proposed rule as required by 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3).

The abbreviated version shall also include pages 1 through 13 of the material titled "Mail Classification Schedule" appearing after the signature in Order No. 666 issued on February 7, 2011. This material describes the textual changes proposed to existing 39 CFR part 3020, subpart A.

As previously stated in paragraph III of this notice, all material, including the proposed four new appendices, appears on the Commission's Web site. For interested persons without access to the Internet, a copy of all material is available for inspection at the Postal Regulatory Commission, 901 New York Avenue, NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20268–0001. Reasonable alternative access also may be arranged by contacting the Commission's docket section at 202–789–6846.

It is ordered:

1. Docket No. RM2011–8 is established for the purpose of receiving comments on the Commission's proposal.

2. The Commission proposes to amend its rules of practice and procedure. The proposed amendments involve amending 39 CFR part 3020 Subpart A—Mail Classification Schedule.

3. Kenneth E. Richardson is designated as an officer of the Commission representing the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. Interested persons may submit comments by September 6, 2011.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** as directed in the body of this notice.

### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 3020

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–21015 Filed 8–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2011-0610; FRL-9452-9]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Adoption of Drum and Pail Coating Standards

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

# ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland (Maryland). This SIP revision includes amendments to the Code of Maryland (COMAR) 26.11.19.13, Volatile Organic Compounds from Specific Processes, Drum and Pail Coating. Maryland's SIP revision meets the requirement to adopt Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for sources covered by EPA's Control Techniques Guidelines (CTG) for Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Parts Coatings and will help Maryland attain and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 19, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA–R03–OAR–2011–0610 by one of the following methods:

A. *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. E-mail:

fernandez.cristina@epa.gov.

C. *Mail:* EPA–R03–OAR–2011–0610, Cristina Fernandez, Associate Director, Office of Air Program Planning, Mailcode 3AP30, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

D. *Hand Delivery:* At the previouslylisted EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2011-0610. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The *http://www.regulations.gov* Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly

to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the *http://www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 705, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Irene Shandruk, (215) 814–2166, or by e-mail at *shandruk.irene@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 22, 2011, the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) submitted to EPA a SIP revision concerning the adoption of the drum and pail coating standards found in the Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Parts Coatings CTG.

## I. Background

Section 172(c)(1) of the CAA provides that SIPs for nonattainment areas must include reasonably available control measures (RACM), including RACT for sources of emissions. Section 182(b)(2)(A) provides that for certain nonattainment areas, states must revise their SIPs to include RACT for sources of VOC emissions covered by a CTG document issued after November 15, 1990 and prior to the area's date of attainment.

CTGs are intended to provide state and local air pollution control

authorities information that should assist them in determining RACT for VOCs from various sources, including drum and pail coatings. In developing these CTGs, EPA, among other things, evaluated the sources of VOC emissions from this industry and the available control approaches for addressing these emissions, including the costs of such approaches. Based on available information and data, EPA provided recommendations for RACT for VOCs from drum and pail coatings.

In June 1978, EPA published a CTG for controlling VOC emissions from surface coating of miscellaneous metal and plastic products (EPA-450/2-78-015), which includes drum and pail coatings. This CTG discusses the nature of VOC emissions from this industry, available control technologies for addressing such emissions, the costs of available control options, and other items. EPA promulgated national standards of performance for new stationary sources New Source Performance Standards for miscellaneous metal and plastic products industry and EPA also

published a national emission standard for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for this industry.

In 2008, after conducting a review of currently existing state and local VOC emission reduction approaches for this industry, reviewing the 1978 CTG and the NESHAP for this industry, and taking into account the information that has become available since then, EPA developed a new CTG for miscellaneous metal and plastic parts, entitled *Control Techniques Guidelines for Miscellaneous Metal and Plastic Parts Coatings* (Publication No. EPA 453/R– 08–003).

The miscellaneous metal product and plastic parts surface coatings categories under section 183(e) of the CAA includes the coatings that are applied to the surfaces of a varied range of metal and plastic parts and products. Such parts or products are constructed either entirely or partially from metal or plastic. The VOC emissions from miscellaneous metal product and plastic parts surface coating processes result from the evaporation of the volatile components of the coatings and cleaning materials used in these operations.

#### **II. Summary of SIP Revision**

On June 22, 2011, MDE submitted to EPA a SIP revision (#11–04) concerning the adoption of the emission limits for drum and pail coatings, part of the EPA miscellaneous metal and plastic parts coatings CTG. EPA develops CTGs as guidance on control requirements for source categories. States can follow the CTGs or adopt more restrictive standards. Maryland has adopted EPA's CTG standards for drum and pail coating processes. These regulations are in COMAR 26.11.19, Volatile Organic Compounds from Specific Processes. Specifically, this revision amends the existing regulation in Section 26.11.19.13 to include emission limits for drum and pail coatings (Table 1). A detailed summary of EPA's review of and rationale for proposing to approve this SIP revision may be found in the Technical Support Document (TSD) for this action which is available on line at http://www.regulations.gov, Docket number EPA-R03-OAR-2011-0610.

## TABLE 1—DRUM AND PAIL COATING STANDARDS

Coating types	Pounds VOC/ gallon coating (minus water)	Kilogram VOC/ liter coating (minus water)
New, Exterior	2.8	0.34
New, Interior	3.5	0.42
Reconditioned, Exterior	3.5	0.42
Reconditioned, Interior	4.2	0.50

### **III. Proposed Action**

EPA is proposing to approve Maryland's SIP revision for adoption of the CTG standards for drum and pail coatings. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

## IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

• Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office

of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997); • Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed rule concerning Maryland's adoption of CTG standards for drum and pail coatings does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 1, 2011.

#### W.C. Early,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 2011–21098 Filed 8–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 300

[EPA-HQ-SFUND-1983-0002; FRL-9452-2]

## National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List: Deletion of the Barceloneta Landfill Superfund Site

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region II is issuing a Notice of Intent to Delete the Barceloneta Landfill Superfund Site (Site) located in Florida Afuera, Puerto Rico from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comments on this proposed action. The NPL, promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is an appendix of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). The EPA and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, through the Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board, have determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA, other than operation. maintenance, and five-year reviews, have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 19, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID no. EPA-HQ-SFUND-1983-0002, by one of the following methods:

• http://www.regulations.gov. Follow on-line instructions for submitting comments.

• *E-mail:* Luis E. Santos, Remedial Project Manager, *santos.luis@epa.gov.* 

• Fax: 787-289-7104.

• *Mail:* Luis E. Santos, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency, Region II, Caribbean Protection Division, Centro Europa Building, Suite 417, Ponce de León Ave., Stop 22, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00907–4127.

• *Hand delivery:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, Caribbean Protection Division, Centro Europa Building, Suite 417, Ponce de León Ave., Stop 22, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00907–4127. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID no. EPA–HQ–SFUND–1983– 0002. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at *http://* www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact vou for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the http:// www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statue. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in the hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, Superfund Records Center, 290 Broadway, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10007–1866, *Phone:* 212–637– 4308, *Hours:* Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.
  - Or
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, Caribbean Environmental Protection Division Centro Europa Building, Suite 417, 1492 Ponce de León Ave., Stop 22, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00907–4127, *Phone:* (787) 977– 5802, Hours: 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.— Monday through Friday (excluding holidays) Contact: Luis E. Santos

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Luis E. Santos, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, telephone at (787) 977–5824; fax at 787–289–7104; or e-mail at *santos.luis@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the "Rules and Regulations" Section of today's Federal Register, we are publishing a direct final Notice of Deletion of Barceloneta Landfill Superfund Site without prior Notice of Intent to Delete because we view this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this deletion in the preamble to the direct final Notice of Deletion, and those reasons are incorporated herein. If we receive no adverse comment(s) on this deletion action, we will not take further action on this Notice of Intent to Delete. If we receive adverse comment(s), we will withdraw the direct final Notice of Deletion, and it will not take effect. We will, as appropriate, address all public comments in a subsequent final Notice of Deletion based on this Notice of Intent to Delete. We will not institute a second comment period on this Notice of Intent to Delete. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

For additional information, see the direct final Notice of Deletion which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous waste, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923; 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.