

Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

*B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?*

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

3. *Environmental justice.* EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low income populations, in the development, implementation, and

enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticide(s) discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

## II. What action is the Agency taking?

Under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) (7 U.S.C. 136p), at the discretion of the Administrator, a Federal or State agency may be exempted from any provision of FIFRA if the Administrator determines that emergency conditions exist which require the exemption. The Oregon Department of Agriculture has requested the Administrator to issue specific exemptions for the use of fipronil on turnip and rutabaga to control the cabbage maggot. Information in accordance with 40 CFR part 166 was submitted as part of these requests.

As part of the requests, the applicant asserts that an emergency situation exists based upon three factors:

1. A severe increase in cabbage maggot populations;
  2. Apparent increasing resistance of the maggot to the registered alternative; and
  3. Phytotoxicity of the registered alternative to emerging seedlings.
- The applicant states that significant economic losses will be suffered without adequate control of the cabbage maggot in turnip and rutabaga production. The applicant indicates that fipronil has been shown to provide excellent crop safety, and overall provides better control than the registered alternative.

The applicant proposes to make no more than one application at 4.16 fluid oz. of product per acre, to a maximum of 600 acres of rutabagas and turnips, for use of up to a potential maximum of 19.5 gallons of product. Applications would potentially be made from April 1 through September 30, 2012, in the Oregon counties of Clackamas, Marion, Multnomah, and Umatilla.

This notice does not constitute a decision by EPA on the applications themselves. The regulations governing section 18 of FIFRA require publication of a notice of receipt of an application for a specific exemption proposing a use which is supported by the IR-4 program and has been requested in 5 or more previous years, and a petition for

tolerance has not yet been submitted to the Agency. The notice provides an opportunity for public comment on the applications.

The Agency will review and consider all comments received during the comment period in determining whether to issue the specific exemptions requested by the Oregon Department of Agriculture.

## List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: April 19, 2012.

**Daniel J. Rosenblatt,**

*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10315 Filed 4-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9666-1]

### Proposed Issuance of a General NPDES Permit for Small Suction Dredging

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed issuance of a general permit.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is re-proposing to issue a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit (IDG-37-0000) to placer mining operations in Idaho for small suction dredges (intake nozzle size of 5 inches in diameter or a diametric equivalent or less and with equipment rated at 15 horsepower or less). When issued, the permit will establish effluent limitations, standards, prohibitions and other conditions on discharges from covered facilities. These conditions are based on existing national effluent guidelines, the state of Idaho's Water Quality Standards and material contained in the administrative record. A description of the basis for the conditions and requirements of the proposed general permit is given in the Fact Sheet. This is also notice of the draft § 401 Certification provided by the state of Idaho.

**DATES:** Interested persons may submit comments on the proposed issuance of the general permit to EPA, Region 10 at the address below. Comments must be postmarked by June 1, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the proposed General Permit should be sent to Director, Office of Water and Watersheds; USEPA Region 10; 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, OWW-130;

Seattle, Washington 98101. Comments may also be submitted by fax to (206) 553-0165 or electronically to [godsey.cindi@epa.gov](mailto:godsey.cindi@epa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Copies of the proposed general permit and Fact Sheet are available upon request. Requests may be made to Audrey Washington at (206) 553-0523 or to Cindi Godsey at (907) 271-6561. Requests may also be electronically mailed to: [washington.audrey@epa.gov](mailto:washington.audrey@epa.gov) or [godsey.cindi@epa.gov](mailto:godsey.cindi@epa.gov).

These documents may also be found on the EPA Region 10 Web site at <http://1.usa.gov/dredgedocs>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Executive Order 12866:* The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from the review requirements of Executive Order 12866 pursuant to Section 6 of that order.

*Regulatory Flexibility Act:* Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., a Federal agency must prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis "for any proposed rule" for which the agency "is required by section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), or any other law, to publish general notice of proposed rulemaking." The RFA exempts from this requirement any rule that the issuing agency certifies "will not, if

promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities." EPA has concluded that NPDES general permits are permits, not rulemakings, under the APA and thus not subject to APA rulemaking requirements or the RFA. Notwithstanding that general permits are not subject to the RFA, EPA has determined that this GP, as issued, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Dated: April 20, 2012.

**Michael A. Bussell,**

*Director, Office of Water & Watersheds,  
Region 10, U.S. Environmental Protection  
Agency.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10337 Filed 4-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Children and  
Families**

**Proposed Information Collection  
Activity; Comment Request**

**Proposed Projects**

*Title:* Developmental Disabilities  
Annual Protection and Advocacy  
Systems Program Performance Report.

**ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES**

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Developmental Disabilities Protection and Advocacy Program Performance Report .....	57	1	44	2,508

Estimated Total Annual Burden  
Hours: 2,508.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 370 L'Enfant Promenade SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. Email address: [infocollection@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:infocollection@acf.hhs.gov). All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed

collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to

*OMB No.:* 0980-0160.

*Description:* This information collection is required by federal statute. Each State Protection and Advocacy System must prepare and submit a program Performance Report for the preceding fiscal year of activities and accomplishments and of conditions in the State. The information in the Annual Report will be aggregated into a national profile of Protection and Advocacy Systems. It will also provide the Administration on Developmental Disabilities (ADD) with an overview of program trends and achievements and will enable ADD to respond to administration and congressional requests for specific information on program activities. This information will also be used to submit a Centennial Report to Congress as well as to comply with requirements in the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.

*Respondents:* Protection & Advocacy Systems.

comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

**Robert Sargis,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-10423 Filed 4-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Children and  
Families**

**Submission for OMB Review;  
Comment Request**

*Title:* State Council on Developmental Disabilities Annual Program Performance Report.

*OMB No.:* 0980-0172.

*Description:* A Developmental Disabilities Council Annual Program