

- **Respondents:** A national representative sample of all U.S. Citizens and U.S. Nationals, who are 16 years of age or older, that are eligible and entitled to a United States Passport product.

- **Estimated Number of Respondents:** 4,000 survey respondents per month.

- **Estimated Number of Responses:** 48,000 survey responses annually.

- **Average Hours per Response:** 10 minutes per survey.

- **Total Estimated Burden:** 8,000 hours annually.

- **Frequency:** Monthly.

- **Obligation to Respond:** Voluntary.

DATES: Submit comments to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for up to 30 days from August 29, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Direct comments to the Department of State Desk Officer in the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). You may submit comments by the following methods:

- **E-mail:**

oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. You must include the DS form number, information collection title, and OMB control number in the subject line of your message.

- **Fax:** 202–395–5806. **Attention:** Desk Officer for Department of State.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You may obtain copies of the proposed information collection and supporting documents from Edward M. Worthan, 2100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., SA–29, Room 3004, Washington, DC 20037, who may be reached on 202–663–1073 or at WorthanEM@state.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary to properly perform our functions.

- Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond.

Abstract of proposed collection:

The Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act requires the Secretary of Homeland Security, in discussion with the Secretary of State, to develop and implement a plan to require U.S. Citizens and U.S. Nationals to present a passport and/or other sufficient documentation of identity and citizenship when entering the United States.

The plan to require U.S. Citizens and U.S. Nationals to present a passport has

required the Office of Passport Services to obtain regular statistical data on issues that focus on, and are related to, travel and passport applications. This data will be used to monitor, assess, and forecast U.S. passport demand on a continuous basis. In support of these efforts, the Office of Passport Services will conduct monthly and incremental forecasts of national passport demand. This data will provide the ability for the Office of Passport Services to refine volume and timing estimates about passport demand, and will also provide the ability to gauge public reaction to economic and socio-demographic changes.

Methodology:

The Office of Passport Services will conduct monthly Passport Demand Forecasting Studies using multiple methodologies. Methodologies can include mail, Web/Internet, telephone, and mixed mode surveys to ensure that the Office of Passport Services reaches the appropriate audience and leverages the best research method to obtain valid responses. The survey data will cover an estimated 48,000 respondents annually and will include variables covering passport, travel, and socio-demographic variables of interest to the Office of Passport Services.

Dated: August 22, 2011.

Florence G. Fultz,

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Acting) Passport Services, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011–21994 Filed 8–26–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 7571]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition; Determinations:

“Seductive Luxury and Innovation: The Furniture of Abraham and David Roentgen”

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, Delegation of Authority No. 236–3 of August 28, 2000 (and, as appropriate, Delegation of Authority No. 257 of April 15, 2003), I hereby determine that the object to be included in the exhibition “Seductive Luxury and Innovation: The Furniture of Abraham and David Roentgen,” imported from

abroad for temporary exhibition within the United States, is of cultural significance. The object is imported pursuant to a loan agreement with the foreign owner or custodian. I also determine that the presentation for the purposes of scientific research of the object at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, NY, from on or about September 12, 2011, until on or about March 10, 2013, and the exhibition or display of the exhibit object at The Metropolitan Museum of Art, from on or about October 29, 2012, until on or about January 27, 2013, and at possible additional exhibitions or venues yet to be determined, is in the national interest. I have ordered that Public Notice of these Determinations be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of the exhibit object, contact Julie Simpson, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (*telephone:* 202–632–6467). The mailing address is U.S. Department of State, SA–5, L/PD, Fifth Floor (Suite 5H03), Washington, DC 20522–0505.

Dated: August 24, 2011.

J. Adam Erelli,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011–21993 Filed 8–26–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice To Rescind a Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), Ada County, ID

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Rescind notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that the Notice of Intent (NOI) published on January 13, 2004, at 69 FR 2040, to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a proposed highway project in Ada County, Idaho is being rescinded.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Peter Hartman, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, 3050 Lakeharbor Lane, Suite 126, Boise, ID 83703, *Telephone:* (208) 334–9180, ext. 116, or Mr. Wade Christiansen, District 3 Project Manager, Idaho Transportation

Department, District 3, P.O. Box 8028, Boise, ID 83714–8028, *Telephone:* (208) 334–8300, or Lisa Applebee, Three Cities River Crossing Project Manager, Ada County Highway District, 318 East 37th Street, Garden City, ID 83714, telephone (208) 387–6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The FHWA, in cooperation with Ada County Highway District (ACHD) and the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD), are rescinding the NOI to prepare an EIS that identifies an alignment for a transportation corridor that would connect State Highway 44/55 on the north with US 20/26 on the south. The proposed highway alternatives vary from approximately 1.5 to 3.0 miles in length and would provide four to six travel lanes. This alignment includes a new bridge across the Boise River. The study area is located in the northwestern part of the Boise Metropolitan Area, and borders or passes through portions of the cities of Boise, Eagle and Garden City as well as Ada County.

The NOI is being rescinded because the project scope has been changed and potential impacts from the new concept are not significant and do not warrant an EIS. Recommendations for improvements along this corridor are identified in the regional long-range transportation plan, “Communities in Motion,” prepared by the Boise-Nampa Metropolitan Planning Organization, Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) as revised and adopted by the COMPASS board in September 2010. The project was initiated with several concepts derived from previous planning efforts. Then a wide range of route options were initially developed for evaluation in the Corridor Preservation Study.

Public input, agency, and stakeholder coordination was conducted to solicit comments on the proposed action purpose and need, route options being considered and the alternative screening process. Public meetings were held on February 9, 2004, February 12, 2004, April 21, 2004 November 29, 2005, and December 1, 2005.

Six alternatives were advanced to the Draft EIS (DEIS) where more in-depth analysis was completed.

The DEIS was released for public review and comment on January 17, 2008. A Notice of Availability (NOA) was published in the **Federal Register** on January 18, 2008, at 73 FR 3464. A public hearing was held on February 13, 2008.

After the public hearing and comment period, ACHD reconsidered the

Preferred Alternative on July 21, 2010, and recommended a No-Build Alternative for the project. The basis for this decision centered on consideration of environmental and transportation planning factors in combination with the technical comments received on the DEIS. In cooperation with the FHWA and ITD, the ACHD had determined that improvements to existing roadways utilizing operational improvements that include intelligent transportation systems (ITS) technology such as closed caption television cameras, speed detectors, and other hardware and software improvements would meet project goals without exceeding available revenue. This alternative is anticipated to have no adverse impact on the human and natural environment.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action and all relevant issues are identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties regarding this action to rescind the NOI. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action should be directed to the ACHD at the addresses provided above. Comments must be received by September 28, 2011.

Issued on: August 23, 2011.

Ghassan G. Shanine,

Assistant Division Administrator, FHWA—Idaho Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–21968 Filed 8–26–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in Washington

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of limitation on claims for judicial review of actions by FHWA.

SUMMARY: This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). These actions relate to a proposed highway project, the State Route (SR) 99 Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Project, in the State of Washington. These actions provide approval for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency action on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before February 27, 2012. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a

time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randy Everett, Major Project Oversight Manager, Federal Highway Administration, Jackson Federal Building, 915 2nd Avenue, Room 3142, Seattle, WA 98104; *telephone:* (206) 220–7538; and *e-mail:* Randolph.Everett@dot.gov. The FHWA Washington Division's Oversight Manager's regular office hours are between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (Pacific Time). You may also contact Allison Hanson, ESO Mega Projects Director, WSDOT Alaskan Way Viaduct Office, 999 Third Ave., Ste. 2200, Seattle, WA 98104; *telephone:* (206) 805–2880; and *e-mail:* HansonA@wsdot.wa.gov. The AWV Project's regular office hours are between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. (Pacific Time).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the FHWA has taken final agency action by issuing approval for the following highway project: to replace the Alaskan Way Viaduct between S. Royal Brougham Way and Roy Street because it is seismically vulnerable and at the end of its useful life.

The four main components of the bored tunnel alternative include:

- Replacement of SR 99 between S. Royal Brougham Way and Roy Street with two lanes in each direction.
- Full northbound and southbound access to and from SR 99 via ramp connections at the tunnels' south portal north of S. Royal Brougham Way and the tunnels' north portal near Harrison and Republican Streets.
- Demolition of the existing viaduct along the Seattle waterfront.
- Decommissioning of the Battery Street Tunnel.

The action by the FHWA on this project, and the laws under which such action was taken, are described in the March 2004 Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), the July 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS, the October 2010 Supplemental Draft EIS, July 2011 Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS), August 2011 Record of Decision (ROD), and in other documents in the FHWA administrative record for the project. The Draft EIS, both Supplemental EIS's, Final EIS, ROD and other supporting documents in the FHWA administrative record are available by contacting the FHWA or the Washington State Department of Transportation at the addresses provided above. The Draft EIS, both Supplemental EIS's, Final EIS and ROD can be viewed and downloaded from