SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint filed on behalf of Ogma, LLC on April 1, 2011. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain motion-sensitive sound effects devices and image display devices and components and products containing same. The complaint names as respondents Activision Blizzard Inc. of CA; Apple Inc. of CA; Canon, Inc. of Japan; Canon USA, Inc. of NY; Seiko Epson Corporation of Japan; Epson America, Inc. of CA; HTC Corporation of Taiwan; HTC America, Inc. of WA; InFocus Corp. of OR; Jakks Pacific, Inc. of CA; Kyocera Communications, Inc. of CA; LEGO A/S (dba) LEGO Group of Denmark; LEGO Systems, Inc. of CT; Lenovo (United States), Inc. of NC; Lenovo Group Ltd. of China; Lenovo (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Mad Catz, Inc. of CA; Motorola Mobility, Inc. of IL; Nintendo Co., Ltd. of Japan; Nintendo of America, Inc. of WA; Nyko Technologies, Inc. of CA; Sanyo North America Corp. of CA; Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. of Japan; Sanyo Electronic Devices (U.S.A.) of CA; Sharp Corporation of Japan; Sharp Electronics Corporation of NJ; Sony Computer Entertainment America, LLC of CA; Sony Corporation of Japan; Sony Corporation of America of NY; Sony Electronics Inc. of CA; Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA), Inc. of GA; Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB of Sweden; Vivitek Corporation of CA; VTech Electronic North America, LLC of IL; VTech Holdings, Ltd. of Hong Kong; ViewSonic Corp., Ltd. of CA; WowWee Group Ltd. of Hong Kong; and WowWee USA, Inc. of CA.

The complainant, proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five pages in length, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint. Comments should address whether issuance of an exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order in this investigation would negatively affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the potential orders;

(iii) Indicate the extent to which like or directly competitive articles are produced in the United States or are otherwise available in the United States, with respect to the articles potentially subject to the orders; and

(iv) Indicate whether Complainant, Complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to an exclusion order and a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, five business days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2799") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. The Commission's rules authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means only to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/ secretary/fed reg notices/rules/ documents/ handbook\_on\_electronic\_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50(a)(4) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50(a)(4)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 4, 2011.

James R. Holbein,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2011–8299 Filed 4–6–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

[OMB Number 1103-0105]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Revision to a Currently Approved Collection; Comments Requested

**AGENCY:** Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ)
Office of Community Oriented Policing
Services (COPS) will be submitting the
following information collection request
to the Office of Management and Budget
(OMB) for review and approval in
accordance with the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995. The information
collection is published to obtain
comments from the public and affected
agencies. This proposed information
collection was previously published in
the Federal Register on January 28,
2011 (76 FR 5207), allowing for a 60 day
comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for 30 days for public comment until May 9, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Ashley Hoornstra, Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 145 N Street, NE., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments concerning this information collection should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to e-mail them to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov or fax them to 202–395–7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please call Ashley Hoornstra at 202–616–1314 or the DOJ Desk Officer at 202–395–3176.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

 Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Revision to a currently approved collection; comments requested.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Community Policing Self-Assessment (CP–SAT)
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: None. U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Law Enforcement Agencies and community partners. The purpose of this project is to improve the practice of community policing throughout the United States by supporting the development of a series of tools that will allow law enforcement agencies to gain better insight into the depth and breadth of their community policing activities.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that approximately 29,235 respondents will respond with an average of 17 minutes per response.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total estimated burden is 10,847 hours across 1,213 agencies. If additional information is required contact: Lynn Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice

Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Room 2E– 808, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 28, 2011.

#### Lynn Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2011–7922 Filed 4–6–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–AT–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on April 1, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Anacomp, Inc., et al,* No. 3:10–cv–1158, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims of the United States, on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., in connection with the Solvents Recovery Service of New England, Inc. Superfund Site ("SRS Site") in Southington, Connecticut, against the defendant, Compagnone Holdings, Inc., f/k/a Mace Adhesives, Inc. The proposed Consent Decree requires the defendant to pay \$30,463.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and either emailed to pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to United States v. Anacomp, Inc., et al, No. 3:10-cv-1158, D.J. No. 90-7-1-23/10. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S.

Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy of the proposed Consent Decree, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cent per page reproduction cost), payable to the U.S. Treasury.

#### Ronald Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–8219 Filed 4–6–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket No. 10–36]

## Jacobo Dreszer, M.D., Decision and Order

On August 10, 2010, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) John J. Mulrooney, II, issued the attached recommended decision. Thereafter, Respondent filed exceptions to the decision.

Having reviewed the entire record including the ALJ's recommended decision and Respondent's exceptions, I have decided to adopt the ALJ's rulings, findings of fact,<sup>2</sup> conclusions of law,<sup>3</sup> and recommended Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All citations to the ALJ's Decision (ALJ) are to the slip opinion as issued on August 10, 2010, and not to the attached decision which has been reformatted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The ALJ found that there is "no evidence that the Respondent 'prescribe[d] and dispense[d] inordinate amounts of controlled substances." ALJ at 21. While there is no evidence as to the amounts Respondent may have dispensed directly, there is such evidence, which is unrefuted, with respect to his prescriptions. As explained in my discussion of Respondent's Exceptions, an Expert witness testified as to the usual starting doses of oxycodone and Xanax and that the prescriptions Respondent issued for both drugs, even at the initial visit, greatly exceeded the usual starting doses and lacked a legitimate medical purpose. 21 CFR 1306.04(a). Moreover, there is also unrefuted evidence that Respondent's prescribing of drug cocktails of oxycodone and Xanax lacked a legitimate medical purpose. I thus reject the ALJ's finding to the extent that it states that there was no evidence that Respondent prescribed inordinate amounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>I do not, however, adopt the ALJ's discussion of the standards applied by the Agency in assessing a practitioner's experience in dispensing controlled substances, which cites cases involving list chemical I distributors, a different category of registrant. See ALJ Dec. at 20–21. As the Agency has previously made clear, DEA can revoke based on a single act of intentional diversion and "evidence that a practitioner has treated thousands of patients" in circumstances that do not constitute diversion "does not negate a prima facie showing that the practitioner has committed acts inconsistent with the public interest." Jayam Krishna-Iyer, 74 FR 459, 463 (2009). See also Dewey C. MacKay, 75 FR49956, 49977 (2010); Medicine Shoppe-Jonesborough, 73