

with the Commission for market access in the United States.

(2) The pfd calculations must take into account the maximum longitudinal station-keeping tolerance, orbital inclination and orbital eccentricity of both the 17/24 GHz BSS and DBS space stations, and must:

(i) Identify each prior-filed U.S. DBS space station at whose location the coordination threshold pfd level of  $-117 \text{ dBW/m}^2/100 \text{ kHz}$  is exceeded; and

(ii) Demonstrate the extent to which the applicant's or licensee's transmissions in the 17.3–17.8 GHz band exceed the threshold pfd level of  $-117 \text{ dBW/m}^2/100 \text{ kHz}$  at those prior-filed U.S. DBS space station locations.

47 CFR 25.264(f)—The 17/24 GHz BSS applicant or licensee must modify its license, or amend its application, as appropriate, based upon new information:

(1) If the pfd levels submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section, are in excess of those submitted in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section at the location of any prior-filed or subsequently-filed U.S. DBS space station as defined in paragraphs (b)(1) and (d)(1) of this section, or

(2) If the 17/24 GHz BSS operator adjusts its operating parameters in accordance with paragraphs (e)(1)(ii) or (e)(2)(ii) of this section.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.*

[FR Doc. 2011–19151 Filed 7–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, the Federal Communications Commission invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s). Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) ways to further reduce the information burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments by September 27, 2011. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your PRA comments to Nicolas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), via fax at 202–395–5167, or via the Internet at [Nicholas\\_A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas_A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov), and to [Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov](mailto:Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov), Federal Communications Commission (FCC). To submit your comments by e-mail send them to: [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control No.:* 3060–0987.

*Title:* Section 20.18(l)(1)(i–iii) and 20.18(l)(2)(i–iii), 911 Callback Capability; Non-Initialized Handsets.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit and State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Number of Respondents:* 1,384 respondents; 226,384 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* .014396 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Third party disclosure requirements.

*Obligation to Respond:* Mandatory. Statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 154, 160, 201, 251–254, 303, and 332.

*Total Annual Burden:* 3,259 hours.

*Annual Cost Burden:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* There is no need for confidentiality.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission is seeking Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for an extension of this information collection (no change in the third party disclosure requirements). The Commission will submit this information collection after this 60 day comment period.

In 2003, the Commission modified 47 CFR section 20.18(l) to further improve the ability of public safety answering points (PSAPs) to respond quickly and efficiently to calls for emergency assistance made from non-service initialized wireless mobile handsets. Non-service-initialized wireless mobile handsets (non-initialized handsets) are not registered for service with any Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) licensee. A non-initialized handset lacks a dialable number, but is programmed to make outgoing 911 calls. The Commission addressed issues arising from the inability of a PSAP operator to call back a 911 caller who becomes disconnected when using a non-service-initialized wireless handset. These requirements also apply to manufacturers of 911-only handsets that are manufactured after May 2, 2004.

The third party disclosure requirements in this information collection under 47 CFR 20.18(l) are: Licensees that donate non-initialized handsets for purposes of providing access to 911 services and manufacturers of “911-only” handsets are required to program each handset with 911, plus the decimal representation of the seven least significant digits of the Electronic Serial Number (ESN), International Mobile Equipment Identifier, or any other identifier unique to that handset (911–xxx–xxxx). This unique number is conveyed to the PSAP when “911” is dialed. Secondly, 911 services and manufacturers of “911-only” handsets are required to affix to each handset a label which is designed to withstand the length of service expected, and which notifies the user that its handset can only be used to dial 911, that a 911 operator will not be able to call the user back, and that the user should convey the exact location of the emergency as soon as possible. Finally, licensees that donate non-initialized handsets for purposes of providing access to 911 services and manufacturers of “911-only” handsets donating non-initialized phones must institute education programs to inform users of the limitation of non-initialized handsets. An education program must include a

notice, giving a detailed explanation of such limitations, including distinctions between service initialized handsets and non-initialized handsets. Wireless carriers are given the flexibility to design and execute the education program which best responds to the individual needs of the carrier's service area.

The information will assist PSAPs by identifying incoming emergency calls originating from non-initialized handsets, thereby prompting the PSAP operators to obtain all the necessary information to locate and assist the caller. This is intended to reduce the delays in response time attributed to incidents without clear location identification. Similarly, the public education requirement, along with the labeling requirement, serves to advise consumers regarding the limitations of a non-initialized handset. They also serve to advise callers using non-initialized handsets that they must be sure to provide as much specific information to the PSAP operator as soon as possible regarding the location of the emergency situation, because there is no call back capability to a non-initialized handset.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19152 Filed 7-28-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 11-1216]

### Consumer Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission announces appointment of members and chairperson to its Consumer Advisory Committee (Committee) pursuant to its renewed charter. The Commission further announces the Committee's next meeting date, time, and agenda. The purpose of the Committee is to make recommendations to the Commission regarding matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission and to facilitate the participation of all consumers in proceedings before the Commission.

**DATES:** The meeting of the Committee will take place on August 17, 2011, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., at the Commission's Headquarters Building, Room TW-C305.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Marshall, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, (202) 418-2809 (voice or TTY), or e-mail [Scott.Marshall@fcc.gov](mailto:Scott.Marshall@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's document DA 11-1216, released July 20, 2011, announcing the appointment of members and chairperson to the Committee and the agenda, date and time of the Committee's next meeting. By public notice, DA-11-50, dated and released January 11, 2011, as published at 76 FR 3633, January 20, 2011, the Commission announced the renewal of the Committee's charter effective November 17, 2010. In addition, pursuant to the same public notice, the Commission solicited applications for membership on the Committee.

During the Committee's sixth term, it is anticipated that the Committee will meet in Washington, DC for a minimum of two (2) one-day plenary meetings per year. In addition, as needed, working groups or subcommittees will be established to facilitate the Committee's work between meetings of the full Committee. Members must be willing to commit to a two (2) year term of service, should be willing and able to attend a minimum of two (2) one-day plenary committee meetings per year in Washington, DC. Committee members are also expected to participate in deliberations of at least one (1) working group or subcommittee.

### Appointment of Members and Chairperson

By document DA 11-1216, the Commission appoints thirty-one (31) members to its Consumer Advisory Committee. Of this number, two (2) represent the interests of academia; eleven (11) represent the interests of consumers; six (6) represent the interests of the disability community; two (2) represent the interests of government/regulators; seven (7) represent the interests of industry, and three (3) represent the interests of tribal/low income/minority communities. The Committee's slate is designed to be representative of the Commission's many constituencies, and the diversity selected will provide a balanced point of view as required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act. All re-appointments are effective immediately and shall terminate November 17, 2012, or when the Committee is terminated, whichever is earlier.

The roster as appointed by Chairman Julius Genachowski is as follows:

Ms. Debra Berlyn, representing the National Consumers League, is re-appointed chairperson of the Committee.

Members by organization and primary representative name include:

AARP—Chris Baker  
American Consumer Institute—Stephen Pociask  
American Foundation for the Blind—Paul Schroeder  
Appalachian Regional Commission—Mark Defalco  
Benton Foundation—Cecilia Garcia  
Call For Action—Shirley Rooker  
Coleman Institute for Cognitive Disabilities—Clayton Lewis  
Consumer Action—Ken McEldowney  
Consumer Federation of America—Irene E. Leech  
Consumer Electronics Association—Julie Kearney  
Center for Media Justice—Amalia Deloney  
CTIA The Wireless Association—K. Dane Snowden  
Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Action Network—Claude Stout  
Digital Policy Institute—Barry Umansky  
Hearing Loss Association of America—Lise Hamlin  
Helen Keller National Center for Deaf-Blind Youth and Adults—Dorothy Walt  
Media Literacy Project—Andrea Quijada  
Montgomery County, MD, Office of Cable and Broadband Services—Mitsuko Herrera  
National Asian American Coalition—Mia Martinez  
National Association of Broadcasters—Joel Oxley  
National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates—Lawrence Daniels  
National Cable and Telecommunications Association—Rick Chessen  
National Consumer Law Center—Olivia Wein  
National Consumers League—Debra Berlyn (Chairperson)  
Native Public Media—Dr. Traci Morris  
Rochester Institute of Technology—Raja Kushalnagar  
Speech Communication Assistance by Telephone—Rebecca Ladew  
Time Warner Cable—Fernando R. Laguarda  
T-Mobile—Luisa Lancetti  
Utility Consumers' Action Network—Michael Scott  
Verizon Communications, Inc.—job share of Donna Rynex/Mary Crespy

### Meeting Date, Time and Agenda

The first meeting of the Consumer Advisory Committee under its renewed