

the applicant can meet the applicable performance standards for the special type of mining activity.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: Applicants for coalmine permits and State Regulatory Authorities.

Total Annual Responses: 195 permit applicants and 192 State regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 24,442.

Total Annual Non-Wage Costs: \$0.

Dated: July 13, 2011.

Stephen M. Sheffield,
Acting Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.
[FR Doc. 2011-18214 Filed 7-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-11-020]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: July 27, 2011 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 731-TA-457-A-D (Third Review)(Heavy Forged Hand Tools from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before August 10, 2011.
5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 20, 2011.

William R. Bishop,
Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.
[FR Doc. 2011-18859 Filed 7-21-11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby

given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Northstar Materials, Inc. (d/b/a Knife River Materials) & Knife River Corporation*, Civil No. 0:11-cv-01950-RHK-LIB, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota on July 18, 2011.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against Defendants, pursuant to Sections 301, 309 and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311, 1319 and 1344 to obtain injunctive relief and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging fill material into waters of the United States. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring the Defendants to restore the impacted areas and/or perform mitigation and to pay a civil penalty. The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please address comments to Ana H. Voss, Assistant United States Attorney, United States Attorney's Office, District of Minnesota, 600 United States Courthouse, 300 South Fourth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415 and refer to U.S.A.O. file number 2010v00217 and DJ #90-5-1-1-18739.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 202, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed at http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html.

Cherie L. Rogers,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 2011-18659 Filed 7-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a), Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on June 16, 2011, American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc., 101 Arc Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63146, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of Carfentanil (9743), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to manufacture small quantities of the listed controlled substance as radiolabeled compounds for biochemical research.

Any other such applicant, and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substance, may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections should be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion Control, Federal Register Representative (ODL), 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and must be filed no later than September 23, 2011.

Dated: July 19, 2011.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.
[FR Doc. 2011-18752 Filed 7-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated April 13, 2011, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 20, 2011, 76 FR 22146, Noramco, Inc., 500 Swedes Landing Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19801-4485, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

Drug	Schedule
Amphetamine (1100)	II
Phenylacetone (8501)	II

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Noramco, Inc. to manufacture the listed basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time.

DEA has investigated Noramco, Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 823(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: July 19, 2011.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-18750 Filed 7-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated April 11, 2011, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 19, 2011, 76 FR 21916, Mallinckrodt Inc., 3600 North Second Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63147, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of 4-Anilino-N-Phenethyl-4-Piperidine (8333), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to use this controlled substance in the manufacture of another controlled substance.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Mallinckrodt, Inc., to manufacture the listed basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Mallinckrodt, Inc., to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: July 19, 2011.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-18751 Filed 7-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 09-51]

Paul Weir Battershell, N.P.; Suspension Of Registration

On May 8, 2009, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Paul Weir Battershell, N.P. ("Respondent"), of Caldwell and Meridian, Idaho. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Respondent's DEA Certificates of Registration MB1090670 (for his Caldwell registered location) and MB1294711 (for his Meridian registered location), and the denial of any pending applications to renew or modify either registration, on the ground that his "continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest, as that term is defined in 21 U.S.C. § 823(f)." ALJ Ex. 1, at 1.

The Show Cause Order specifically alleged that from "July 2005 through at least August 2006," Respondent "prescribed and dispensed Human Growth Hormone and controlled substances, including anabolic steroids, to individuals for no legitimate medical purpose and outside the course of professional practice" in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 333(e) and 841(a)(1), as well as 21 CFR 1306.04(a). *Id.* at 1.

The Order further alleged that from September 2005 through August 2006, Respondent "failed to maintain proper security over [his] controlled substances by not maintaining a proper key control system, failing to maintain adequate supervision over fellow employees who handle[d] [his] controlled substances and failing to monitor the distribution of [his] controlled substances in violation of 21 CFR 1301.71." *Id.* The Order also alleged that "[i]n August 2005," Respondent "failed to record the transfer of another practitioner's controlled substances into [his] inventory, when that practitioner left the clinic where [Respondent] was employed," *id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. § 827(a)(3) and 21 CFR 1304.21); that "[i]n November and December 2005," he "failed to keep records of controlled substances [he] received, specifically Phentermine 30 mg"; and that "during calendar year 2005," Respondent further "failed to properly record the date on [his] dispensing records." *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. § 827(a)(3) and 21 CFR 1304.21 & 1304.22).

Finally, the Show Cause Order alleged that "[d]uring 2005 and 2006," Respondent "accepted controlled

substances from non-DEA registered sources (patients) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 844(a) and redistributed those illicitly obtained controlled substances to other patients in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)." *Id.*

On June 5, 2009, counsel for Respondent timely requested a hearing, and the matter was placed on the docket of the Agency's Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). ALJ Ex. 2. Following pre-hearing procedures, an ALJ conducted a hearing in Boise, Idaho on December 1-2, 2009. At the hearing, both parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence. Following the hearing, both parties submitted post-hearing briefs.

On April 9, 2010, the ALJ issued her Recommended Decision (also ALJ). Therein, the ALJ, after considering the five public interest factors, *see* 21 U.S.C. § 823(f), recommended that Respondent be granted a restricted registration and be admonished.

As to the first factor—the recommendation of the appropriate state licensing board—the ALJ found that while the Idaho Board of Pharmacy (Board) had previously placed Respondent on probation, there was "no pending action[] against" him and "the Board has made no recommendations with regards to his registration." ALJ at 34. As to the second factor—Respondent's experience in dispensing controlled substances—the ALJ found that "Respondent's actions as well as his own statements suggest that at the time of these infractions in 2006, [Respondent] was inexperienced, or at least unaware of numerous regulations relating to the security and inventory requirements for controlled substances under the [Controlled Substances Act]." *Id.* at 34-35. She further found that while Respondent claimed that he had "sought guidance but did not receive it * * * in some instances, when [he] did receive such guidance, he was still unable to follow it." *Id.* at 35. The ALJ thus concluded that "the record demonstrates that [Respondent's] past practices demonstrate a lack of knowledgeable experience in handling controlled substances." *Id.*

As to factor three—Respondent's conviction record for offenses related to the distribution or dispensing of controlled substances—the ALJ found that the "record contains no evidence of any convictions related to the handling of controlled substances." *Id.* The ALJ thus concluded that "this factor does not fall in favor of revocation." *Id.*

With respect to the fourth factor—Respondent's compliance with applicable State, Federal or local laws related to controlled substances—the