

(A) To United States products and suppliers of such products; or

(B) To eligible products of another foreign country or instrumentality which is a party to the Agreement on Government Procurement referred to in section 101(d)(17) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (19 U.S.C. 3511(d)(17)) and suppliers of such products, shall be waived.

With respect to Colombia, this waiver shall be applied by all entities listed in the Schedule of the United States in Annex 9.1 of the Colombia TPA.

3. The designation in paragraph 1 and the waiver in paragraph 2 are subject to modification or withdrawal by the United States Trade Representative.

Ronald Kirk,

United States Trade Representative.

[FR Doc. 2012-10821 Filed 5-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-W2-P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Dispute No. WTO/DS436]

WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Regarding United States— Countervailing Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (“USTR”) is providing notice that on April 24, 2012, India requested consultations with the United States under the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization* (“WTO Agreement”) concerning countervailing measures regarding certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India. That request may be found at www.wto.org contained in a document designated as WT/DS436/1/Rev.1. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

DATES: Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before May 22, 2012, to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

ADDRESSES: Public comments should be submitted electronically to www.regulations.gov, docket number USTR-2012-0008. If you are unable to provide submissions by www.regulations.gov, please contact Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395-9483 to arrange for an alternative method of transmission.

If (as explained below) the comment contains confidential information, then the comment should be submitted by fax only to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395-3640.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Greta Milligan, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20508, (202) 395-3150.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO *Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes* (“DSU”). If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations within nine months after it is established.

Major Issues Raised by India

On April 24, 2012, India requested consultations concerning countervailing measures regarding certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India (Investigation C-533-821). (This request supersedes a prior request for consultations received from India on April 12, 2012.) India’s challenge addresses the Tariff Act of 1930, in particular sections 771(7)(G) and 776(b), as well as Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations, sections 351.308 and 351.511(a)(2)(i)–(iv). In addition, India challenges certain actions of the United States with respect to U.S. Department of Commerce countervailing duty determinations and the countervailing duty order related to certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India. The consultation “request covers the countervailing duties and other measures, if any, applied on the subject goods from India through any notice, determination, decision memorandum, order, or any other instrument issued by the United States from time to time in connection with case no. C-533-821.” A list of proceedings and actions subject to the consultation request is provided at Annex 1 to the request and includes determinations related to the original investigation, certain administrative reviews of the countervailing duty order, and a five-year “sunset” review of that order. Finally, the “request also covers all the amendments, replacements, implementing acts or any other related measure in connection with the measures” described above.

India alleges inconsistencies with Articles I and IV of the *General*

Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 and Articles 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22 and 32 of the *Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures*.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. Persons may submit public comments electronically to www.regulations.gov docket number USTR-2012-0008. If you are unable to provide submissions via www.regulations.gov, please contact Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395-9483 to arrange for an alternative method of transmission.

To submit comments via www.regulations.gov, enter docket number USTR-2012-0008 on the home page and click “search.” The site will provide a search-results page listing all documents associated with this docket. Find a reference to this notice by selecting “Notice” under “Document Type” on the left side of the search-results page, and click on the link entitled “Submit a Comment.” (For further information on using the www.regulations.gov Web site, please consult the resources provided on the Web site by clicking on “How to Use This Site” on the left side of the home page.)

The www.regulations.gov site provides the option of providing comments by filling in a “Type Comments” field, or by attaching a document using an “upload file” field. It is expected that most comments will be provided in an attached document. If a document is attached, it is sufficient to type “See attached” in the “Type Comments” field.

A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitter. Confidential business information must be clearly designated as such and the submission must be marked “BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page. Any comment containing business confidential information must be submitted by fax to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395-3640. A non-confidential summary of the confidential information must be submitted to www.regulations.gov. The non-confidential summary will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

(1) Must clearly so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as “SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page; and

(3) Must provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Any comment containing confidential information must be submitted by fax. A non-confidential summary of the confidential information must be submitted to www.regulations.gov. The non-confidential summary will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a docket on this dispute settlement proceeding accessible to the public at www.regulations.gov, docket number USTR–2012–0008.

The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute. If a dispute settlement panel is convened or in the event of an appeal from such a panel, the U.S. submissions, any non-confidential submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, received from other participants in the dispute, will be made available to the public on USTR's Web site at www.ustr.gov, and the report of the panel, and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body, will be available on the Web site of the World Trade Organization, www.wto.org. Comments open to public inspection may be viewed on the www.regulations.gov Web site.

Bradford L. Ward,

Acting Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2012–10818 Filed 5–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190–W2–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment on Surplus Property Release at Portsmouth International Airport at Pease, Portsmouth, NH

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Request for Public Comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of Title 49, U.S.C. Section 47153(d), notice is being given that the FAA is considering a request from Portsmouth International Airport at Pease to waive the surplus property requirements for 65.42 acres of airport property located at Portsmouth International Airport at Pease.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 4, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this document to Mr. Barry J. Hammer at the Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803, Telephone 781–238–7625.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Documents are available for review by appointment by contacting Ms. Lynn Marie Hinchee, Telephone 603–766–9286 or by contacting Mr. Barry J. Hammer, Federal Aviation Administration, 16 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts, Telephone 781–238–7625.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Aviation Administration is reviewing a request by Portsmouth International Airport at Pease to release 65.42 acres of airport property from surplus property obligations.

The State of New Hampshire is making improvements to approximately 3.5 miles of the Spaulding Turnpike extending from just north of Exit 1 in Newington to just south of the Dover Toll Plaza at Exit 6. The improvements to the roadway will include a new interchange directly into Pease International Tradeport for both north and south-bound traffic, and to service Portsmouth International Airport at Pease.

In addition to the acquisition in fee for a 37.37 acre parcel, further land will be impacted by construction as itemized below:

- (a) Permanent access easement—20,187 square feet
- (b) Permanent conservation easement—23.22 acres
- (c) Permanent utility easement—8,734 square feet
- (d) Temporary construction easement—8.47 acres

In addition to receiving fair market value of \$550,000 for interests in the aforementioned property, additional considerations of improvement to approximately 1,800 feet of Arboretum Drive will be made resulting in improved access to approximately 20 acres of airport industrial zoned land for development and revenue generating purposes.

Dated: Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts on April 19, 2012.

Bryon H. Rakoff,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, New England Region.

[FR Doc. 2012–10726 Filed 5–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in Connecticut

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.

SUMMARY: This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to the North Hillside Road Extension in Mansfield, Connecticut. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before October 24, 2012. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Amy Jackson-Grove, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, 628–2 Hebron Avenue, Suite 303, Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033; telephone: (860) 659–6703; email: Amy.Jackson-Grove@dot.gov. The FHWA Connecticut Division Office's normal business hours are 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. (eastern time). You may also contact Mr. Glenn Elliott, Environmental Protection Specialist, Federal Highway Administration, 628–2 Hebron Avenue, Suite 303, Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033; telephone: (860) 494–7577; email: Glenn.Elliott@dot.gov.