information collection; OMB No. 1660–0072; No Form.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has submitted the information collection abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget for review and clearance in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The submission describes the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.*, the time, effort and resources used by respondents to respond) and cost, and the actual data collection instruments FEMA will use.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before January 19, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the Desk Officer for the Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, and sent via electronic mail to oira.submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection should be made to Director, Records Management Division, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20598–3005, facsimile number (202) 646–3347, or e-mail address FEMA-Information-Collections-Management@dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Collection of Information

Title: Mitigation Grants Program/eGrants.

Type of information collection: Extension, without change, of a currently approved information collection.

OMB Number: 1660–0072.
Form Titles and Numbers: FEMA
Form—None.

Abstract: The FEMA mitigation grant programs—Flood Mitigation Assistance, Severe Repetitive Loss, Repetitive Flood Claim, and Pre-Disaster Mitigation—all utilize an automated grant application and management system known as e-Grants to apply for these grants. These programs provide funding to allow for the reduction or elimination of the risks to life and property from hazards. The e-Grants system also provides the mechanism to provide quarterly reports of the financial status of the project and the final closeout report.

Affected Public: State, local and Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 56.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Estimated Average Hour Burden per
Respondent: Benefit-Cost
Determination, 5 hours; Environmental
Review, 7.5 hours; Project Narrative—
Sub-grant Application, 12 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 43,848 hours.

Estimated Cost: There are no operation and maintenance, or capital and start-up costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: December 9, 2010.

Lesia M. Banks,

Director, Records Management Division, Mission Support Bureau, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2010–31801 Filed 12–17–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-ES-2010-N278; 80221-1113-0000-F5]

Endangered Species Recovery Permit Applications

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of permit applications; request for comment.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invite the public to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered species. With some exceptions, the Endangered Species Act (Act) prohibits activities with endangered and threatened species unless a Federal permit allows such activity. The Act also requires that we invite public comment before issuing these permits.

DATES: Comments on these permit applications must be received on or before January 19, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Written data or comments should be submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Endangered Species Program Manager, Region 8, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–2606, Sacramento, CA 95825 (telephone: 916–414–6464; fax: 916–414–6486). Please refer to the respective permit number for each application when submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Marquez, Fish and Wildlife Biologist; see ADDRESSES (telephone: 760–431–9440; fax: 760–431–9624).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following applicants have applied for scientific research permits to conduct certain activities with endangered species under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). We seek review and comment from local, State, and Federal agencies and the public on the following permit requests. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to

Permit No. TE-27460A

Applicant: Brian A. Zitt, Santa Ana, California.

The applicant requests a permit to take (survey, electroshock, capture, handle, and release) the Santa Ana sucker (*Catostomus santaanae*) and take (survey, capture, handle, and release) the arroyo toad (*Bufo californicus*) in conjunction with surveys and population monitoring activities throughout the range of each species in California, for the purpose of enhancing their survival.

Permit No. TE-088197

Applicant: High Mesa Research, Valdez, New Mexico.

The applicant requests an amendment to an existing permit (September 5, 2006, 71 FR 52336) to take (harass by survey) the southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) in conjunction with surveys and population monitoring activities throughout the range of the species in California and Nevada for the purpose of enhancing its survival.

Permit No. TE-835549

Applicant: Charles H. Black, San Diego, California.

The applicant requests an amendment to an existing permit (March 6, 2000, 65 FR 11798) to remove/remove to possession California Orcutt grass (Orcuttia californica) and willowy monardella (Monardella linoides subsp. viminea) in conjunction with population monitoring, germination, and growth studies from Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, California, for the purpose of enhancing their survival.

Permit No. TE-29658A

Applicant: Cindy Dunn, San Diego, California.

The applicant requests a permit to take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (*Euphydryas editha quino*) in conjunction with surveys throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing its survival.

Permit No. TE-776608

Applicant: Monk and Associates Incorporated, Walnut Creek, California.

The applicant requests an amendment to an existing permit (October 7, 2002, 67 FR 62492) to take (install and remove egg laying substrates within occupied habitat) the California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*) in conjunction with research throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing its survival.

Permit No. TE-29522A

Applicant: Kenneth L. Gilliland, Ventura, California.

The applicant requests a permit to take (survey, locate and monitor nests, population monitor, collect carcasses and infertile eggs) the California least tern (Sterna antillarum browni) and take (monitor nests, collect carcasses and infertile eggs) the least Bell's vireo (Vireo bellii pusillus) in conjunction with surveys and population monitoring activities at the Guadalupe Restoration Project, San Luis Obispo County, California, for the purpose of enhancing their survival.

Permit No. TE-082908

Applicant: Melanie S. Rocks, Woodland, California.

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture, collect, and kill) the Conservancy fairy shrimp (Branchinecta conservatio), the longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), the Riverside fairy shrimp (Streptocephalus wootoni), the San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegonensis), and the vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi), and take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino) in conjunction with survey activities throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing their survival.

We invite public review and comment on each of these recovery permit applications. Comments and materials we receive will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

Michael Long,

Acting Regional Director, Region 8, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 2010–31907 Filed 12–17–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R9-MB-2010-N281; 91200-1231-9BPP-L2]

Service Regulations Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (hereinafter Service) will conduct an open meeting on February 2, 2011, to identify and discuss preliminary issues concerning the 2011–12 migratory bird hunting regulations.

DATES: The meeting will be held February 2, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The Service Regulations Committee will meet at the Embassy Suites Hotel, Denver—International Airport, 7001 Yampa Street, Denver, CO 80249; (303) 574–3000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, ms-4107-ARLSQ, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240; (703) 358-1714. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the authority of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703-712), the Service regulates the hunting of migratory game birds. We update the migratory game bird hunting regulations, located at 50 CFR part 20, annually. Through these regulations, we establish the frameworks, or outside limits, for season lengths, bag limits, and areas for migratory game bird hunting. To help us in this process, we have administratively divided the nation into four Flyways (Atlantic, Mississippi, Central, and Pacific), each of which has a Flyway Council. Representatives from the Service, the Service's Migratory Bird Regulations Committee, and Flyway Council Consultants will meet on February 2, 2011, at 8:30 a.m. to identify preliminary issues concerning the 2011-12 migratory bird hunting regulations for discussion and review by the Flyway Councils at their March meetings.

In accordance with Department of the Interior (hereinafter Department) policy regarding meetings of the Service Regulations Committee attended by any person outside the Department, these meetings are open to public observation.

Dated: December 14, 2010.

Jerome Ford,

Acting Assistant Director, Migratory Birds, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–31873 Filed 12–17–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Record of Decision

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice of Availability of the
Record of Decision on the Final
Environmental Impact Statement/South
Florida and Caribbean Parks Exotic
Plant Management Plan.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR part 1500-1508), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service (NPS) has prepared and approved a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final **Environmental Impact Statement (Final** EIS) for the South Florida and Caribbean Parks Exotic Plant Management Plan. The nine parks included in this Plan are: Big Cypress National Preserve, Biscavne National Park, Canaveral National Seashore, Dry Tortugas National Park, Everglades National Park, Buck Island Reef National Monument, Christiansted National Historic Site, Salt River Bay National Historic Park and Ecological Preserve, and Virgin Islands National Park.

The ROD documents the decision by the NPS to implement Alternative C (New Framework for Exotic Plant management: Increased Planning, Monitoring, and Mitigation, with an Emphasis on Active Restoration of Native Plants) as the selected action for the South Florida and Caribbean Parks Exotic Plant Management Plan. Alternative C was also identified in the Final EIS as the environmentally preferable alternative.

The selected action is necessary to promote restoration of native species and habitat conditions in ecosystems that have been invaded by exotic plants and to protect park resources and values from adverse effects resulting from exotic plant presence and control activities. The intended effects or objectives of this action are to:

• Establish priorities for exotic plants to be treated and treatment locations in parks;