed guideway passenger miles/operating cost

66.71% ("Bus" Tier)

90.8% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)

73.39% for UZAs with population 1,000,000 or greater

50% - bus revenue vehicle miles

25% - population

25% - population x population density

26.61% for UZAs pop. < 1,000,000

50% - bus revenue vehicle miles

25% - population

25% - population x density

9.2% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)

— bus passenger miles x bus passenger miles/operating cost

* Includes all fixed guideway modes, such as heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, exclusive busways, and HOV lanes.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 5

Revised FISCAL YEAR 2010 FORMULA PROGRAMS APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUES

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

	APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUE
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Bus Tier	
Urbanized Areas Over 1,000,000:	
Population	\$3.45625176
Population x Density	\$0.00087696
Bus Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$0.42162603
Urbanized Areas Under 1,000,000:	
Population	\$3.16751868
Population x Density	\$0.00138591
Bus Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$0.54587669
Bus Incentive (PM denotes Passenger Mile):	
<u>Bus PM x Bus PM =</u> Operating Cost	\$0.00932424
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Fixed Guideway Tier	
Fixed Guideway Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$0.61514887
Fixed Guideway Route Mile	\$32,882
Commuter Rail Floor	\$8,841,607
Fixed Guideway Incentive:	
<u>Fixed Guideway PM x Fixed Guideway PM =</u> Operating Cost	\$0.00066105
Commuter Rail Incentive Floor	\$405,969
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Areas Under 200,000	
Population	\$6.37078554
Population x Density	\$0.00316912
Section 5307 Small Transit Intensive Cities	
For Each Qualifying Performance Category.....	\$152,636
Section 5311 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Areas Under 50,000	
Population	\$3.91529876
Section 5309 Capital Program - Fixed Guideway Modernization	

	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Tier 5	Tier 6	Tier 7
Legislatively Specified Areas:						
Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$0.03043443	-----	\$0.13671435	\$0.03340664	\$0.02202636	\$0.28135096
Route Mile	\$2,122.43	-----	\$7,825.39	\$2,589.13	\$1,707.12	\$21,805.63
Other Urbanized Areas:						
Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$0.16288440	\$0.00576164	\$0.13671435	\$0.05896069	\$0.04813118	\$0.92219641
Route Mile	\$4,758.70	\$168.33	\$7,825.39	\$1,757.15	\$1,434.41	\$27,483.42

Notes:

- Unit values for Section 5307 do not take into account Section 5340 funding added to the program.
- The unit value for Section 5311 is based on the total nonurbanized/rural population for the States and territories. It does not take into account Section 5311 funds allocated based on land area in nonurbanized areas, or Section 5340 funding added to the program.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16.484		
Alabama	Aniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	0.747	13.046	4.559	0.261	3.403	0.940	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	1.993	29.456	8.817	0.596	17.570	3.315	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.860	11.912	10.594	0.765	9.114	2.033	0	0
Alabama	Florence, AL	1.974	27.515	8.228	0.590	16.239	5.584	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.310	66.059	8.341	0.544	35.947	6.762	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.245	36.145	2.966	0.266	9.623	1.801	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.518	73.759	10.535	0.645	47.603	6.546	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	0.791	13.071	6.281	0.380	4.970	1.131	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.621	51.521	11.433	0.803	41.393	16.158	0	0
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ-CA	2.244	32.518	8.238	0.568	18.486	2.864	0	0
Arkansas	Fayetteville-Springdale, AR	3.684	41.822	4.493	0.388	16.220	8.258	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR-OK	2.684	35.820	4.063	0.306	10.947	2.379	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero-EI Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	0.000	0.000	4.893	0.170	0.000	1.401	0	0
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.290	75.833	13.726	0.958	72.615	13.666	2	126,554
California	Davis, CA	8.827	110.152	16.500	1.322	145.849	52.094	6	379,665
California	El Centro, CA	0.000	0.000	5.059	0.270	0.000	3.132	0	0
California	Fairfield, CA	4.440	84.154	12.416	0.655	55.132	6.758	1	63,277
California	Gilroy-Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.183	174.829	71.789	2.128	372.082	19.713	5	316,386
California	Hemet, CA	1.831	34.644	5.358	0.283	9.810	1.060	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.918	49.935	4.897	0.384	19.187	3.912	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.017	13.202	0.864	11.410	4.684	1	63,277	
California	Lompoc, CA	12.310	179.888	8.952	0.613	110.204	6.761	3	189,832
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~\$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6,611	109,731	12,302	0.808	96.041	16,484		
California	Merced, CA	1,929	38,960	7,033	0.348	13,567	2,302	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2,820	32,334	7,125	0.621	20,096	5,330	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3,912	53,554	7,574	0.553	29,625	5,085	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5,248	80,025	7,106	0.466	37,293	8,552	0	0
California	Redding, CA	3,754	55,890	10,482	0.704	39,345	7,528	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	8,118	132,088	8,209	0.505	66,641	9,800	2	126,554
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	14,158	220,858	13,212	0.847	187,051	21,017	6	379,665
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12,816	178,862	16,867	1,209	216,175	42,209	6	379,664
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11,086	191,260	15,004	0.870	166,329	16,010	5	316,386
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9,403	131,217	22,398	1,605	210,601	34,747	6	379,664
California	Santa Maria, CA	6,129	106,266	10,135	0.585	62,125	10,514	0	0
California	Seaside-Monterey-Marina, CA	6,954	111,947	17,604	1,093	122,413	18,063	6	379,664
California	Simi Valley, CA	3,452	47,067	5,885	0.432	20,315	4,027	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1,941	31,560	19,580	1,204	37,999	18,133	3	189,832
California	Vacaville, CA	5,758	113,656	0,600	0,030	3,458	0,558	1	63,277
California	Vallejo, CA	3,846	58,543	8,911	0,585	34,275	6,568	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4,734	61,416	11,050	0,855	52,516	12,660	1	63,277
California	Watsonville, CA	8,742	129,217	9,313	0,630	81,412	12,864	2	126,554
California	Yuba City, CA	5,959	90,637	11,064	0,727	65,931	9,653	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9,819	134,696	9,917	0,723	97,376	19,439	4	253,109
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4,475	71,012	8,940	0,563	40,005	8,227	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3,629	44,213	5,861	0,481	21,272	5,770	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette-Louisville, CO	6,795	95,447	6,567	0,468	44,625	8,454	1	63,277
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8,854	120,032	10,496	0,774	92,926	16,540	3	189,832
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	4,699	66,156	6,483	0,461	30,467	9,809	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT-NY	29,157	768,622	34,856	1,322	1016,300	43,690	6	379,664
Connecticut	Norwich-New London, CT	6,384	119,279	9,194	0,492	58,694	7,264	1	63,277
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28,165	652,625	29,547	1,275	832,186	43,774	6	379,664
Delaware	Dover, DE	3,119	53,150	30,054	1,764	93,746	12,315	2	126,554
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1,895	36,584	5,712	0,296	10,827	1,884	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3,397	51,675	8,216	0,540	27,908	5,335	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,926 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 99,999	6.611	109,731	12.302	0.808	96,041	16,484		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.564	21,439	6,841	0.499	10,700	1,905	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.177	94,448	19,573	1,695	160,052	56,695	5	316,386
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.389	39,134	10,915	0.666	26,070	1,670	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.784	80,078	12,728	0.760	60,895	11,859	1	63,277
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.390	39,139	11,533	0.704	27,563	1,803	0	0
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.073	17,696	4,099	0.249	4,398	0,620	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	2.581	44,119	7,805	0.457	20,141	4,170	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.562	49,655	8,916	0.460	22,840	3,132	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.771	207,398	20,109	0.656	136,148	4,822	4	253,109
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.365	37,603	6,329	0.565	21,237	3,715	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	1.175	17,625	0,001	0.000	0,001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.902	82,880	6,441	0.381	31,577	4,770	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	5,852	93,321	6,805	0.427	39,822	8,299	0	0
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6,310	72,160	7,896	0.690	49,823	15,778	0	0
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	4,732	60,391	8,366	0.656	39,593	8,698	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	7,814	96,701	9,869	0.797	77,116	11,571	1	63,277
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	9,343	128,989	2,141	0.155	20,002	4,342	2	126,554
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	3,711	81,094	5,849	0,268	21,703	2,026	0	0
Idaho	Focatello, ID	4,403	60,540	7,974	0.580	35,112	7,208	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	4,677	83,072	4,219	0.238	19,732	2,856	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington-Normal, IL	3,950	51,180	10,807	0.834	42,684	15,409	1	63,277

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16.484		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	9.844	113.335	24.381	2.118	240.008	77.605	6	379.664
Illinois	Danville, IL	6.212	112.104	8.747	0.485	54.341	9.043	1	63.277
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.350	46.339	11.472	0.829	38.428	12.886	1	63.277
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.692	26.108	10.331	0.670	17.484	2.202	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.444	81.563	10.964	0.732	59.686	8.231	0	0
Illinois	Springfield, IL	2.910	35.904	9.795	0.794	28.504	9.654	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	1.907	25.447	4.419	0.331	8.429	1.879	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	5.614	60.166	12.369	1.154	69.441	30.950	3	189.832
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Erlind, IN-MI	2.263	35.111	5.710	0.368	12.920	2.682	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.168	11.495	9.401	0.955	10.979	2.419	1	63.277
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.469	74.260	12.500	1.089	80.859	39.989	3	189.832
Indiana	Michigan City, IN-MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.566	72.752	12.405	0.949	69.041	23.117	3	189.832
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.247	11.741	5.672	0.602	7.073	4.228	0	0
Iowa	Anes, IA	6.355	67.704	22.221	2.086	141.219	91.601	4	263.109
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	3.610	51.513	9.825	0.689	35.471	7.911	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA-L	2.121	24.291	7.420	0.648	15.737	4.648	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.513	61.757	22.686	2.025	125.078	72.046	4	263.109
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE-SD	8.876	99.750	5.660	0.504	50.236	9.766	1	63.277
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	0.975	15.753	9.939	0.615	9.682	4.647	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.534	28.481	11.263	0.923	26.283	8.911	1	63.277
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.655	74.629	10.785	0.673	50.205	13.017	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff-Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	4.607	71.843	8.080	0.518	37.223	9.352	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.982	131.735	4.498	0.341	44.899	9.020	2	126.554
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville-Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	16.641	224.404	6.008	0.446	99.990	10.674	3	189.832

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC F Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.611	109,731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16,484		
Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	5.804	79,405	9,779	0.715	56,753	13,976	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3,287	41,581	4,739	0.375	15,578	4,728	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6,425	74,704	8,462	0.728	54,371	13,601	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3,550	66,298	4,060	0.217	14,416	1,786	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	4,505	70,975	9,676	0.614	43,593	4,652	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3,146	43,817	9,352	0.671	29,418	6,194	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3,024	46,081	3,951	0.259	11,948	3,175	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	1,000	24,861	38,095	1,532	38,095	7,537	2	126,554
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3,177	55,642	8,540	0.488	27,132	3,225	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1,235	16,003	11,156	0.861	13,781	1,898	1	63,277
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	3,215	39,393	16,594	1,354	53,343	6,870	2	126,554
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4,737	57,550	6,148	0,506	29,127	6,320	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3,706	51,959	10,924	0,779	40,484	5,235	0	0
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3,788	48,943	6,360	0,492	24,092	6,465	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2,399	42,887	20,496	1,147	49,175	8,704	2	126,554
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	2,016	26,750	7,529	0,568	15,182	3,133	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	0,901	11,251	8,593	0,688	7,739	3,196	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2,575	36,406	8,284	0,586	21,331	6,376	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	4,119	50,861	9,680	0,784	39,873	13,576	0	0
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2,702	35,052	9,228	0,711	24,930	5,576	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3,860	50,608	5,015	0,382	19,356	4,587	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1,523	22,372	19,628	1,336	29,896	10,473	2	126,554
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4,483	71,233	5,670	0,357	25,419	7,192	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	1,960	39,745	5,485	0,270	10,749	0,842	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	7,073	93,227	16,489	1,251	116,637	27,432	5	316,386
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5,706	88,580	12,749	0,821	72,743	19,366	3	189,832
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5,502	75,353	17,108	1,249	94,135	25,447	3	189,832
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0,000	0,000			0,000	0	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	9,127	485,744	2,960	0,056	27,017	0,546	2	126,554
Missouri	Columbia, MO	5,788	52,765	7,050	0,773	40,802	18,855	1	63,277
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	2,146	31,552	10,640	0,724	22,832	8,367	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16.484		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.777	0.110	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.915	28.798	0.406	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO-KS	2.204	24.369	9.984	0.903	22.009	4.687	1	63,277
Montana	Billings, MT	3.571	50.431	7.752	0.549	27.680	7.178	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.587	20.108	9.188	0.725	14.582	6.806	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.953	56.466	10.225	0.716	40.423	11.692	0	0
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH-ME	6.569	97.187	3.194	0.216	20.982	2.700	0	0
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.346	25.487	3.685	0.339	8.647	3.396	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH-MA	4.459	58.063	2.418	0.186	10.781	2.111	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH-ME	6.414	94.268	2.773	0.189	17.785	2.288	0	0
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.119	60.123	0.429	0.029	1.766	0.409	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	0.723	11.988	3.417	0.206	2.471	0.399	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.455	38.550	6.378	0.572	22.034	7.004	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	2.160	24.820	14.327	1.247	30.941	8.993	2	126,554
New York	Binghamton, NY-PA	5.193	74.547	16.942	1.180	87.990	20.987	3	189,832
New York	Elmira, NY	3.262	61.127	14.779	0.789	48.203	11.107	1	63,277
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.803	59.166	5.564	0.358	21.158	5.888	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.470	65.931	37.443	2.539	167.375	63.171	4	253,109
New York	Kingslton, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	5.804	63.841	3.575	0.325	20.749	6.644	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	2.932	35.505	8.755	0.723	25.667	9.455	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999		6.611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16.484		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	2.714	38.855	7.284	0.509	19.770	6.325	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	2.333	35.349	12.399	0.818	28.929	9.428	2	126,554
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.157	14.875	13.204	1.027	15.273	4.259	2	126,554
North Dakota	Fargo, ND-MN	3.962	51.799	7.988	0.611	31.652	9.643	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND-MN	2.244	22.432	10.755	1.076	24.136	6.340	1	63,277
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain-Elyria, OH	3.147	57.892	7.841	0.426	24.675	4.095	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	3.117	35.408	4.066	0.358	12.675	4.079	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	4.731	68.381	2.785	0.193	13.173	2.628	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	1.022	16.867	21.395	1.296	21.859	3.696	2	126,554
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.264	16.036	5.815	0.458	7.349	1.505	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	3.190	36.016	3.085	0.273	9.841	5.163	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH-PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.832	41.780	7.203	0.488	20.402	4.657	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	4.970	56.120	6.019	0.533	29.914	13.565	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.427	4.458	6.451	0.619	2.757	5.208	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.510	83.573	7.494	0.494	41.289	7.968	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	3.985	50.313	5.837	0.460	23.144	6.891	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.274	37.965	15.557	1.341	50.926	16.033	2	126,554
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.874	74.141	9.325	0.865	64.099	16.034	2	126,554
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.666	53.221	11.877	0.818	43.536	5.766	1	63,277
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	15.394	205.228	4.699	0.352	72.332	2.360	2	126,554
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.804	138.909	21.555	1.676	232.879	91.999	6	379,664
Pennsylvania	Uniontown-Connellsville, PA	1.392	23.069	22.296	1.345	31.028	3.866	2	126,554
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.459	114.002	14.225	0.931	106.101	21.939	6	379,664
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.412	46.264	13.588	1.002	46.369	9.403	2	126,554
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16,484		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	6.682	82.823	3.431	0.277	22.926	10.001	1	63,277
Puerto Rico	Florida-Barceloneta-Bajadero, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayanilla, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.215	49.424	31.162	1.397	69.036	5.744	2	126,554
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.133	29.094	5.626	0.412	12.000	2.325	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	3.628	51.372	8.374	0.591	30.378	4.595	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	4.243	91.997	10.097	0.466	42.846	3.580	0	0
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.728	33.197	7.318	0.601	19.962	4.919	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.021	63.669	10.411	0.821	52.272	8.258	1	63,277
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.499	53.443	9.636	0.631	33.720	5.977	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.395	45.321	11.609	0.870	39.411	8.230	1	63,277
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.361	38.689	5.923	0.515	19.910	5.270	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	10.095	137.705	0.301	0.022	3.034	0.665	2	126,554
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.428	32.333	9.607	0.721	23.327	5.443	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.838	29.018	4.869	0.308	8.949	2.081	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.804	52.243	5.865	0.427	22.310	4.769	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	15.182	181.177	6.024	0.505	91.463	10.737	2	126,554
Texas	College Station--Byan, TX	1.474	25.279	4.992	0.291	7.359	3.171	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	1.395	15.418	12.652	1.144	17.645	20.429	3	189,832
Texas	Harrington, TX	0.392	4.532	0.517	0.045	0.203	0.129	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC F Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6,611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96.041	16,484		
Texas	Killeen, TX	1.821	30.978	4.721	0.278	8.597	1.649	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson::Angleton, TX	1.924	34.688	1.563	0.087	3.007	0.161	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.771	79.921	10.792	1.049	83.864	26.108	3	189.832
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	13.884	3.879	0.256	3.560	2.148	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.918	13.897	4.176	0.276	3.835	2.300	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	2.850	45.067	2.892	0.183	8.241	1.214	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.115	14.949	13.029	0.972	14.531	3.731	2	126.554
Texas	Sherman, TX	3.634	71.264	4.884	0.249	17.746	1.097	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.931	26.592	5.515	0.400	10.649	1.787	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX-Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	2.113	31.361	1.512	0.102	3.194	0.193	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	34.983	939.435	4.360	0.162	152.534	4.241	3	189.832
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.419	17.941	8.361	0.661	11.863	5.085	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	4.005	63.672	6.281	0.395	25.154	4.696	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	7.089	104.109	11.553	0.787	81.891	23.062	2	126.554
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	5.737	79.406	14.839	1.072	85.129	21.729	3	189.832
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	6.838	74.617	19.890	1.823	136.011	56.168	5	316.386
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	2.705	43.833	29.379	1.813	79.465	23.756	3	189.832
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.517	54.055	6.668	0.484	23.453	4.517	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	3.409	60.440	10.910	0.615	37.187	4.213	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	7.752	75.254	9.924	1.022	76.936	28.322	3	189.832
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.117	40.565	12.225	0.939	38.099	26.788	2	126.554
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.205	69.105	8.737	0.658	45.477	9.569	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.810	79.610	31.772	2.319	184.581	60.887	4	253.109
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.631	107.371	24.900	1.306	140.200	24.522	4	253.109
Washington	Kennedy-Richland, WA	6.822	150.966	66.896	3.023	456.335	31.811	6	379.664

10 of 10

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$36,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.611	109.731	12.302	0.808	96,041	16,484	
Washington	Longview, WA-OR	4.540	51,402	6,099	0.539	27,691	6,753	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	7.431	117,609	8,731	0.552	64,883	9,150	2
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	5,122	88,551	17,756	1,027	90,937	9,034	2
Washington	Olympia-Lacey, WA	6,906	133,762	44,718	2,309	308,838	35,749	6
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7,191	91,343	14,034	1,105	100,919	10,635	4
Washington	Yakima, WA	4,854	83,189	16,597	0.968	80,566	14,675	2
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5,560	88,991	14,627	0.914	81,321	13,256	2
West Virginia	Huntington, WV-KY-OH	2,955	42,694	6,239	0.432	18,436	4,654	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	0,956	16,157	15,078	0,893	14,422	12,157	2
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV-OH	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV-OH	1,758	21,290	8,054	0,665	14,160	5,095	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2,223	34,342	10,195	0,660	22,667	6,522	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI-IL	3,980	61,996	5,319	0,341	21,171	5,037	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2,813	38,985	14,278	1,030	40,165	12,810	2
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0,987	12,567	8,167	0,641	8,057	3,802	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3,663	54,562	9,473	0,636	34,701	10,493	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	4,075	62,690	7,510	0,488	30,606	7,787	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4,593	66,754	10,733	0,738	49,292	16,307	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI-MN	3,129	40,967	14,358	1,097	44,924	14,392	2
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3,705	52,455	13,160	0,929	48,754	16,491	3
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	4,173	53,636	10,023	0,780	41,825	11,704	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1,911	27,096	12,091	0,853	23,103	8,777	1
Wisconsin	Waupaca, WI	3,894	55,447	12,566	0,884	49,008	12,968	2
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1,000	11,156	7,686	0,689	7,686	2,798	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2,486	36,812	7,504	0,507	18,658	3,970	0
							272	\$17,211,400
							Total.....	

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 8

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5309 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

STATE	AREA	APPORTIONMENT
Alaska	Anchorage, AK - Alaska Railroad	\$17,766,261
Arizona	Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	3,848,847
California	Antioch, CA	3,636,456
California	Concord, CA	18,556,411
California	Lancaster--Palmdale, CA	2,960,592
California	Los Angeles--Long Beach--Santa Ana, CA	56,621,115
California	Mission Viejo, CA	2,160,550
California	Oxnard, CA	1,579,744
California	Riverside--San Bernardino, CA	5,557,773
California	Sacramento, CA	4,638,430
California	San Diego, CA	19,702,263
California	San Francisco--Oakland, CA	88,914,309
California	San Jose, CA	19,342,879
California	Stockton, CA	2,147,612
California	Thousand Oaks, CA	876,659
Colorado	Denver--Aurora, CO	8,270,048
Connecticut	Hartford, CT	2,116,836
Connecticut	Southwestern Connecticut	45,774,707
District of Columbia	Washington, DC--VA--MD	109,452,433
Florida	Jacksonville, FL	444,371
Florida	Miami, FL	25,284,495
Florida	Orlando, FL	247,074
Florida	Tampa--St. Petersburg, FL	156,568
Georgia	Atlanta, GA	37,690,349
Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	2,013,583
Illinois	Chicago, IL--IN	172,867,327
Illinois	Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake, IL-WI	3,164,656
Indiana	South Bend, IN--MI	1,124,686
Louisiana	New Orleans, LA	3,436,447
Maryland	Baltimore Commuter Rail	24,880,128
Maryland	Baltimore, MD	13,828,727
Massachusetts	Boston, MA	93,739,299
Massachusetts	Worcester, MA-CT	1,551,175
Michigan	Detroit, MI	818,903
Minnesota	Minneapolis--St. Paul, MN	13,537,556
Missouri	Kansas City, MO--KS	43,589
Missouri	St. Louis, MO--IL	9,153,605
New Jersey	Atlantic City, NJ	1,547,095
New Jersey	Northeastern New Jersey	109,912,064
New Jersey	Trenton, NJ	2,373,556
New York	Buffalo, NY	1,643,700
New York	New York	456,563,260
New York	Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY	3,016,549
North Carolina	Charlotte, NC--SC	243,966
Ohio	Cleveland, OH	14,016,450
Ohio	Dayton, OH	6,624,082
Oregon	Portland, OR--WA	10,799,283
Pennsylvania	Harrisburg, PA	1,335,508
Pennsylvania	Lancaster, PA	3,885,956
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA-NJ-DE-MD	110,396,510
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, PA	22,323,315
Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	2,977,398
Rhode Island	Providence, RI--MA	3,109,086
Tennessee	Chattanooga, TN--GA	110,411
Tennessee	Memphis, TN--MS--AR	536,145
Texas	Dallas--Fort Worth--Arlington, TX	13,211,617
Texas	Houston, TX	11,281,632
Utah	Salt Lake City, UT	4,896,430
Virginia	Virginia Beach, VA	2,308,197
Washington	Seattle, WA	43,189,172
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1,370,165
Wisconsin	Madison, WI	928,252
Wisconsin	Milwaukee, WI	387,463
	TOTAL	\$1,646,693,725

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 9

FY 2010 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Tier 1 First \$497,700,000 to the following areas:

Baltimore	\$	8,372,000
Boston	\$	38,948,000
Chicago/N.W. Indiana	\$	78,169,000
Cleveland	\$	9,509,500
New Orleans	\$	1,730,588
New York	\$	176,034,461
N. E. New Jersey	\$	50,604,653
Philadelphia/So. New Jersey	\$	58,924,764
Pittsburgh	\$	13,662,463
San Francisco	\$	33,989,571
SW Connecticut	\$	27,755,000

Tier 2 Next \$70,000,000 as follows: Tier 2(A): 50 percent is allocated to areas identified in Tier 1; Tier 2(B): 50 percent is allocated to other urbanized areas with fixed guideway tiers in operation at least seven years. Funds are allocated by the Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors that were used to apportion funds for the fixed guideway modernization program in FY 1997.

Tier 3 Next \$5,700,000 as follows: Pittsburgh 61.76%; Cleveland 10.73%; New Orleans 5.79%; and 21.72% is allocated to all other areas in Tier 2(B) by the same fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.

Tier 4 Next \$186,600,000 as follows: All eligible areas using the same year fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.

Tier 5 Next \$70,000,000 as follows: 65% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 35% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

Tier 6 Next \$50,000,000 as follows: 60% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 40% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

Tier 7 Remaining amounts as follows: 50% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 50% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

page 1 of 1

TABLE 10-B

Revised Section 5309 New Starts Reprogrammed Projects

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU No.	Project Location and Description	Unexpended Allocation
FY 2005 Reprogrammed Earmarks				
NV	E2005-NWST-2000	---	CATRAIL RTC for Bus and Bus Related projects and BRT (previous earmark name CATRAIL RTC Rail Project and previous earmark id E2005-NWST-035)	\$992,000 a/
Total Reprogrammed Earmarks.....				\$992,000

a/ Sec. 196 of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2010 states that notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available under section 330 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act (Public Law 107-87) for the Las Vegas, Nevada Monorail Project, funds made available under section 115 of the Fiscal Year 2004 Transportation, Treasury and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act (Public Law 108-199) for the North Las Vegas Intermodal Transit Hub, and funds made available for the CATRAIL RTC Rail Project, Nevada in the Fiscal Year 2005 Transportation, Treasury, Independent Agencies and General Government Appropriations Act (Public Law 108-447), as well as any unexpended funds in the Federal Transit Administration grant numbers NV-03-0024 and NV-03-0027, shall be made available until expended to the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for bus and bus-related projects and bus rapid transit projects: *Provided*, That the funds made available for a project in accordance with this section shall be administered under the terms and conditions set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5307, to the extent applicable.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 13

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5310 SPECIAL NEEDS FOR ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS
AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES APPORTIONMENTS*(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)*

STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	\$2,334,007
Alaska	299,704
American Samoa	65,285
Arizona	2,439,952
Arkansas	1,496,036
California	14,312,954
Colorado	1,693,218
Connecticut	1,645,694
Delaware	470,450
District of Columbia	403,855
Florida	9,124,946
Georgia	3,413,890
Guam	173,830
Hawaii	657,049
Idaho	626,171
Illinois	5,278,490
Indiana	2,771,275
Iowa	1,421,779
Kansas	1,272,975
Kentucky	2,150,542
Louisiana	2,141,018
Maine	743,318
Maryland	2,277,270
Massachusetts	3,028,698
Michigan	4,388,466
Minnesota	2,005,339
Mississippi	1,500,353
Missouri	2,645,957
Montana	518,164
N. Mariana Islands	66,663
Nebraska	839,418
Nevada	1,029,467
New Hampshire	629,329
New Jersey	3,856,526
New Mexico	928,354
New York	9,164,702
North Carolina	3,820,085
North Dakota	406,405
Ohio	5,134,456
Oklahoma	1,766,535
Oregon	1,636,403
Pennsylvania	6,063,618
Puerto Rico	2,056,403
Rhode Island	637,134
South Carolina	2,031,483
South Dakota	449,709
Tennessee	2,836,901
Texas	8,488,068
Utah	833,072
Vermont	381,710
Virgin Islands	164,048
Virginia	2,992,765
Washington	2,543,110
West Virginia	1,123,999
Wisconsin	2,321,099
Wyoming	323,570
TOTAL	\$133,825,717

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 14

**Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5311 AND SECTION 5340 NONURBANIZED APPORTIONMENTS AND
SECTION 5311(b)(3) RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (RTAP) APPORTIONMENTS**

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL 111-147)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report apportionments for Section 5311 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. The State's apportionment under the column heading "Section 5311 and 5340 Apportionment" includes Section 5311 and Growing States funds.)

STATE	SECTIONS 5311 AND 5340 APPORTIONMENT	SECTION 5311(b)(3) APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	\$13,264,534	\$195,516
Alaska	6,047,242	83,191
American Samoa	226,585	12,984
Arizona	9,443,536	128,671
Arkansas	10,114,630	159,410
California	22,646,602	265,627
Colorado	8,333,213	121,682
Connecticut	2,698,412	94,014
Delaware	1,260,980	78,155
Florida	13,567,640	195,850
Georgia	17,120,109	230,436
Guam	612,450	18,063
Hawaii	1,957,695	84,564
Idaho	5,825,148	100,945
Illinois	14,127,630	204,679
Indiana	13,570,068	204,040
Iowa	10,110,355	159,352
Kansas	9,377,333	142,115
Kentucky	12,832,245	193,908
Louisiana	10,236,875	165,697
Maine	5,408,282	115,051
Maryland	4,964,507	117,033
Massachusetts	3,495,264	102,186
Michigan	17,194,214	239,995
Minnesota	12,704,164	179,987
Mississippi	11,516,933	177,747
Missouri	13,835,118	195,447
Montana	7,510,257	99,792
N. Mariana Islands	34,882	10,392
Nebraska	6,543,282	112,196
Nevada	4,888,431	81,768
New Hampshire	3,483,250	100,623
New Jersey	3,230,025	99,404
New Mexico	8,170,824	114,829
New York	17,521,793	245,827
North Carolina	22,096,079	288,358
North Dakota	3,970,610	86,427
Ohio	19,936,027	275,515
Oklahoma	11,307,825	167,450
Oregon	9,752,732	140,275
Pennsylvania	20,220,781	276,984
Puerto Rico	1,402,016	82,288
Rhode Island	577,757	71,260
South Carolina	11,115,700	176,365
South Dakota	4,914,437	94,180
Tennessee	14,147,307	206,905
Texas	33,801,335	380,417
Utah	4,830,968	90,265
Vermont	2,620,011	91,222
Virginia	12,403,515	188,189
Washington	9,554,103	147,830
West Virginia	6,711,811	132,359
Wisconsin	13,434,306	196,313
Wyoming	4,652,321	84,160
TOTAL	\$511,324,149	\$8,007,938

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 1 of 6

TABLE 16

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
200,000 or more in Population	\$105,040,879
50,000-199,999 in Population	36,064,223
Nonurbanized	<u>35,893,835</u>
National Total	\$176,998,937

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population:

Aguadilla–Isabela–San Sebastian, PR	\$680,292
Akron, OH	318,892
Albany, NY	295,779
Albuquerque, NM	418,135
Allentown–Bethlehem, PA–NJ	277,325
Anchorage, AK	107,598
Ann Arbor, MI	155,373
Antioch, CA	108,586
Asheville, NC	146,152
Atlanta, GA	1,721,117
Atlantic City, NJ	124,453
Augusta–Richmond County, GA–SC	245,370
Austin, TX	520,409
Bakersfield, CA	407,867
Baltimore, MD	1,116,230
Barnstable Town, MA	96,262
Baton Rouge, LA	377,646
Birmingham, AL	456,362
Boise City, ID	124,634
Bonita Springs–Naples, FL	93,955
Boston, MA–NH–RI	1,760,698
Bridgeport–Stamford, CT–NY	333,846
Buffalo, NY	621,050
Canton, OH	144,675
Cape Coral, FL	189,042
Charleston–North Charleston, SC	281,565
Charlotte, NC–SC	356,210
Chattanooga, TN–GA	216,427
Chicago, IL–IN	4,533,985
Cincinnati, OH–KY–IN	742,236
Cleveland, OH	996,285
Colorado Springs, CO	217,164
Columbia, SC	245,633
Columbus, GA–AL	191,165
Columbus, OH	625,203
Concord, CA	128,954
Corpus Christi, TX	256,960
Dallas–Fort Worth–Arlington, TX	2,547,015
Davenport, IA–IL	161,347
Dayton, OH	388,973

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 2 of 6

TABLE 16

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Daytona Beach–Port Orange, FL	174,978
Denton–Lewisville, TX	106,753
Denver–Aurora, CO	895,118
Des Moines, IA	163,293
Detroit, MI	2,159,246
Durham, NC	195,374
El Paso, TX–NM	821,137
Eugene, OR	170,449
Evansville, IN–KY	127,304
Fayetteville, NC	194,894
Flint, MI	265,536
Fort Collins, CO	109,912
Fort Wayne, IN	154,044
Fresno, CA	614,838
Grand Rapids, MI	265,611
Greensboro, NC	148,311
Greenville, SC	198,386
Gulfport–Biloxi, MS	149,578
Harrisburg, PA	151,671
Hartford, CT	403,234
Honolulu, HI	379,404
Houston, TX	2,852,577
Huntsville, AL	116,751
Indianapolis, IN	593,242
Indio–Cathedral City–Palm Springs, CA	214,875
Jackson, MS	241,160
Jacksonville, FL	507,016
Kansas City, MO–KS	667,081
Knoxville, TN	269,698
Lancaster, PA	139,908
Lancaster–Palmdale, CA	209,848
Lansing, MI	193,176
Las Vegas, NV	783,096
Lexington–Fayette, KY	160,294
Lincoln, NE	120,387
Little Rock, AR	248,090
Los Angeles–Long Beach–Santa Ana, CA	10,263,605
Louisville, KY–IN	516,403
Lubbock, TX	183,441
Madison, WI	171,972
McAllen, TX	855,371
Memphis, TN–MS–AR	746,419
Miami, FL	3,586,567
Milwaukee, WI	751,430
Minneapolis–St. Paul, MN	914,801
Mission Viejo, CA	141,942
Mobile, AL	295,247
Modesto, CA	264,589
Nashville–Davidson, TN	427,294
New Haven, CT	256,678

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 3 of 6

TABLE 16

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
New Orleans, LA	957,425
New York-Newark, NY-NJ-CT	11,601,180
Ogden-Layton, UT	180,257
Oklahoma City, OK	544,694
Omaha, NE-IA	330,668
Orlando, FL	704,032
Oxnard, CA	238,471
Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL	208,365
Pensacola, FL-AL	228,212
Peoria, IL	152,057
Philadelphia, PA-NJ-DE-MD	2,790,256
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	1,842,002
Pittsburgh, PA	967,703
Port St. Lucie, FL	171,857
Portland, OR-WA	835,399
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY	177,163
Providence, RI-MA	705,286
Provo-Orem, UT	212,324
Raleigh, NC	214,907
Reading, PA	139,071
Reno, NV	173,515
Richmond, VA	416,577
Riverside-San Bernardino, CA	1,314,249
Rochester, NY	387,462
Rockford, IL	142,795
Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake, IL-WI	59,160
Sacramento, CA	942,769
Salem, OR	262,377
Salt Lake City, UT	414,684
San Antonio, TX	1,103,148
San Diego, CA	1,795,492
San Francisco-Oakland, CA	1,602,563
San Jose, CA	591,600
San Juan, PR	4,069,771
Santa Rosa, CA	134,805
Sarasota-Bradenton, FL	287,307
Savannah, GA	172,427
Scranton, PA	247,106
Seattle, WA	1,232,509
Shreveport, LA	256,127
South Bend, IN-MI	156,347
Spokane, WA-ID	229,015
Springfield, MA-CT	353,818
Springfield, MO	152,032
St. Louis, MO-IL	1,093,679
Stockton, CA	337,294
Syracuse, NY	261,869
Tallahassee, FL	169,910
Tampa-St. Petersburg, FL	1,253,374
Temecula-Murrieta, CA	111,655

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 4 of 6

TABLE 16

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Thousand Oaks, CA	60,352
Toledo, OH--MI	323,189
Trenton, NJ	126,919
Tucson, AZ	565,678
Tulsa, OK	365,597
Victorville--Hesperia--Apple Valley, CA	167,603
Virginia Beach, VA	791,283
Washington, DC--VA--MD	1,527,630
Wichita, KS	233,117
Winston-Salem, NC	169,459
Worcester, MA--CT	229,801
Youngstown, OH--PA	280,587
TOTAL	\$105,040,879

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

Alabama	\$979,780
Alaska	44,151
Arizona	353,169
Arkansas	629,776
California	4,697,954 1/
Colorado	587,245
Connecticut	358,070
Delaware	60,263
Florida	2,041,098
Georgia	1,118,174
Hawaii	66,189
Idaho	378,962
Illinois	805,128
Indiana	861,742
Iowa	518,058
Kansas	236,974
Kentucky	322,151
Louisiana	1,017,122
Maine	309,320
Maryland	384,671
Massachusetts	328,769
Michigan	1,090,932
Minnesota	296,030
Mississippi	182,515
Missouri	364,960
Montana	279,686
N. Mariana Islands	101,487
Nebraska	18,661
Nevada	48,320
New Hampshire	280,423
New Jersey	179,567
New Mexico	346,713
New York	657,810

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 5 of 6

TABLE 16

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
North Carolina	1,117,301
North Dakota	212,144
Ohio	821,139
Oklahoma	222,376
Oregon	284,108
Pennsylvania	1,075,825
Puerto Rico	3,295,188
South Carolina	628,362
South Dakota	158,822
Tennessee	730,273
Texas	3,928,012
Utah	161,664
Vermont	83,840
Virginia	746,536
Washington	971,587
West Virginia	665,412
Wisconsin	890,806
Wyoming	124,958
TOTAL	\$36,064,223

*Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than
50,000 in Population*

Alabama	\$1,171,926
Alaska	114,144
American Samoa	105,315
Arizona	630,078
Arkansas	883,646
California	2,663,755 1/
Colorado	331,416
Connecticut	85,398
Delaware	77,822
Florida	1,011,567
Georgia	1,387,968
Guam	105,456
Hawaii	139,313
Idaho	302,466
Illinois	789,204
Indiana	706,192
Iowa	503,820
Kansas	501,861
Kentucky	1,277,097
Louisiana	1,093,308
Maine	337,754
Maryland	217,904
Massachusetts	136,148
Michigan	939,668
Minnesota	601,417
Mississippi	1,266,734
Missouri	1,030,469

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Montana	304,968
N. Mariana Islands	59,915
Nebraska	312,910
Nevada	93,872
New Hampshire	146,286
New Jersey	116,273
New Mexico	590,171
New York	1,122,897
North Carolina	1,765,332
North Dakota	161,245
Ohio	1,201,657
Oklahoma	950,849
Oregon	481,411
Pennsylvania	1,276,209
Puerto Rico	453,898
Rhode Island	19,976
South Carolina	978,510
South Dakota	241,904
Tennessee	1,140,714
Texas	2,793,527
Utah	172,085
Vermont	155,616
Virgin Islands	105,878
Virginia	820,982
Washington	591,789
West Virginia	691,641
Wisconsin	597,141
Wyoming	134,333
TOTAL	\$35,893,835

1/ Amount has been adjusted to reflect a restoration of \$1,050,597 in urbanized area JARC funding and \$880,209 in nonurbanized JARC funding that lapsed due to an administrative error.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 17

Revised Prior Year Unobligated Section 5316 JARC Allocations

FY 2002 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2002-JARC-008	Del Norte County, California	\$73,400
NY	E2002-JARC-054	Columbia County, New York	\$100,000
VA	E2002-JARC-082	Winchester, Virginia	\$1,000,000
Total FY 2002 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$1,173,400

FY 2003 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Unobligated Allocation
NY	E2003-JARC-065	Chemung County Transit	\$900
NY	E2003-JARC-066	Columbia County	\$99,067
OH	E2003-JARC-078	STEP-UP Job Access Project Dayton	\$123,834
Total FY 2003 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$223,801

FY 2004 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Unobligated Allocation
AK	E2004-JARC-000	Craig Transit Service JARC Program	\$49,563
CA	E2004-JARC-013	City of Irwindale Senior Transportation Services	\$64,432
CA	E2004-JARC-014	Guaranteed Ride Home Santal Clarita	\$396,504
MD	E2004-JARC-040	VoxLinx Voice-Enabled Transit Trip Planner New Jersey Community Development Corporation	\$1,288,638
NJ	E2004-JARC-050	Transportation Opportunity Center	\$297,378
NY	E2004-JARC-070	Ulster County Area Transit Rural Feeder Service	\$49,563
SD	E2004-JARC-083	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Public Bus System	\$247,815
TN	E2204-JARC-087	Monroe County Job Access and Reverse Commute Program	\$99,126
VA	E2004-JARC-101	Virginia Beach Paratransit Services	\$0
Total FY 2004 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$2,493,019

FY 2005 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Unobligated Allocation
AK	E2005-JARC-000	Craig Trasnit JARC Alaska	\$49,559
GA	E2005-JARC-026	Dooly-Crisp Unified Transportation System, Georgia	\$198,236
MI	E2005-JARC-042	DCC Community Health & Safety Transport Project, Michigan	\$297,354
NV			\$455,801
OH	E2005-JARC-066	Western Reserve Transit Job Access Program, Ohio	\$79,734
PA	E2005-JARC-071	Philadelphia Unemployment Project (PUP), Pennsylvania	\$20,772
WI	E2005-JARC-095	Wisconsin Statewide JARC	\$729,978
Total FY 2005 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$1,831,434
Grand Total FY 2002 - 2005 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$ 5,721,654

AK	JARC-	Transit	\$49,563.00
CA	JARC-	Irwindal	\$64,432.00
CA	JARC-	eed	\$396,504.00
MD	JARC-E2004-	Voice-New	\$1,288,638.00
NJ	JARC-E2004-	Jersey Ulster	\$297,378.00 state
NY	JARC-E2004-	County Cheyen	\$49,563.00
SD	JARC-	ne River	\$247,815.00
TN	JARC-	County	\$99,126.00
VA	JARC-	Beach	\$0.00

	E2005-		
AK	JARC-000	Craig Trasnit JARC Alaska Dooly-Crisp Unified	\$49,559.00
GA	E2005- JARC-026	Transportation System, Georgia DCC Community Health &	\$198,236.00
MI	E2005- JARC-042	Safety Transport Project, Michigan	\$297,354.00
NV			\$455,801.00
OH	E2005- JARC-066	Western Reserve Transit Job Access Program, Ohio	\$79,734.00
PA	E2005- JARC-071	Philadelphia Unemployment Project (PUP), Pennsylvania	\$20,772.00
WI	E2005- JARC-095	Wisconsin Statewide JARC	\$729,978.00

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 1 of 6

TABLE 18

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)-

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
UZAs 200,000 or more in Population	\$59,392,599
UZAs 50,000-199,999 in Population	19,797,533
Nonurbanized	<u>19,797,533</u>
National Total	\$98,987,665

Amounts Apporioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population:

Aguadilla--Isabela--San Sebastian, PR	\$154,102
Akron, OH	198,800
Albany, NY	191,087
Albuquerque, NM	223,309
Allentown--Bethlehem, PA--NJ	190,664
Anchorage, AK	63,714
Ann Arbor, MI	75,036
Antioch, CA	74,058
Asheville, NC	94,731
Atlanta, GA	1,086,385
Atlantic City, NJ	90,224
Augusta-Richmond County, GA--SC	132,178
Austin, TX	242,992
Bakersfield, CA	160,188
Baltimore, MD	776,550
Barnstable Town, MA	96,455
Baton Rouge, LA	171,477
Birmingham, AL	265,112
Boise City, ID	78,149
Bonita Springs--Naples, FL	89,442
Boston, MA--NH--RI	1,373,177
Bridgeport--Stamford, CT--NY	290,441
Buffalo, NY	369,124
Canton, OH	90,907
Cape Coral, FL	143,358
Charleston--North Charleston, SC	158,653
Charlotte, NC--SC	235,964
Chattanooga, TN--GA	139,880
Chicago, IL--IN	2,788,344
Cincinnati, OH--KY--IN	492,062
Cleveland, OH	631,144
Colorado Springs, CO	132,850
Columbia, SC	141,662
Columbus, GA--AL	97,437
Columbus, OH	351,242
Concord, CA	148,822
Corpus Christi, TX	113,500
Dallas--Fort Worth--Arlington, TX	1,385,666
Davenport, IA--IL	90,084

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 2 of 6

TABLE 18

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
-Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)-

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Dayton, OH	247,010
Daytona Beach--Port Orange, FL	118,104
Denton--Lewisville, TX	63,757
Denver--Aurora, CO	621,043
Des Moines, IA	113,186
Detroit, MI	1,456,699
Durham, NC	87,757
El Paso, TX--NM	247,565
Eugene, OR	77,222
Evansville, IN--KY	83,792
Fayetteville, NC	95,432
Flint, MI	148,215
Fort Collins, CO	52,664
Fort Wayne, IN	92,666
Fresno, CA	223,322
Grand Rapids, MI	163,956
Greensboro, NC	92,214
Greenville, SC	120,094
Gulfport--Biloxi, MS	89,415
Harrisburg, PA	112,697
Hartford, CT	301,790
Honolulu, HI	243,578
Houston, TX	1,293,535
Huntsville, AL	68,415
Indianapolis, IN	421,406
Indio--Cathedral City--Palm Springs, CA	109,226
Jackson, MS	107,866
Jacksonville, FL	333,740
Kansas City, MO--KS	455,690
Knoxville, TN	162,840
Lancaster, PA	103,514
Lancaster--Palmdale, CA	92,093
Lansing, MI	95,709
Las Vegas, NV	521,879
Lexington-Fayette, KY	84,692
Lincoln, NE	62,903
Little Rock, AR	141,794
Los Angeles--Long Beach--Santa Ana, CA	4,422,665
Louisville, KY--IN	330,553
Lubbock, TX	72,732
Madison, WI	83,650
McAllen, TX	200,091
Memphis, TN--MS--AR	374,085
Miami, FL	2,050,227
Milwaukee, WI	432,839
Minneapolis--St. Paul, MN	640,876
Mission Viejo, CA	132,314
Mobile, AL	142,417

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 3 of 6

TABLE 18

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
-Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)-

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Modesto, CA	128,490
Nashville-Davidson, TN	264,525
New Haven, CT	183,928
New Orleans, LA	422,895
New York-Newark, NY--NJ--CT	6,984,960
Ogden-Layton, UT	112,557
Oklahoma City, OK	288,382
Omaha, NE--IA	184,808
Orlando, FL	429,320
Oxnard, CA	125,138
Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL	163,733
Pensacola, FL--AL	127,174
Peoria, IL	84,716
Philadelphia, PA--NJ--DE--MD	1,834,690
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	998,805
Pittsburgh, PA	608,353
Port St. Lucie, FL	125,181
Portland, OR--WA	515,782
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY	111,410
Providence, RI--MA	465,822
Provo-Orem, UT	63,388
Raleigh, NC	133,215
Reading, PA	85,729
Reno, NV	111,676
Richmond, VA	279,038
Riverside-San Bernardino, CA	552,371
Rochester, NY	234,865
Rockford, IL	94,923
Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake, IL--WI	56,622
Sacramento, CA	516,939
Salem, OR	75,026
Salt Lake City, UT	268,223
San Antonio, TX	512,341
San Diego, CA	885,167
San Francisco-Oakland, CA	1,161,221
San Jose, CA	488,143
San Juan, PR	1,108,677
Santa Rosa, CA	97,875
Sarasota-Bradenton, FL	246,201
Savannah, GA	86,379
Scranton, PA	167,380
Seattle, WA	878,690
Shreveport, LA	109,015
South Bend, IN--MI	99,232
Spokane, WA-ID	124,824
Springfield, MA--CT	232,942
Springfield, MO	75,486
St. Louis, MO--IL	696,256

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 4 of 6

TABLE 18

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Stockton, CA	132,811
Syracuse, NY	140,499
Tallahassee, FL	52,257
Tampa--St. Petersburg, FL	917,187
Temecula--Murrieta, CA	72,365
Thousand Oaks, CA	56,176
Toledo, OH--MI	187,424
Trenton, NJ	97,501
Tucson, AZ	272,936
Tulsa, OK	206,954
Victorville--Hesperia--Apple Valley, CA	77,363
Virginia Beach, VA	457,976
Washington, DC--VA--MD	1,125,816
Wichita, KS	144,552
Winston-Salem, NC	102,367
Worcester, MA--CT	163,806
Youngstown, OH--PA	163,197
TOTAL	\$59,392,599

*Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas
50,000 to 199,999 in Population*

Alabama	\$521,871
Alaska	24,429
Arizona	169,104
Arkansas	348,554
California	2,026,666
Colorado	336,106
Connecticut	321,820
Delaware	39,982
Florida	1,519,952
Georgia	550,360
Hawaii	56,980
Idaho	198,042
Illinois	448,316
Indiana	508,854
Iowa	296,460
Kansas	139,718
Kentucky	190,895
Louisiana	536,838
Maine	218,205
Maryland	346,590
Massachusetts	235,828
Michigan	734,266
Minnesota	174,223
Mississippi	84,586
Missouri	206,995
Montana	144,047
N. Mariana Islands	31,033

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 5 of 6

TABLE 18

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147) ..

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Nebraska	8,639
Nevada	39,376
New Hampshire	270,512
New Jersey	144,144
New Mexico	154,044
New York	402,732
North Carolina	816,386
North Dakota	123,831
Ohio	559,377
Oklahoma	95,537
Oregon	146,303
Pennsylvania	658,136
Puerto Rico	886,724
South Carolina	451,994
South Dakota	109,026
Tennessee	461,306
Texas	1,740,959
Utah	61,968
Vermont	51,858
Virginia	453,277
Washington	627,672
West Virginia	395,526
Wisconsin	648,471
Wyoming	79,015
TOTAL	\$19,797,533

*Amounts Apporioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas
Less than 50,000 in Population*

Alabama	\$671,066
Alaska	54,451
American Samoa	9,551
Arizona	285,936
Arkansas	483,794
California	832,365
Colorado	187,606
Connecticut	89,670
Delaware	57,702
Florida	646,530
Georgia	764,488
Guam	27,866
Hawaii	79,062
Idaho	130,374
Illinois	510,335
Indiana	559,455
Iowa	332,188
Kansas	289,298
Kentucky	701,915
Louisiana	470,257

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 6 of 6

TABLE 18

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, 2010 - PL111-147)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Maine	213,499
Maryland	188,515
Massachusetts	118,687
Michigan	669,826
Minnesota	382,772
Mississippi	570,066
Missouri	554,590
Montana	127,480
N. Mariana Islands	918
Nebraska	167,109
Nevada	69,239
New Hampshire	144,552
New Jersey	90,306
New Mexico	217,942
New York	697,403
North Carolina	1,091,153
North Dakota	76,941
Ohio	803,661
Oklahoma	487,921
Oregon	328,968
Pennsylvania	808,727
Puerto Rico	101,636
Rhode Island	21,132
South Carolina	554,429
South Dakota	101,619
Tennessee	714,290
Texas	1,358,401
Utah	79,405
Vermont	99,036
Virgin Islands	19,255
Virginia	558,377
Washington	333,328
West Virginia	362,670
Wisconsin	433,168
Wyoming	66,603
TOTAL	\$19,797,533

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 19

Revised FY 2010 SECTION 5339 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS ALLOCATIONS

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
AZ	E2010-ALTA-001	I-10 West Corridor Light Rail Extension, Phoenix	1,000,000
AZ	E2010-ALTA-002	South Central Avenue Light Rail Feasibility Study, Phoenix	400,000
CA	E2010-ALTA-003	Downtown L.A. Streetcar Environmental Review	250,000
CT	E2010-ALTA-004	New Haven-Hartford-Springfield Rail Line Improvements	3,896,000
CT	E2010-ALTA-005	Route 8 Corridor Transit Oriented Development & Alternate Modes Study	300,000
GA	E2010-ALTA-006	Interstate 20 - East Transit Corridor Alternatives/Environmental Analysis, Atlanta	300,000
IL	E2010-ALTA-007	Pace J-Route Bus Rapid Transit	360,000
KY	E2010-ALTA-008	Central Kentucky Mass Transit Alternatives Analysis	300,000
MA	E2010-ALTA-009	Green Line Extension	300,000
MI	E2010-ALTA-010	The Rapid Streetcar Alternatives Analysis Study	360,000
MN	E2010-ALTA-011	Bottineau Transitway	250,000
MN	E2010-ALTA-012	I-94 Transit Corridor-St. Paul to Eau Claire, AAand EA, Ramsey County	250,000
NJ	E2010-ALTA-013	Hudson-Bergen MOS-2, Northern NJ	400,000
NJ/PA	E2010-ALTA-014	Northwest New Jersey - Northeast Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Project	974,000
PA	E2010-ALTA-015	Lehigh Valley Bus Rapid Transit Analysis	360,000
TX	E2010-ALTA-016	Transportation Study for the Texas Medical Center, Houston	1,000,000
UT	E2010-ALTA-017	South Davis Streetcar, Salt Lake City	360,000
VA	E2010-ALTA-018	Enhanced Transit Service - Route 7 Corridor	350,000
VA	E2010-ALTA-019	Naval Station/Virginia Beach Light Rail Study	979,200
WA	E2010-ALTA-020	C-Tran High Capacity Transit Alternatives Analysis	1,704,500
WA	E2010-ALTA-021	Puyallup Bus Rapid Transit Project - Alternatives Analysis	1,461,000
WA	E2010-ALTA-022	SE King County Commuter Rail and Transit Centers Feasibility Study	360,000
---	---	Unallocated	9,033,288
Total FY 2010 Allocations.....			24,947,988

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 20

Revised Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Alternatives Analysis Program Allocations

FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2008-ALTA-005	Red Car Trolley Engineering Study	98,000
CT	E2008-ALTA-012	Southeastern Connecticut Bus Rapid Transit System	1,313,200
FL	E2008-ALTA-013	Bus Rapid Transit Improvements, Broward County	686,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-014	Downtown Orlando East-West Circulator System, Orlando	686,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-015	Downtown Transit Circulator, Fort Lauderdale	656,600
FL	E2008-ALTA-017	Miami-Dade County Metrorail Orange Line Expansion	1,372,000
IA	E2008-ALTA-019	DART Alternative Analysis Design, Des Moines	245,000
IL	E2008-ALTA-011	Illinois Valley Commuter Rail, Ottawa	245,000
OH	E2008-ALTA-024	West Shore Corridor Alternative Analysis	343,000
PA	E2008-ALTA-026	East West Corridor Rapid Transit, Allegheny County	980,000
PA	E2008-ALTA-028	Philadelphia Navy Yard Transit Extension Study	392,000
PA/NJ	E2008-ALTA-027	Northwest New Jersey/Northeast Pennsylvania Commuter Rail Service	1,313,200
VA	E2008-ALTA-029	Commuter Rail Station at Carmel Church	490,000
VA	E2008-ALTA-030	I-66 Bus Rapid Transit Study	980,000
WA	E2008-ALTA-031	Spokane Streetcar Study, Spokane	294,000
Total FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$10,094,000

FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
AZ	E2009-ALTA-001	Central Mesa Corridor Alternative Analysis Mesa	\$237,500
AZ	E2009-ALTA-002	I-10 West Corridor Alternative Analysis	475,000
AZ	E2009-ALTA-003	Tempe South Corridor Alternatives Analysis, Tempe	237,500
CA	E2009-ALTA-004	Smart Preliminary Engineering	427,500
FL	E2009-ALTA-006	Downtown Transit Circulator	475,000
GA	E2009-ALTA-008	Atlanta BeltLine, Atlanta	475,000
GA	E2009-ALTA-009	MARTA I-20 East Transit Corridor	950,000
IL	E2009-ALTA-010	Alternative Analysis Study for the J-Route Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project	237,500
IL	E2009-ALTA-011	CTA Red Line Extension	285,000
IL	E2009-ALTA-012	Yellow Line Extension	237,500
IN	E2009-ALTA-013	GYY Alternatives Analysis	237,500
KS	E2009-ALTA-014	Overland Park/Metcalf Transit Study	665,000
MA	E2009-ALTA-015	MBTA/MART Belmont Station Consolidation and Development Study	142,500
MD	E2009-ALTA-016	Aberdeen MARC Rail Storage Yard	475,000
MS	E2009-ALTA-019	Coast Transit Alternative Analysis	1,140,000
NH	E2009-ALTA-021	Lowell-Nashua Manchester Rail Corridor	1,900,000
NJ	E2009-ALTA-022	Final EIS for Monmouth-Ocean-Middlesex Cnty Passenger Rail Line	534,375
NJ	E2009-ALTA-023	Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City	237,500
NY	E2009-ALTA-024	West of Hudson Regional Transit Access Project	1,900,000
OR	E2009-ALTA-025	West Eugene EmX Extension Environmental Analysis	475,000
PA	E2009-ALTA-026	Northwest New Jersey-Northwest Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Project	950,000
---	---	Unallocated	9,811,875
Total FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$22,506,250
Grand Total FY 2008 and FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$32,600,250

a/ SEC 166 (b) The explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division I of Public Law 111-8 for 'Alternatives analysis' under 'Federal Transit Administration-Formula and Bus Grants' is deemed to be amended by striking 'Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, North Bergen, NJ' and inserting 'Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City, NJ'.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 20

Revised Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Alternatives Analysis Program Allocations

FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2008-ALTA-005	Red Car Trolley Engineering Study	98,000
CT	E2008-ALTA-012	Southeastern Connecticut Bus Rapid Transit System	1,313,200
FL	E2008-ALTA-013	Bus Rapid Transit Improvements, Broward County	686,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-014	Downtown Orlando East-West Circulator System, Orlando	686,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-015	Downtown Transit Circulator, Fort Lauderdale	656,600
FL	E2008-ALTA-017	Miami-Dade County Metrorail Orange Line Expansion	1,372,000
IA	E2008-ALTA-019	DART Alternative Analysis Design, Des Moines	245,000
IL	E2008-ALTA-011	Illinois Valley Commuter Rail, Ottawa	245,000
OH	E2008-ALTA-024	West Shore Corridor Alternative Analysis	343,000
PA	E2008-ALTA-026	East West Corridor Rapid Transit, Allegheny County	980,000
PA	E2008-ALTA-028	Philadelphia Navy Yard Transit Extension Study	392,000
PA/NJ	E2008-ALTA-027	Northwest New Jersey/Northeast Pennsylvania Commuter Rail Service	1,313,200
VA	E2008-ALTA-029	Commuter Rail Station at Carmel Church	490,000
VA	E2008-ALTA-030	I-66 Bus Rapid Transit Study	980,000
WA	E2008-ALTA-031	Spokane Streetcar Study, Spokane	294,000
Total FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$10,094,000

FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
AZ	E2009-ALTA-001	Central Mesa Corridor Alternative Analysis Mesa	\$237,500
AZ	E2009-ALTA-002	I-10 West Corridor Alternative Analysis	475,000
AZ	E2009-ALTA-003	Tempe South Corridor Alternatives Analysis, Tempe	237,500
CA	E2009-ALTA-004	Smart Preliminary Engineering	427,500
FL	E2009-ALTA-006	Downtown Transit Circulator	475,000
GA	E2009-ALTA-008	Atlanta BeltLine, Atlanta	475,000
GA	E2009-ALTA-009	MARTA I-20 East Transit Corridor	950,000
IL	E2009-ALTA-010	Alternative Analysis Study for the J-Route Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project	237,500
IL	E2009-ALTA-011	CTA Red Line Extension	285,000
IL	E2009-ALTA-012	Yellow Line Extension	237,500
IN	E2009-ALTA-013	GYY Alternatives Analysis	237,500
KS	E2009-ALTA-014	Overland Park/Metcalf Transit Study	665,000
MA	E2009-ALTA-015	MBTA/MART Belmont Station Consolidation and Development Study	142,500
MD	E2009-ALTA-016	Aberdeen MARC Rail Storage Yard	475,000
MS	E2009-ALTA-019	Coast Transit Alternative Analysis	1,140,000
NH	E2009-ALTA-021	Lowell-Nashua Manchester Rail Corridor	1,900,000
NJ	E2009-ALTA-022	Final EIS for Monmouth-Ocean-Middlesex Cnty Passenger Rail Line	534,375
NJ	E2009-ALTA-023	Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City	237,500 a/
NY	E2009-ALTA-024	West of Hudson Regional Transit Access Project	1,900,000
OR	E2009-ALTA-025	West Eugene EmX Extension Environmental Analysis	475,000
PA	E2009-ALTA-026	Northwest New Jersey-Northwest Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Project	950,000
---	---	Unallocated	9,811,875
Total FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$22,506,250
Grand Total FY 2008 and FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....			\$32,600,250

a/ SEC 166 (b) The explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division I of Public Law 111-8 for 'Alternatives analysis' under 'Federal Transit Administration-Formula and Bus Grants' is deemed to be amended by striking 'Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, North Bergen, NJ' and inserting 'Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City, NJ'.

[FR Doc. 2010-11479 Filed 5-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-C

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

FY 2010 Discretionary Funding Opportunity: Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Availability: Solicitation of Project Proposals.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) announces the availability of approximately \$24.5 million in discretionary Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (Transit in Parks Program) funds. This notice solicits proposals to compete for FY 2010 funds under the program, which was established by Section 3021 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy For Users (SAFETEA-LU), as amended (49 U.S.C. 5320). The program is administered by FTA in partnership with U.S. Department of Interior (DOI) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Forest Service.

The program funds capital and planning expenses for alternative transportation systems such as buses and trams in federally-managed parks and public lands. Federal land management agencies, as well as State, tribal and local governments acting with the consent of a Federal land management agency are eligible to apply. DOI, after consultation with and in cooperation with FTA, will determine the final selection and funding of projects. Geographic diversity will be considered when allocating funds. This announcement is available on the FTA Web site at: <http://www.fta.dot.gov>. FTA will announce final selections on the Web site and in the **Federal Register**. A synopsis of this funding opportunity will be posted in the FIND module of the government-wide electronic grants Web site at <http://www.grants.gov>.

DATES: Complete proposals must be received by 12 midnight EST on June 28, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Project proposals must be submitted electronically through the GRANTS.GOV Web site and applicants must be properly registered. Anyone intending to apply electronically through GRANTS.GOV should initiate the process of registering on the GRANTS.GOV site immediately to

ensure completion of registration before the deadline for submission.

GRANTS.GOV applicants should receive two confirmation e-mails. The first will confirm that the application was received and a subsequent e-mail will be sent within 24–48 hours indicating whether the application was validated or rejected by the system. If interested parties experience difficulties at any point during the registration or application process, please call the GRANTS.GOV Customer Support Hotline at 1-800-518-4726, Monday–Friday from 7 a.m. to 9 p.m. EST. The required electronic project proposal template as well as guidance on completing a proposal template can also be found on GRANTS.GOV and on the program Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov/funding/grants/grants_financing_6106.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact the appropriate FTA Regional Administrator (Appendix A) for proposal-specific information and issues or the appropriate land management agency (Appendix B) for the Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program. For general program information, contact Kimberly Sledge, Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program, (202) 366–2053, Kimberly.Sledge@dot.gov. A TDD is available at 1-800-877-8339 (TDD/FIRS).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Overview
 - II. Program Purpose
 - III. Program Information
 - 1. Eligible Applicants
 - 2. Eligible Projects
 - 3. Cost Sharing and Matching
 - 4. Proposal Content
 - 5. Evaluation Criteria
 - IV. Technical Assistance and Other Program Information
- Appendix A—FTA Regional Offices
Appendix B—Land Management Agency Contacts

I. Overview

Section 3021 of SAFETEA-LU, as amended, established the Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (Transit in Parks Program) (49 U.S.C. 5320). The program is administered by FTA in partnership with DOI and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Forest Service.

Congestion in and around parks and public lands causes traffic delays, noise, and air pollution that substantially detract from the visitor's experience and the protection of natural resources. In August 2001, the U.S. Department of Transportation and DOI published a comprehensive study of alternative

transportation needs in national parks and related Federal lands. The study identified significant alternative transportation needs at sites managed by the National Park Service, the Bureau of Land Management, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Additionally, a supplement to this report identified Forest Service sites that would benefit from such services.

II. Program Purpose

The purpose of the program is to enhance the protection of national parks and Federal lands, and increase the enjoyment of visitors' experience by conserving natural, historical, and cultural resources; reducing congestion and pollution; improving visitor mobility and accessibility; enhancing the visitor experience; and ensuring access to all, including persons with disabilities.

III. Program Information

1. Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants are Federal land management agencies that manage an eligible area, including but not limited to the National Park Service, the Fish and Wildlife Service, the Bureau of Land Management, the Forest Service, and the Bureau of Reclamation; and State, tribal and local governments with jurisdiction over land in the vicinity of an eligible area, acting with the consent of a Federal land management agency, alone or in partnership with a Federal land management agency or other governmental or non-governmental participant. Note: If the applicant is a State, tribal, or local government, a letter from the affected unit(s) of the Federal land management agency or agencies expressing support for the project should be submitted with the project proposal. Proposals with support letters from the unit of the Federal land management agency or agencies will be weighted more favorably by FTA, DOI, and the Forest Service in its evaluation.

2. Eligible Projects

SAFETEA-LU defines alternative transportation as "transportation by bus, rail, or any other publicly or privately owned conveyance that provides to the public general or special service on a regular basis, including sightseeing service. This also includes a non-motorized transportation system (including the provision of facilities for pedestrians, bicycles, and non-motorized watercraft)."

The program funds capital and planning expenses for alternative transportation systems such as buses and trams in federally-managed parks