

A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below. The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD-2011-0055 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388 (68 FR 23084, April 30, 2003), that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter's interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in § 388.4 of MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 17, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to docket number MARAD-2011-0055. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joann Spittle, U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W21-203, Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202-366-5979, e-mail [Joann.Spittle@dot.gov](mailto:Joann.Spittle@dot.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** As described by the applicant the intended service of the vessel PRIORITIES is:

*Intended Commercial Use of Vessel:* "Activity for our Old Manse Inn guest in conjunction with staying at our 1801 Sea Captains Manor 12 room facility. The intent is increasing our Inn occupancy rates and exposure. Berthed at Chatham's Stage Harbor Marina slip

location, ideal for cruising Nantucket Sound to Martha Vineyard and Nantucket Island."

*Geographic Region:* "Massachusetts."

### Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78).

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.  
Dated: May 9, 2011.

**Christine Gurland,**

*Secretary, Maritime Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-12111 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-81-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35498]

#### **Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company—Continuance in Control—Charlotte Southern Railroad Company, Detroit Connecting Railroad Company, and Lapeer Industrial Railroad Company**

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board.

**ACTION:** Notice of Acceptance of Application; Issuance of procedural schedule.

**SUMMARY:** The Surface Transportation Board (Board) is accepting for consideration the application filed by Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company (ADBF) seeking Board authority under 49 U.S.C. 11321-26 for continuance in control of Charlotte Southern Railroad Company (CHS), Detroit Connecting Railroad Company (DCON), and Lapeer Industrial Railroad Company (LIRR). ADBF seeks authorization for its previously consummated control, through stock ownership and management, of those 3 entities when they became Class III short line railroads.

The Board finds that this transaction is a "minor transaction" under 49 CFR 1180.2(c) and adopts a procedural schedule for consideration of the application, providing for the Board's final decision to be issued on August 19, 2011, and to become effective on September 18, 2011.

**DATES:** The effective date of this decision is May 18, 2011. Any person

who wishes to participate in this proceeding as a party of record (POR) must file a notice of intent to participate no later than June 2, 2011. All comments, protests, requests for conditions, and any other evidence and argument in opposition to the application, including filings by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), must be filed by June 17, 2011. Any responses to such filings and rebuttal in support of the application must be filed by July 5, 2011. If a public hearing or oral argument is held, it will be on a date to be determined by the Board. The Board expects to issue a final decision on August 19, 2011. For further information respecting dates, see the Appendix (Procedural Schedule).

**ADDRESSES:** Any filing submitted in this proceeding must be submitted either via the Board's e-filing format or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing should attach a document and otherwise comply with the instructions found on the Board's Web site at "[www.stb.dot.gov](http://www.stb.dot.gov)" at the "E-FILING" link. Any person submitting a filing in the traditional paper format should send an original and 10 paper copies of the filing (and also an electronic version) to: Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, one copy of each filing in this proceeding must be sent (and may be sent by e-mail only if service by e-mail is acceptable to the recipient) to each of the following: (1) Secretary of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590; (2) Attorney General of the United States, c/o Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, Room 3109, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (3) John D. Heffner (representing ADBF), John D. Heffner, PLLC, 1750 K Street, NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006; and (4) any other person designated as a POR on the service-list notice (to be issued as soon after June 2, 2011, as practicable).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julia M. Farr, (202) 245-0359. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** ADBF is a Class III rail carrier operating approximately 20 miles of freight lines between Adrian and Blissfield, Mich., as well as several short branches connecting with its mainline. Through a series of transactions between 1998 and 1999, ADBF purchased a 2.27-mile rail line in Detroit, Mich., a 3.22-mile rail line in Charlotte, Mich., and a 1.34-mile

rail line in Lapeer, Mich., from the Grand Trunk Western Railroad (now, part of Canadian National Railway Company).<sup>1</sup> According to ADBF, in October 2000, it spun off these 3 acquired lines to 3 new ADBF subsidiaries (DCON, CHS, and LIRR, respectively), in order to insulate it from any liabilities created by these subsidiaries.<sup>2</sup> ADBF states that it intended to continue in control of these newly formed entities, and in fact assumed control over the entities through stock ownership and management, but due to oversight of its then-general counsel, it failed to seek Board authority for continuance in control at that time.

In a separate proceeding where ADBF belatedly sought Board authority to acquire and operate the Tecumseh Branch Connecting Railroad Company, the Board noted that ADBF did not appear to have authority to have common control of its subsidiaries and that it expected ADBF to promptly seek appropriate authorization for that common control.<sup>3</sup> On February 15, 2011, ADBF filed a notice of exemption, seeking authority for continuance in control of the 3 carriers at issue here.<sup>4</sup> The notice was rejected because the notice, which listed several shareholders as petitioners, purported to be filed on behalf of a party who did not authorize and was not aware of its filing. The transaction also appeared to be controversial and raised issues that made more scrutiny and the development of a more complete record necessary. Because of the questions raised as to the proper identity of the petitioners seeking authority, as well as the significant delay in seeking authority since 2009, ADBF was directed to submit either an application

or petition for exemption for continuance in control.<sup>5</sup>

ADBF states that it now seeks common control of the 3 Class III carriers that it has in fact controlled since 2000. According to ADBF, the purpose of the transaction was, and would continue to be, to facilitate efficient and economical operation of its short line railroad subsidiaries through centralized management, purchasing, operations, marketing, accounting, and similar functions.

*Passenger Service Impacts.* ADBF states that no lines handling passenger service have been or will be downgraded, eliminated, or operated on a consolidated basis. Although it provides passenger excursion service on certain lines, ADBF does not provide common carrier passenger, Amtrak, or commuter passenger service.

*Discontinuances/Abandonments.* ADBF states that there have been no discontinuances or abandonments of rail lines in the past and does not anticipate discontinuing service or abandoning any portion in the future.

*Financial Arrangements.* According to ADBF, no new securities were originally issued or need to be issued now, and no other financing was or will be required.

*Time Schedule for Consummation.* As stated above, ADBF assumed control of the 3 carriers in 2000 after spinning off the relevant lines to its 3 subsidiaries (which became carriers). ADBF states that it failed to realize at that time that Board authorization was required and now seeks belated approval.

*Public Interest Considerations.* According to ADBF, the transaction has not resulted and will not result in the lessening of competition, creation of a monopoly, or restraint of trade. The transaction's impact, ADBF states, is neutral, as it involves no changes in railroad operations. ADBF further stresses that the transaction involves a limited number of shippers, carloads, and revenues, as well as small carriers that do not compete with one another. Nor will the transaction, according to ADBF, result in a reduction in service or rail competitive options. Rather, since 2003, ADBF notes that it has experienced substantial growth with increased revenues, as well as an increase in the number of shippers served and carloads handled. ADBF also notes that it has made significant investments in track maintenance and signal upgrades.

*Environmental Impacts.* ADBF states that no environmental documentation is

required because there will be no operational changes that would exceed the thresholds established in 49 CFR 1105.7(e)(4) or (5) for requiring environmental review, and there will be no action that would normally require environmental documentation. ADBF further indicates that an historic report is not required because the transaction does not involve any changes in operations or plans to discontinue or abandon any service. It states that there are no plans to dispose of or alter properties subject to Board jurisdiction that are 50 or more years old.

*Labor Impacts.* ADBF states that, because the transaction involves only Class III carriers, no labor protection would apply under 49 U.S.C. 11326(c). ADBF further notes that the transaction has not impacted and will not impact any of its employees, as the transaction does not involve any change in operations.

*Application Accepted.* The Board finds that the transaction would be a "minor transaction" under 49 CFR 1180.2(c), and the Board accepts the application for consideration because it is in substantial compliance with the applicable regulations governing minor transactions. See 49 CFR part 1180; 49 U.S.C. 11321–26. The Board reserves the right to require the filing of further information as necessary to complete the record.

The statute and Board regulations treat a transaction that does not involve 2 or more Class I railroads differently depending upon whether the transaction would have "regional or national transportation significance." 49 U.S.C. 11325. Under our regulations, at 49 CFR 1180.2, a transaction that does not involve two or more Class I railroads is to be classified as "minor"—and thus not having regional or national transportation significance—if a determination can be made based on the application either: (1) That the transaction clearly will not have any anticompetitive effects; or (2) that any anticompetitive effects will clearly be outweighed by the transaction's anticipated contribution to the public interest in meeting significant transportation needs. A transaction not involving the control or merger of two or more Class I railroads is "significant" if neither of these determinations can clearly be made.

The Board finds the transaction to be a "minor transaction" because it appears on the face of the application that there would not be any anticompetitive effects from the transaction. The Board's findings regarding the anticompetitive impact are preliminary. The Board will give careful consideration to any claims

<sup>1</sup> See *Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.—Acquis. Exemption—Grand Trunk W. R.R.*, FD 33692 (STB served Dec. 28, 1998); *Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.—Acquis. Exemption—Grand Trunk W. R.R.*, FD 33718 (STB served Mar. 3, 1999); *Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.—Acquis. Exemption—Grand Trunk W. R.R.*, FD 33747 (STB served June 3, 1999).

<sup>2</sup> See *Charlotte S. R.R.—Acquis. & Operation Exemption—Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.*, FD 33937 (STB served Oct. 4, 2000); *Detroit Connecting R.R.—Acquis. & Operation Exemption—Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.*, FD 33935 (STB served Oct. 4, 2000); *Lapeer Indus. R.R.—Acquis. & Operation Exemption—Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.*, FD 33936 (STB served Oct. 4, 2000).

<sup>3</sup> *Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.—Acquis. & Operation Exemption—Tecumseh Branch Connecting R.R.*, FD 35035 (STB served Oct. 23, 2009).

<sup>4</sup> The notice of exemption included Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company in the title of the proceeding, but ADBF has sought authorization for its control in a separate proceeding. See *Adrian & Blissfield Rail Rd.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Jackson & Lansing R.R.*, FD 35410.

<sup>5</sup> *Arthur W. Single II—Continuance in Control Exemption—Charlotte S. R.R.*, FD 35253 (STB served Mar. 4, 2011).

that the transaction has had or will have anticompetitive effects that are not apparent from the application itself.

*Environmental Matters.* The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires that the Board take environmental considerations into account in its decision making.<sup>6</sup> Under both the Council on Environmental Quality's regulations implementing NEPA and the Board's own environmental rules, actions are separated into three classes that prescribe the level of documentation required in the NEPA process. Actions that may significantly affect the environment generally require the Board to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).<sup>7</sup> Actions that may or may not have a significant environmental impact ordinarily require the Board to prepare a more limited Environmental Assessment (EA).<sup>8</sup> Finally, actions, the environmental effects of which are ordinarily insignificant, may be excluded from NEPA review across the board, without a case-by-case review.

As pertinent here, an acquisition transaction normally requires the preparation of an EA or EIS where certain thresholds would be exceeded.<sup>9</sup> Applicants indicate that the thresholds for environmental review would not be exceeded here because the transaction did not and will not involve any operational changes that exceed the thresholds under 49 CFR 1105.7(e)(4) and (5) and that there will be no action that would normally require environmental documentation. Based on this information, it appears that environmental documentation and review are not required in this proceeding.

*Historic Review.* In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), the Board is required to determine the effects of its licensing actions on cultural resources.<sup>10</sup> The Board's environmental rules establish exceptions to the need for historic review in certain cases, including the sale of a rail line for the purpose of continued rail operations, where further Board approval is required to abandon any service and there are no plans to dispose of or alter properties subject to the Board's

jurisdiction that are 50 years old or older.<sup>11</sup> Applicants state that the proposed transaction fits within this exception. They assert that they have no plans to alter or dispose of properties 50 or more years old. Based on this information, it appears that historic review under the NHPA is not required in this case.

*Procedural Schedule.* The Board has considered ADBF's proposed procedural schedule, under which the Board would issue its final decision on August 2, 2011, 105 days after the application has been filed. ADBF did not provide any explanation for requesting such an expedited schedule, particularly given its delay in seeking approval. Accordingly, we will adopt a procedural schedule modified to conform more closely to the statutory provisions of 49 U.S.C. 11325(d) (allowing 30 days for comments on the application to be filed and 45 days for the Board to issue a final decision after the evidentiary proceedings end). The Board also notes that its decision will be effective on September 18, 2011, 30 days after its final decision is served. For further information regarding dates, see the Appendix (Procedural Schedule).

*Notice of Intent To Participate.* Any person who wishes to participate in this proceeding as a POR must file with the Board, no later than June 2, 2011, a notice of intent to participate, accompanied by a certificate of service indicating that the notice has been properly served on the Secretary of Transportation, the Attorney General of the United States, and Mr. Heffner (representing ADBF).

If a request is made in the notice of intent to participate to have more than 1 name added to the service list as a POR representing a particular entity, the extra name will be added to the service list as a "Non-Party." The list will reflect the Board's policy of allowing only 1 official representative per party to be placed on the service list, as specified in Press Release No. 97-68 dated August 18, 1997, announcing the implementation of the Board's "One Party-One Representative" policy for service lists. Any person designated as a Non-Party will receive copies of Board decisions, orders, and notices, but not copies of official filings. Persons seeking to change their status must accompany that request with a written certification that he or she has complied with the service requirements set forth at 49 CFR 1180.4 and any other requirements set forth in this decision.

*Service List Notice.* The Board will serve, as soon after June 2, 2011 as

practicable, a notice containing the official service list (the service list notice). Each POR will be required to serve upon all other PORs, within 10 days of the service date of the service list notice, copies of all filings previously submitted by that party (to the extent such filings have not previously been served upon such other parties). Each POR also will be required to file with the Board, within 10 days of the service date of the service list notice, a certificate of service indicating that the service required by the preceding sentence has been accomplished. Every filing made by a POR must have its own certificate of service indicating that all PORs on the service list have been served with a copy of the filing. Members of the United States Congress (MOCs) and Governors (GOVs) are not parties of record and need not be served with copies of filings, unless any MOC or GOV has requested to be, and is designated as, a POR.

*Service of Decisions, Orders, and Notices.* The Board will serve copies of its decisions, orders, and notices only on those persons who are designated on the official service list as either POR, MOC, GOV, or Non-Party. All other interested persons are encouraged to secure copies of decisions, orders, and notices via the Board's Web site at "<http://www.stb.dot.gov>" under "E-LIBRARY/Decisions & Notices."

*Access to Filings.* Under the Board's rules, any document filed with the Board (including applications, pleadings, etc.) shall be promptly furnished by the filer to interested persons on request, unless subject to a protective order. 49 CFR 1180.4(a)(3). Such documents are available for inspection in the Docket File Reading Room (Room 131) at the offices of the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., in Washington, DC. The application and other filings in this proceeding will also be available on the Board's Web site at "<http://www.stb.dot.gov>" under "E-LIBRARY/Filings."

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

*It is ordered:*

1. The application in FD 35498 is accepted for consideration.

2. The parties to this proceeding must comply with the procedural schedule adopted by the Board in this proceeding as shown in the Appendix.

3. The parties to this proceeding must comply with the procedural requirements described in this decision.

<sup>6</sup> The Board has the authority under 49 U.S.C. 11324(c) to attach environmental conditions to its approval of § 11323 transactions, including transactions subject to approval under § 11324(d). *Village of Barrington v. STB*, No. 09-1002, 2011 WL 869904 (DC Cir. March 15, 2011).

<sup>7</sup> See 49 CFR 1105.4(f), 1105.10(a).

<sup>8</sup> See 49 CFR 1105.4(d), 1105.10(b).

<sup>9</sup> See 49 CFR 1105.6(b)(4), 1105.7(e)(4) and (5).

<sup>10</sup> See 49 CFR 1105.8.

<sup>11</sup> See 49 CFR 1105.8(b)(1).

4. This decision is effective on May 18, 2011.

Decided: May 12, 2011.

By the Board, Chairman Elliott, Vice Chairman Begeman, and Commissioner Mulvey.

**Jeffrey Herzig,**  
Clearance Clerk.

### Appendix: Procedural Schedule

April 18, 2011 .....	Application and Proposed Procedural Schedule filed.
May 18, 2011 .....	Board notice of acceptance of application published in the <b>FEDERAL REGISTER</b> .
June 2, 2011 .....	Notices of intent to participate in this proceeding due.
June 17, 2011 .....	All comments, protests, requests for conditions, and any other evidence and argument in opposition to the application, including filings of DOJ and DOT, due.
July 5, 2011 .....	Responses to comments, protests, requests for conditions, and other opposition due. ADBF's rebuttal in support of the application due.
TBD .....	A public hearing or oral argument may be held.
August 19, 2011 .....	Final decision to be served.
September 18, 2011 .....	Final decision to become effective.

[FR Doc. 2011-12130 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35502]

#### Northern Plains Railroad, Inc.—Intra-Corporate Family Operation Exemption—Mohall Central Railroad, Inc.

Northern Plains Railroad, Inc. (NPR), a Class III rail common carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3) for a transaction within a corporate family. The transaction allows NPR to continue to operate the rail line of Mohall Central Railroad, Inc. (MHC), also a Class III rail carrier.<sup>1</sup> NPR currently operates the MHC line pursuant to an October 18, 2005 Operating Agreement with MHC;<sup>2</sup> however, since MHC became a Class III rail carrier, it has abandoned 2 segments of its rail line.<sup>3</sup> This transaction allows NPR to enter into a new agreement to continue to operate the remaining 19.31 miles of MHC's line, between milepost 48.19, near Munich, and milepost 67.5, near Calvin. NPR, MHC, and a third Class III rail carrier, Mohall Railroad,

Inc., are commonly controlled by Gregg Haug, a noncarrier individual.<sup>4</sup>

The transaction is expected to be consummated on June 1, 2011, the effective date of this exemption (30 days after the exemption was filed).

This is a transaction within a corporate family of the type specifically exempted from prior review and approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3). According to NPR, the transaction will not result in adverse changes in service levels, significant operational changes, or changes in the competitive balance with carriers outside the corporate family.

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under §§ 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here, because all of the carriers involved are Class III carriers.

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than May 25, 2011 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35502, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on Thomas J. Litwiler, Fletcher & Sippel LLC, 29 North Wacker Drive, Suite 920, Chicago, IL 60606.

<sup>4</sup> See Gregg Haug—Continuance in Control Exemption—N. Plains R.R., FD 34828 (STB served May 10, 2006).

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "<http://www.stb.dot.gov>."

Decided: May 12, 2011.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell,  
Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Jeffrey Herzig,**  
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2011-12164 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

May 13, 2011.

The Department of the Treasury will submit the following public information collection requirements to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 on or after the date of publication of this notice. A copy of the submissions may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding these information collections should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 11010, Washington, DC 20220.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before June 17, 2011 to be assured of consideration.

#### Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

*OMB Number:* 1545-NEW.

*Type of Review:* New collection.

*Title:* Request for Miscellaneous Determination.

*Form:* 8940.

*Abstract:* Form 8940 will standardize information collection procedures for nine categories of individually written

<sup>1</sup> See Mohall Cent. R.R.—Acquis. & Operation Exemption—Rail Line of BNSF Ry., FD 34759 (STB served Oct. 25, 2005).

<sup>2</sup> See N. Plains R.R.—Operation Exemption—Rail Line of Mohall Cent. R.R., FD 34780 (STB served Dec. 29, 2005) (serving notice that NPR will operate 69.15 miles of rail line owned by MHC, extending from milepost 3.75, near Lakota, N.D., to milepost 72.9, at Sarles, N.D.).

<sup>3</sup> See Mohall Cent. R.R.—Aban. Exemption—in Cavalier County, N.D., AB 1003 (Sub-No. 1X) (STB served Dec. 16, 2010) (serving notice that MHC will abandon the segment of its line between milepost 67.5, near Calvin, N.D., and milepost 72.9, at Sarles) and Mohall Cent. R.R.—Aban. Exemption—in Nelson, Ramsey, & Cavalier Counties, N.D., AB 1003X (STB served Oct. 29, 2007) (serving notice that MHC will abandon the segment of its line between milepost 3.75, near Lakota, and milepost 48.19, near Munich, N.D.).