

Dated: August 3, 2010.

Adam J. Szubin,

Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

[FR Doc. 2010-19781 Filed 8-10-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AL-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Parts 3 and 165

[Docket No. USCG-2010-0351]

RIN 1625-ZA25

#### Navigation and Navigable Waters; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments, Sector Columbia River, WA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule makes non-substantive changes throughout our regulations. The purpose of this rule is to make conforming amendments and technical corrections to reflect the renaming of Sector Seattle to Sector Puget Sound as part of the Coast Guard reorganization.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective 12:01 a.m. on August 16, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket USCG-2010-0351 and are available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M-30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet by going to <http://www.regulations.gov>, inserting USCG-2010-0351 in the "Keyword" box, and then clicking "Search."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or e-mail Lt. Matthew Jones, Coast Guard; telephone 206-220-7110, e-mail [Matthew.m.jones@uscg.mil](mailto:Matthew.m.jones@uscg.mil). If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this rule. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A), the Coast Guard finds this rule is exempt

from notice and comment rulemaking requirements because these changes involve rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice. In addition, the Coast Guard finds notice and comment procedure are unnecessary under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B) as this rule consists only of corrections and editorial, organizational, and conforming amendments and these changes will have no substantive effect on the public.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that, for the same reasons, good cause exists for making this rule effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

##### Basis and Purpose

This rule makes technical and editorial corrections to Title 33 parts 3 and 165 in the Code of Federal Regulations. This internal agency reorganization establishes Sector Columbia River and is part of a process begun in 2004, intended to strengthen unity of command in Coast Guard port, waterway and coastal areas.

##### Discussion of Rule

This rule revises 33 CFR parts 3 and 165 to reflect changes in Coast Guard internal organizational structure. Sector Portland has been disestablished and Sector Columbia River has been established in its place. This rule revises 33 CFR parts 3 and 165 to reflect the Sector Columbia River and Captain of the Port Zone name change in current regulations. This rule is a technical revision reflecting changes in agency procedure and organization, and does not indicate new authorities nor create any substantive requirements.

##### Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

##### Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. Because this rule involves non-substantive changes and internal agency practices and procedures, it will not impose any additional costs on the public.

##### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

We estimate this rule will not impose any additional costs and should have little or no impact on small entities because the provisions of this rule are technical and non-substantive, and will have no substantive effect on the public and will impose no additional costs. Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

##### Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

##### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

##### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

##### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

## Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

## Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have Tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes.

## Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

## Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

## Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded under section 2.B.2, figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(a) of the Instruction. This rule involves regulations which are editorial and/or procedural, such as those updating addresses or establishing application procedures. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

## List of Subjects

### 33 CFR Part 3

Organization and functions (government agencies).

### 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR parts 3 and 165 as follows:

## PART 3—COAST GUARD AREAS, DISTRICTS, SECTORS, MARINE INSPECTION ZONES, AND CAPTAIN OF THE PORT ZONES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 3 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 14 U.S.C. 92, Pub. L. 107–296, 116 Stat. 2135; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1, para. 2(23).

■ 2. Revise § 3.65–15 to read as follows:

### § 3.65–15 Sector Columbia River Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone.

Sector Columbia River’s office is located in Astoria, OR. The boundaries of Sector Columbia River’s Marine Inspection and Captain of the Port Zones start at the Washington coast at latitude 47°32′00″ N, longitude 124°21′15″ W, proceeding along this latitude east to latitude 47°32′00″ N, longitude 123°18′00″ W; thence south to latitude 46°55′00″ N, longitude

123°18′00″ W; thence east along this latitude to the eastern Idaho state line; thence southeast along the Idaho state line to the intersection of the Idaho-Wyoming boundary; thence south along the Idaho-Wyoming boundary to the intersection of the Idaho-Utah-Wyoming boundaries; thence west along the southern border of Idaho to Oregon and then west along the southern border of Oregon to the coast at latitude 41°59′54″ N, longitude 124°12′42″ W; thence west along the southern boundary of the Thirteenth Coast Guard District, which is described in § 3.65–10, to the outermost extent of the EEZ at latitude 41°38′35″ N, 128°51′26″ W; thence north along the outermost extent of the EEZ to latitude 47°32′00″ N; thence east to the point of origin.

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 3. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

### § 165.1308 [Amended]

■ 4. In § 165.1308(c), remove the phrase “Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon” and add, in its place, the phrase “Captain of the Port Columbia River”.

### § 165.1312 [Amended]

■ 5. In § 165.1312(b), remove the phrase “Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon” and add, in its place, the phrase “Captain of the Port Columbia River”.

### § 165.1315 [Amended]

■ 6. In § 165.1315, in the heading and paragraph (b), remove the phrase “Captain of the Port Portland” and add, in its place, the phrase “Captain of the Port Columbia River”.

### § 165.1318 [Amended]

■ 7. In § 165.1318:

■ a. In the section heading, remove “Portland, OR Captain of the Port Zone” and add, in its place, “Captain of the Port Columbia River Zone”.

■ b. In paragraphs (a), (d), (i) and (l) remove the phrase “Captain of the Port Portland” and add, in its place, the phrase “Captain of the Port Columbia River”.

### § 165.1322 [Amended]

■ 8. In § 165.1322, in the section heading remove “Oregon Captain of the Port Zone” and add, in its place

"Captain of the Port Columbia River Zone".

**§ 165.1323 [Amended]**

■ 8. In § 165.1323, in the section heading remove "Portland, Oregon Captain of the Port Zone" and add, in its place "Captain of the Port Columbia River Zone".

Dated: August 5, 2010.

**Sandra Selman,**

*Acting Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law, United States Coast Guard.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19754 Filed 8-10-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

**[EPA-R03-OAR-2009-0606; FRL-9186-6]**

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware; Administrative and Non-Substantive Amendments to Existing Delaware SIP Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Delaware State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions streamline, renumber and reformat the Delaware Regulations for the Control of Air Pollution which EPA has approved as part of the Delaware SIP. This SIP vision is administrative in nature; there are no substantive changes. EPA is approving these revisions to Delaware SIP regulations in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** This rule is effective on October 12, 2010 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse written comment by September 10, 2010. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2009-0606 by one of the following methods:

A. *http://www.regulations.gov*. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. *E-mail: frankford.harold@epa.gov*.

C. *Mail: EPA-R03-OAR-2009-0606, Harold A. Frankford, Air Protection Division, Mailcode 3AP00, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,*

Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

D. *Hand Delivery:* At the previously-listed EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2009-0606. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *http://www.regulations.gov* or e-mail. The *http://www.regulations.gov* Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through *http://www.regulations.gov*, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

*Docket:* All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the *http://www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *http://www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Delaware Department of

Natural Resources and Environmental Control, 89 Kings Highway, P.O. Box 1401, Dover, Delaware 19903.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Harold A. Frankford, (215) 814-2108, or by e-mail at *frankford.harold@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

On June 15, 2009, the State of Delaware submitted a formal revision to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). The SIP revision consists of administrative and non-substantive amendments to 32 of the SIP approved Delaware air pollution control regulations. In its SIP revision submittal, Delaware explains that under Title 29, Chapter 101 of the Delaware Codes (29 Del. C., Ch 101), regulatory agencies in Delaware are required to develop and adopt regulations, and publish the regulations in Delaware Register of Regulations. The State Registrar's Office under Division of Research of the General Assembly has developed guidelines and drafting manuals for Delaware regulations. Delaware issued the latest edition of drafting and style manual, entitled "Delaware Manual for Drafting Regulations" in March 2006 ("the 2006 Manual"). Since then, EPA has approved several Delaware SIP regulations in the manual-compliant format. However, a majority of the Delaware SIP regulations have not been updated.

**II. Summary of SIP Revision**

The purpose of this SIP revision is to revise all Delaware SIP regulations so that they are consistent with the format prescribed in the 2006 Manual. In addition, Delaware has made some non-substantive changes and corrections of errors exclusively for this SIP revision:

- Administrative or editorial changes made under the administrative authority granted to Delaware Registrar's Office by 29 Del. C., Ch 1134 and applicable to all Delaware regulations for consistency purposes. Therefore, all Delaware air regulations, both existing ones and future ones, shall follow this format.

- Non-substantive changes made for clarification and consistency purposes and which do not alter or amend the intent or meaning of the subject regulation.

- Editorial changes, including correction of errors due to typos or misprints when a regulation was developed or revised to its current version.

**A. SIP Regulations Revised in This SIP Revision**

The 32 regulations which Delaware has submitted administrative changes to EPA in this SIP revision are: