

responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

Bombardier, Inc.: Docket No. FAA–2011–0992; Directorate Identifier 2011–NM–126–AD.

Comments Due Date

- (a) We must receive comments by November 7, 2011.

Affected ADs

- (b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Bombardier, Inc. Model CL–600–2B16 (CL–601–3A, CL–601–3R, & CL–604 Variants) airplanes, certificated in any category, serial numbers 5301, 5302, 5305 through 5318 inclusive, 5320 through 5328 inclusive, 5331 through 5349 inclusive, 5351 through 5367 inclusive, 5369 through 5408 inclusive, 5410, 5412 through 5426 inclusive, 5428 through 5438 inclusive, 5440 through 5489 inclusive, 5491 through 5498 inclusive, 5500 through 5517 inclusive, 5519 through 5522 inclusive, and 5524 through 5665 inclusive.

Subject

- (d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 24: Electrical power.

Reason

- (e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

Three (3) events have occurred where the Air-Driven Generator (ADG) failed to provide power on CL–600–2B19 (CRJ) aeroplanes during their regularly scheduled operational/functional checks. An investigation revealed that in all cases, the silver-plated copper wires within the ADG power feeder cables were damaged due to galvanic corrosion. It was subsequently determined that the silver-plating is inadequate for this application.

In the event of damage to the power feeder cable wires, the ADG may not be able to provide emergency electrical power to the aeroplane.

Although there have been no reported failures to date on any CL–600–2B16 (604 Variant) aeroplanes, a sampling program carried out on these aeroplanes showed signs of microscopic galvanic corrosion on the ADG power feeder cable wires.

* * * * *

Compliance

- (f) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Actions

- (g) Within 72 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the ADG power feeder cable, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 604–24–024, dated January 31, 2011.

FAA AD Differences

Note 1: This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

Other FAA AD Provisions

- (h) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), ANE–170, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the ACO, send it to ATTN: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone 516–228–7300; fax 516–794–5531. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) *Airworthy Product:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

Related Information

- (i) Refer to MCAI Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA) Airworthiness Directive CF–2011–08, dated April 28, 2011; and Bombardier Service Bulletin 604–24–024, dated January 31, 2011; for related information.

Issued in Renton, Washington on September 16, 2011.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–24432 Filed 9–22–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Part 985

[Docket No. FR–5532–P–01]

RIN 2577–AC76

Revision to the Section 8 Management Assessment Program Lease-Up Indicator

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would amend HUD's regulations for the Section 8 Management Assessment program (SEMAP) to revise the process by which HUD measures and verifies performance under the SEMAP lease-up indicator. Specifically, HUD proposes to amend the existing regulation to reflect that assessment of a public housing agency's (PHA) leasing indicator will be based on a calendar year cycle, rather than a fiscal year cycle, which would increase administrative efficiencies for PHAs. This proposed rule would also clarify that units assisted under the voucher homeownership option or occupied under a project-based housing assistance (HAP) contract are included in the assessment of PHA units leased.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* October 24, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposed rule to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0500. Communications should refer to the above docket number and title. There are two methods for submitting public comments. All submissions must refer to the above docket number and title.

1. *Submission of Comments by Mail.* Comments may be submitted by mail to

the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410-0500.

2. Electronic Submission of Comments. Interested persons may submit comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. HUD strongly encourages commenters to submit comments electronically. Electronic submission of comments allows the commenter maximum time to prepare and submit a comment, ensures timely receipt by HUD, and enables HUD to make them immediately available to the public. Comments submitted electronically through the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site can be viewed by other commenters and interested members of the public. Commenters should follow the instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

Note: To receive consideration as public comments, comments must be submitted through one of the two methods specified above. Again, all submissions must refer to the docket number and title of the rule.

No Facsimile Comments. Facsimile (FAX) comments are not acceptable.

Public Inspection of Public Comments. All properly submitted comments and communications submitted to HUD will be available for public inspection and copying between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays at the above address. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, an appointment to review the public comments must be scheduled in advance by calling the Regulations Division at 202-708-3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339. Copies of all comments submitted are available for inspection and downloading at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laure Rawson, Director, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202-402-2425.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In 2005, the Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH) issued PIH Notice 2005-1, which implemented a policy for

voucher renewal funding based on a calendar year system as provided by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Pub. L. 108-447, 118 Stat. 2809, approved December 8, 2004). The 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act provides, in relevant part, that “the Secretary for the calendar year 2005 funding cycle shall renew such contracts for each public housing agency based on verified Voucher Management System leasing and cost data.” (See 118 Stat. 3295.) The 2005 PIH notice provides that “PHAs will receive monthly disbursements from HUD on the basis of the PHA’s calculated calendar year budget.” Since the issuance of this notice and consistent with the 2005 appropriations act, HUD has provided PHAs with renewal funding for their Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program on a calendar year basis. At the beginning of each calendar year, PHAs are notified of their funding amounts for the calendar year and they plan their voucher issuance and leasing according to that funding cycle.

In contrast to the process for measuring voucher management system leasing and cost data, the SEMAP lease-up indicator continues to measure a PHA’s lease-up rate on a fiscal year basis. The use of a calendar year for renewal funding, while using a fiscal year system for SEMAP measurements, has resulted in increased complexity for PHAs administering the voucher program and programmatic inefficiency. To eliminate such complexity, and reduce inefficiency in the voucher program resulting from two processes based on different periods of measurement, through this rule HUD would amend the SEMAP regulations to provide for the SEMAP lease-up indicator to be measured based on a calendar year funding cycle, rather than the existing fiscal year cycle.

This proposed rule would also clarify that units assisted under the voucher homeownership option or occupied under a project-based housing assistance (HAP) contract are included in the assessment of PHA units leased. These homeownership units and project-based voucher units have always been included in the assessment, but this is not explicit in current regulations.

II. Findings and Certifications

Justification for 30-Day Public Comment Period

It is the general practice of the Department to provide a 60-day public comment period on all proposed rules. However, the Department is shortening

its usual 60-day public comment period to 30 days for this proposed rule. This rule, which promotes consistency within HUD regulations, alleviates unnecessary administrative burdens for PHAs, and provides a more accurate reflection of PHA lease-up rates. Therefore, a 60-day public comment period prior to implementation is unnecessary, and to further delay implementation of this policy would be contrary to the public interest.

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reviewed this rule under Executive Order 12866 (entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review”). OMB determined that this proposed rule is a “significant regulatory action,” as defined in section 3(f) of the Order (although not economically significant, as provided in section 3(f)(1) of the Order). The docket file is available for public inspection in the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410-0500. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, please schedule an appointment to review the docket file by calling the Regulations Division at 202-402-3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed regulatory amendments will not impose any economic costs on nonprofit organizations. Rather, the sole purpose of the proposed rule is to bring HUD regulations in line with current PHA practice. This rule would also provide clarification for PHAs regarding units included in this measure.

Environmental Impact

This proposed rule does not direct, provide for assistance or loan and mortgage insurance for, or otherwise govern or regulate real property acquisition, disposition, leasing, rehabilitation, alteration, demolition or new construction, or establish, revise, or provide for standards for construction or

construction materials, manufactured housing, or occupancy. This rule is limited to the means by which PHAs lease-up rates are measured. Accordingly, under 24 CFR 50.19(c)(1), this proposed rule is categorically excluded from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321).

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order 13132 (entitled “Federalism”) prohibits, to the extent practicable and permitted by law, an agency from promulgating a regulation that has federalism implications and either imposes substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments and is not required by statute, or preempts state law, unless the relevant requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order are met. This rule does not have federalism implications and does not impose substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments or preempt state law within the meaning of the Executive Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) (UMRA) establishes requirements for federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on state, local, and tribal governments, and on the private sector. This rule does not impose any federal mandates on any state, local, or tribal government, or on the private sector, within the meaning of UMRA.

List of Subjects in 24 CFR Part 985

Grant programs—housing and community development, Housing, Rent subsidies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the preamble, HUD proposes to amend 24 CFR part 985 as follows:

PART 985—SECTION 8 MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (SEMAP)

1. The authority citation for part 985 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1437a, 1437c, 1437f, and 3535(d).

2. Revise § 985.3(n) to read as follows:

§ 985.3 Indicators, HUD verification methods and ratings.

* * * * *

(n) *Lease-up.* (1) This indicator shows whether the PHA enters into HAP contracts for the number of the PHA’s baseline voucher units (units that are contracted under an ACC) for the calendar year that ends on or before the

PHA’s fiscal year or whether the PHA has expended its allocated budget authority for the same calendar year. Units assisted under the voucher homeownership option and units occupied under a project-based HAP contract are included in the measurement of this indicator. Units and funding contracted under an ACC during the assessed calendar year and units and funding that are obligated for litigation are not included in the baseline number of voucher units.

(2) HUD verification method: Percent of units leased under a tenant-based or project-based HAP contract or occupied by homeowners under the voucher homeownership option during the calendar year that ends on or before the assessed PHA’s fiscal year, or the percent of allocated budget authority expended during the calendar year that ends on or before the assessed PHA’s fiscal year. The percent of units leased is determined by taking unit months leased under a HAP contract and unit months occupied by homeowners under the voucher homeownership option as shown in HUD systems for the calendar year that ends on or before the assessed PHA fiscal year and dividing that number by the number of unit months available for leasing based on the number of baseline units available at the beginning of the calendar year.

(3) *Rating:* (i) The percent of units leased or occupied by homeowners under the voucher homeownership option or the percent of allocated budget authority expended during the calendar year that ends on or before the assessed PHA fiscal year was 98 percent or more. 20 points.

(ii) The percent of units leased or occupied by homeowners under the voucher homeownership option or the percent of allocated budget authority expended during the calendar year that ends on or before the assessed PHA fiscal year was 95 to 97 percent. 15 points.

(iii) The percent of units leased or occupied by homeowners under the voucher homeownership option and the percent of allocated budget authority expended during the calendar year that ends on or before the assessed PHA fiscal year was less than 95 percent. 0 points.

* * * * *

Dated: August 19, 2011.

Sandra B. Henriquez,
Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing—HUD.

[FR Doc. 2011–24514 Filed 9–22–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

32 CFR Part 1900

Freedom of Information Act Regulations

AGENCY: Central Intelligence Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Consistent with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), as amended by the “Openness Promotes Effectiveness in our National Government Act of 2007,” and Executive Orders 13526 and 13392, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) has undertaken and completed a review of its public FOIA regulations that govern certain aspects of its processing of FOIA requests. As a result of this review, the Agency proposes to revise its FOIA regulations to more clearly reflect the current CIA organizational structure, record system configuration, and FOIA policies and practices and to eliminate ambiguous, redundant and obsolete regulatory provisions. As required by the FOIA, the Agency is providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments on these proposed regulations.

DATES: Submit comments on or before November 22, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to the Director, Information Management Services, Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, DC 20505 or fax to (703) 613–3020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph W. Lambert, (703) 613–1379.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Consistent with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), as amended by the “Openness Promotes Effectiveness in our National Government Act of 2007,” and Executive Order 13392, the CIA has undertaken and completed a review of its public FOIA regulations that govern certain aspects of its processing of FOIA requests. As a result of this review, the Agency proposes to revise its FOIA regulations to more clearly reflect the current CIA organizational structure, record system configuration, and FOIA policies and practices and to eliminate ambiguous, redundant and obsolete regulatory provisions. These proposed regulatory changes are intended to enhance the administration and operations of the Agency’s FOIA program by increasing the transparency and clarity of the regulations governing the Agency’s FOIA program.

The proposed regulations would establish the positions and responsibilities of the Agency’s Chief FOIA Officer, the FOIA Public Liaison