

## V. Deletion Action

The EPA, with concurrence of the State of New York, has determined that all appropriate responses under CERCLA have been completed, and that no further response actions, under CERCLA, other than O&M and five-year reviews, are necessary. Therefore, EPA is deleting the Site from the NPL.

Because EPA considers this action to be noncontroversial and routine, EPA is taking it without prior publication. This action will be effective September 20, 2010, unless EPA receives adverse comments by September 7, 2010. If adverse comments are received within the 30-day public comment period, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of this direct final notice of deletion before the effective date of the deletion and it will not take effect, and EPA will prepare a response to comments and continue with the deletion process on the basis of the notice of intent to delete and the comments already received. There will be no additional opportunity to comment.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous waste, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

Dated: July 25, 2010.

**Judith A. Enck,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 2.*

■ For the reasons set out in this document, 40 CFR part 300 is amended as follows:

### PART 300—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 300 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

■ 2. Table 1 of Appendix B to part 300 is amended by removing the entry for “Peter Cooper Corporation (Markhams),” “Winslow Township,” “NY.”

[FR Doc. 2010–19417 Filed 8–5–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

### 45 CFR Part 1611

#### Income Level for Individuals Eligible for Assistance

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Legal Services Corporation (“Corporation”) is required by law to establish maximum income levels for individuals eligible for legal assistance. This document updates the specified income levels to reflect the annual amendments to the Federal Poverty Guidelines as issued by the Department of Health and Human Services.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This rule is effective as of August 6, 2010.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mattie Cohan, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K St., NW., Washington, DC 20007; (202) 295–1624; [mcohan@lsc.gov](mailto:mcohan@lsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 1007(a)(2) of the Legal Services Corporation Act (“Act”), 42 U.S.C. 2996f(a)(2), requires the Corporation to establish maximum income levels for individuals eligible for legal assistance, and the Act provides that other specified factors shall be taken into account along with income.

Section 1611.3(c) of the Corporation’s regulations establishes a maximum

income level equivalent to one hundred and twenty-five percent (125%) of the Federal Poverty Guidelines. Since 1982, the Department of Health and Human Services has been responsible for updating and issuing the Federal Poverty Guidelines. The figures for 2010 set out below are equivalent to 125% of the current Federal Poverty Guidelines as published on August 3, 2010 (75 FR 45628).

In addition, LSC is publishing charts listing income levels that are 200% of the Federal Poverty Guidelines. These charts are for reference purposes only as an aid to grant recipients in assessing the financial eligibility of an applicant whose income is greater than 200% of the applicable Federal Poverty Guidelines amount, but less than 200% of the applicable Federal Poverty Guidelines amount (and who may be found to be financially eligible under duly adopted exceptions to the annual income ceiling in accordance with sections 1611.3, 1611.4 and 1611.5).

LSC notes that these 2010 Income Guidelines are substantively unchanged from the 2009 Income Guidelines. This is because HHS’ Poverty Guidelines for the remainder of 2010 are unchanged from the 2009 Poverty Guidelines which have been in place since last year.

### List of Subjects in 45 CFR Part 1611

Grant programs—Law, Legal services.

■ For reasons set forth above, 45 CFR 1611 is amended as follows:

### PART 1611—ELIGIBILITY

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1611 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 1006(b)(1), 1007(a)(1) Legal Services Corporation Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 2996e(b)(1), 2996f(a)(1), 2996f(a)(2).

■ 2. Appendix A of part 1611 is revised to read as follows:

### Appendix A of Part 1611

#### LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION 2010 INCOME GUIDELINES \*

Size of household	48 Contiguous states and the District of Columbia	Alaska	Hawaii
1 .....	\$13,538	\$16,913	\$15,575
2 .....	18,213	22,763	20,950
3 .....	22,888	28,613	26,325
4 .....	27,563	34,463	31,700
5 .....	32,238	40,313	37,075
6 .....	36,913	46,163	42,450
7 .....	41,588	52,013	47,825
8 .....	46,263	57,863	53,200
For each additional member of the household in excess of 8, add:	4,675	5,850	5,375

\*The figures in this table represent 125% of the poverty guidelines by household size as determined by the Department of Health and Human Services.

## REFERENCE CHART—200% OF DHHS FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINES

Size of household	48 Contiguous states and the District of Columbia	Alaska	Hawaii
1 .....	\$21,660	\$27,060	\$24,920
2 .....	29,140	36,420	33,520
3 .....	36,620	45,780	42,120
4 .....	44,100	55,140	50,720
5 .....	51,580	64,500	59,320
6 .....	59,060	73,860	67,920
7 .....	66,540	83,220	76,520
8 .....	74,020	92,580	85,120
For each additional member of the household in excess of 8, add:	7,480	9,360	8,600

**Mattie Cohan,**

*Senior Assistant General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19449 Filed 8-5-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 04-258; RM-11000; RM-11149; DA 10-1194]

### FM Table of Allotments, Boulder Town, Levan, Mount Pleasant, and Richfield, UT

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; petition for partial reconsideration, granted; petition for reconsideration, denied.

**SUMMARY:** The Audio Division grants a Petition for Partial Reconsideration filed by Sanpete County Broadcasting, licensee of Station KLGL(FM), Richfield, Utah. In so doing, the staff reinstates and grants Sanpete's Counterproposal to reallocate and change the community of license of Station KLGL(FM) from Richfield to Mount Pleasant, Utah. The Audio Division also denies a Petition for Reconsideration filed by Micro Communications, Inc., licensee of Station KCFM, Levan, Utah, and affirms the dismissal of Micro's Petition for Rule Making on technical grounds. *See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.*

**DATES:** Effective September 7, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew J. Rhodes, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order, MB Docket No. 04-258, adopted June 25, 2010, and released June 29, 2010. The full text of this Commission document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center

(Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, 800-378-3160 or via the company's Web site, <http://www.bcpweb.com>.

The *Report and Order* in this proceeding had denied the counterproposal of Sanpete's predecessor in interest to reallocate and change the community of license of its Station KLGL(FM) from Channel 229C at Richfield, Utah, to Channel 229C at Mount Pleasant, Utah, because the proposed transmitter site was unavailable due to its location in a national forest. *See* 71 FR 29886 (May 24, 2006). The counterproposal was also denied because it would create "white" and "gray" loss areas of 1,103 and 1,057 persons, respectively. The Memorandum Opinion and Order grants the Sanpete Counterproposal because Sanpete demonstrated that its proposed transmitter site is not located in the Mani-La National Forest. Likewise, Sanpete showed that its proposal would not create any "white" loss area. Rather the counterproposal would create a "gray" loss population of 1,057, but the staff found that this "gray" loss population was outweighed by the provision of a first local service to a community with a population of 2,707.

The reference coordinates for Channel 229C at Mount Pleasant, Utah, are 39-37-52 NL and 111-19-47 WL. Sanpete's Counterproposal was formerly a rule change to Section 73.202(b), the FM Table of Allotments. As a result of changes to the Commission's processing rules, modifications of FM channels for existing stations are no longer listed in Section 73.202(b) and are instead reflected in the Media Bureau's Consolidated Data Base System (CDBS). *See Revision of Procedures Governing Amendments to FM Table of Allotments and Changes of Community of License*

*in the Radio Broadcast Services*, Report and Order, 71 FR 76208 (December 20, 2006). The CDBS will reflect Channel 229C at Mount Pleasant, Utah, as the reserved assignment of Station KLGL in lieu of Channel 229C at Richfield.

Micro's rule making petition had proposed the substitution of FM Channel 229C for Channel 244C at Levan, Utah, and the modification of its Station KCFM license to specify operation on Channel 229C. To accommodate this substitution, Micro had proposed to substitute Channel 244C for Channel 229C at Richfield, Utah, and to modify the license for Station KLGL(FM), accordingly. The Memorandum Opinion and Order affirmed the dismissal of Micro's rule making petition because it was technically defective at the time it was filed. Specifically, Station KLGL had both a license and a construction permit at the time that the rulemaking petition was filed, and the proposed Channel 244C at the construction permit site at Richfield was short-spaced to two vacant allotments at Beaver, Utah, and Mesquite, Nevada.

This document does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

The Commission will send a copy of this Report and Order in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.