Maximum Per Diem Rates for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government civilian employees.

LOCATION	SEASON DATES	LODGING RATE	M&IE RATE	MAX PER DIEM RATE	EFFECTIVE DATE
PUERTO RICO CEIBA	05/01 - 11/30	155	57	212	08/01/2006
	12/01 - 04/30	185	57	242	08/01/2006
FAJARDO (INCL ROOSEVELT RDS NAVSTAT)	05/01 - 11/30	155	57	212	08/01/2006
	12/01 - 04/30	185	57	242	08/01/2006
FT. BUCHANAN [INCL GSA SVC CTR, GUAY	01/01 - 12/31	195	82	277	10/01/2007
HUMACAO	05/01 - 11/30	155	57	212	08/01/2006
	12/01 - 04/30	185	57	242	08/01/2006
LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS	01/01 - 12/31	195	82	277	10/01/2007
rnónirro	05/01 - 11/30	155	57	212	08/01/2006
	12/01 - 04/30	185	57	242	08/01/2006
MAYAGUEZ	01/01 - 12/31	109	77	186	11/01/2007
PONCE	01/01 - 12/31	139	83	222	11/01/2007
SABANA SECA [INCL ALL MILITARY]	01/01 - 12/31	195	82	277	10/01/2007
SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA	01/01 - 12/31	195	82	277	10/01/2007
[OTHER]	01/01 - 12/31	62	57	119	10/01/2002
VIRGIN ISLANDS (U.S.) ST. CROIX ST. JOHN	04/15 - 12/14	135	92	227	05/01/2006
	12/15 - 04/14	187	97	284	05/01/2006
	04/15 - 12/14	163	98	261	05/01/2006
	12/15 - 04/14	220	104	324	05/01/2006
ST. THOMAS	04/15 - 12/14	240	105	345	05/01/2006
	12/15 - 04/14	299	111	410	05/01/2006
WAKE ISLAND	01/01 - 12/31	152	16	168	05/01/2009
	FAJARDO (INCL ROOSEVELT RDS NAVSTAT)  FT. BUCHANAN (INCL GSA SVC CTR, GUAY HUMACAO  LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS LUQUILLO  MAYAGUEZ PONCE SABANA SECA (INCL ALL MILITARY) SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA (OTHER) ST. CROIX  ST. JOHN  ST. THOMAS	CEIBA 05/01 - 11/30 12/01 - 04/30 FAJARDO [INCL ROOSEVELT RDS NAVSTAT] 12/01 - 04/30 FT. BUCHANAN [INCL GSA SVC CTR, GUAY HUMACAO 05/01 - 11/30 LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS 01/01 - 12/31 LUQUILLO 05/01 - 11/30 12/01 - 04/30 MAYAGUEZ 01/01 - 12/31 PONCE 01/01 - 12/31 SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA 01/01 - 12/31 [OTHER] 01/01 - 12/31 ST. CROIX 04/15 - 12/14 ST. JOHN 04/15 - 12/14 ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14	CEIBA 05/01 - 11/30 155 12/01 - 04/30 185 FAJARDO [INCL ROOSEVELT RDS 05/01 - 11/30 155 NAVSTAT] 12/01 - 04/30 185 FT. BUCHANAN [INCL GSA SVC CTR, GUAY 05/01 - 11/30 155 LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS 12/01 - 04/30 185 LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS 01/01 - 12/31 195 LUQUILLO 05/01 - 11/30 155 MAYAGUEZ 01/01 - 12/31 109 PONCE 01/01 - 12/31 109 SABANA SECA [INCL ALL MILITARY] 01/01 - 12/31 195 SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA 01/01 - 12/31 195 [OTHER] 01/01 - 12/31 195 ST. CROIX 04/15 - 12/14 135 ST. JOHN 04/15 - 12/14 163 ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 220 ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 220	CEIBA 05/01 - 11/30 155 57  12/01 - 04/30 185 57  FAJARDO [INCL ROOSEVELT RDS 05/01 - 11/30 155 57  NAVSTAT] 12/01 - 04/30 185 57  FT. BUCHANAN [INCL GSA SVC CTR, 01/01 - 12/31 195 82  GUAY  HUMACAO 05/01 - 11/30 155 57  LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS 01/01 - 12/31 195 82  LUQUILLO 05/01 - 11/30 155 57  LUQUILLO 05/01 - 11/30 155 57  MAYAGUEZ 01/01 - 12/31 199 77  PONCE 01/01 - 12/31 199 77  PONCE 01/01 - 12/31 199 83  SABANA SECA [INCL ALL MILITARY] 01/01 - 12/31 195 82  SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA 01/01 - 12/31 195 82  SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA 01/01 - 12/31 195 82  ST. CROIX 04/15 - 12/14 135 92  ST. JOHN 04/15 - 12/14 163 98  ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 240 105  ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 240 105	CEIBA 05/01 - 11/30 155 57 212  12/01 - 04/30 185 57 242  FAJARDO [INCL ROOSEVELT RDS 05/01 - 11/30 155 57 242  FAJARDO [INCL ROOSEVELT RDS 05/01 - 11/30 155 57 242  FT. BUCHANAN [INCL GSA SVC CTR, 01/01 - 12/31 195 82 277  GUAY  HUMACAO 05/01 - 11/30 155 57 212  LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS 01/01 - 12/31 195 82 277  LUQUILLO 05/01 - 11/30 155 57 212  MAYAGUEZ 01/01 - 12/31 195 82 277  MAYAGUEZ 01/01 - 12/31 109 77 186  PONCE 01/01 - 12/31 109 77 186  PONCE 01/01 - 12/31 195 82 277  SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA 01/01 - 12/31 195 82 277  [OTHER] 01/01 - 12/31 195 82 277  ST. CROIX 04/15 - 12/14 135 92 227  ST. JOHN 04/15 - 12/14 135 92 227  ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 163 98 261  ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 240 105 345  12/15 - 04/14 220 104 324  ST. THOMAS 04/15 - 12/14 240 105 345

[FR Doc. 2010–16133 Filed 7–1–10; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Department of the Navy

[Docket ID: USN-2010-0023]

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), Department of the Navy, announces a proposed extension of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use

of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by August 31, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 1160 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–1160.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal**Register document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to Dr. Jerry Larson, Ph.D.; Head, Behavioral Science and Epidemiology Program, Naval Health Research Center; P. O. Box 85122, San Diego, CA 92186–5122; telephone 619–

553–8402 (this is not a toll-free number) or fax: 619–553–8459.

Title and OMB Number: Mental Health Issues among Separating Marines; OMB Number 0703–0056.

Needs and Uses: Tens of thousands of Marines transition from the military to civilian life each year, the majority of whom have been exposed to deployment stressors that have put them at high risk for stress-related disorders. This longitudinal study builds on a 2008 pilot study assessing the prevalence of mental health outcomes among Sailors and Marines transitioning from the Service, and identifying predictors of and changes in mental health and resilience over time. For the baseline component of the current study, a paper-and-pencil questionnaire was administered to approximately 2,700 active-duty Marines in the Transition Assistance Program (TAP) during routine mandatory separation counseling via group administration at 6 selected installations worldwide. Based on the estimated number of attendees per TAP class and the number of classes conducted during the 4-month data collection period (January-April 2010), we estimate that approximately 4,900 Marines were eligible for inclusion into the study, giving us an approximate 55 percent response rate. The baseline survey included selected items from the post-deployment health reassessment (PDHRA), along with additional

questions on risk factors for poor civilian readjustment, and other biographical and psychological content. DoD regulations stipulate that all military personnel must receive preseparation counseling no less than 90 days before leaving active duty.

NHRC proposes tracking over time the mental well-being of eligible baseline respondents for the longitudinal portion of the study through a follow-on survey 3 to 6 months after separation from military service, after they have completed the transition from military to civilian life. Data from extant historical personnel and medical files will also be combined with survey data to develop models that demonstrate the influence of combat, and a variety of covariates, on mental health symptoms, resilience, and substance abuse. We estimate that approximately 1,850 of the 2,700 baseline participants will be eligible for and consent to participate in the follow-up survey. In order to facilitate locating these respondents, the baseline questionnaire requested participants provide name, relocation plans, names and contact information for two friends or relatives who always know where the respondent is living, and the respondent's date of birth and social security number. The follow-up survey will be sent to respondents through the mail. Respondents will also have the option of completing this survey via the Web, which will closely simulate the hardcopy version of the instrument.

Affected Public: Marine Corps
personnel who have separated from the
Military in the six-month period
following the baseline survey.
Annual Burden Hours: 1,850.
Number of Respondents: 1,850.
Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden per Response: 1 hour. Frequency: One time.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Summary of Information Collection**

This study population is unique because there is a need for longitudinal mental health research in the Military that spans both Active Duty and the period of reintegration into civilian life after combat exposure. Given that disability and poor physical health were significant predictors of mental health problems in the pilot study, that stigma continues to be an issue for military personnel seeking mental health care, and that significant difficulties remain in transitioning mental health care, this type of program would appear especially appropriate and suited for implementation in the U.S. military.

The follow-up survey will consist of a mailed paper-and-pencil questionnaire

with the option of completing the questionnaire via the Web. All participants from the baseline survey who have separated from military service since completion of the baseline survey will be eligible for the second phase of study and their participation in the follow-up survey will be requested. Respondents were informed during the Introductory Briefing to the baseline survey that they may be contacted for a follow-up interview.

Approximately 15 percent of Military personnel are women. Therefore, it is estimated that 15 percent will be the proportion of women completing the survey; the remaining 85 percent will be male respondents.

Dated: June 28, 2010.

#### Mitchell S. Bryman,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2010–16089 Filed 7–1–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Department of the Army

#### **Corps of Engineers**

Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Folsom South of U.S. Highway 50 Specific Plan Project, in Sacramento County, CA, Corps Permit Application Number SPK-2007-02159

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Sacramento District has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that analyzes the potential effects of implementing each of six on-site landuse and eleven off-site water supply/ alignment alternative scenarios for a large-scale, mixed-use, mixed-density master planned community on the approximately 3,502-acre Folsom South of U.S. Highway 50 Specific Plan area (SPA), located within the Sphere of Influence of the City of Folsom, Sacramento County, California. The DEIS has been prepared as a joint document with the City of Folsom (City). The City is the local agency responsible for preparing an Environmental Impact Report in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The DEIS documents the existing condition of environmental resources in and around areas considered for

development, and potential impacts on those resources as a result of implementing the alternatives. The onsite land use alternatives considered in detail are: (1) No Project Alternative (No development for both land use and water supply/alignment); (2) No USACE Permit Alternative (no discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S.); (3) Proposed Project Alternative, the Applicants' Preferred Alternative; (4) Resource Impact Minimization Alternative; (5) Centralized Development Alternative; and (6) Reduced Hillside Development Alternative. The off-site water supply/ alignment alternatives considered in detail are: (1) No USACE Permit Alternative (no discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S.); (2) Proposed Off-site Water Facility Alternative—Raw Water Conveyance—Grant Line Road Alignment and On-site WTP; (3) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 1—Raw Water Conveyance—Grant Line Road Alignment and White Rock WTP; (4) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 1A-Raw Water Conveyance—Grant Line Road Route Variation Alignment and White Rock WTP; (5) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 2—Treated Water Conveyance—Douglas Road Alignment and Vineyard SWTP: (6) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 2A—Treated Water Conveyance—Douglas Road Route Variation Alignment and Vineyard SWTP; (7) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 2B—Treated Water Conveyance—North Douglas Tanks Variation Alignment and Vineyard SWTP; (8) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 3-Raw Water Conveyance—Douglas Road Alignment and White Rock WTP; (9) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 3A—Raw Water Conveyance—Douglas Road Route Variation Alignment and White Rock WTP; (10) Off-site Water Facility Alternative 4—Raw Water Conveyance to Folsom Boulevard Alignment and Folsom Boulevard WTP; and (11) Offsite Water Facility Alternative 4A-Raw Water Conveyance to Folsom Boulevard—Route Variation Alignment and Folsom Boulevard WTP.

**DATES:** All written comments must be postmarked on or before September 7, 2010. The USACE and the City will jointly conduct a public meeting that will be held on August 2, 2010 from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. The public meeting will be held at the Folsom Community Center, 52 Natoma Street, Folsom, California 95630.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted in writing to: Lisa M. Gibson, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,