appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. The cash deposit rate will remain the companyspecific rate established for the subsequent and most recent period during which the respondents were reviewed.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the 16 Plaintiffs, revised dumping margins are as follows<sup>14</sup>:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- average margin (percent) (de mini- mis)
Amanda Foods (Vietnam) Ltd	0.26
Bac Lieu Fisheries Joint Stock Company	0.26
Cadovimex-Vietnam, aka Cadovimex Seafood Import-Export and Processing Joint Stock Company ("Cadovimex-Vietnam")	0.26
Cafatex Fishery Joint Stock Corporation ("Cafatex Corp.") aka Cantho Animal Fisheries Product Processing Export Enterprise (Cafatex), aka Cafatex, aka Cafatex Vietnam, aka Xi Nghiep Che Bien Thuy Suc San Xuat Khau Can Tho, aka Cas, aka Cas Branch, aka Cafatex Saigon, aka Cafatex Fishery Joint Stock Corporation, aka Cafatex Corporation, aka Taydo Seafood Enterprise.	0.26
Cam Ranh Seafoods Processing Enterprise Company ("Camranh Seafoods") aka Camranh Seafoods	0.26
Cuulong Seaproducts Company ("Cuu Long Seapro") aka Cuu Long Seaproducts Limited (Cuulong Seapro) aka Cuulong Seapro, aka Cuulong Seaproducts Company ("Cuulong Seapro") ("Cuu Long Seapro").	0.26
Danang Seaproducts Import Export Corporation ("Seaprodex Danang") aka Tho Quang Seafood Processing & Export Company, aka Seaprodex Danang, aka Tho Quang Seafood Processing And Export Company, aka Tho Quang, aka Tho Quang Co.	0.26
Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint Stock Company, aka Minh Hai Jostoco, aka Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stock Company ("Minh Hai Jostoco"), aka Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stock Company, aka Minh Hai Joint Stock Seafood Processing Joint-Stock Company, aka Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stock Co., aka Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stock Company Minh Hai Jostoco.	0.26
Minh Hai Joint-Stock Seafoods Processing Company ("Seaprodex Minh Hai") aka Sea Minh Hai, aka Minh Hai Joint-Stock Seafoods Processing Company.	0.26
Minh Hai Sea Products Import Export Company (Seaprimex Co), aka Ca Mau Seafood Joint Stock Company ("SEAPRIMEXCO") aka Seaprimexco Vietnam, aka Seaprimexco, aka Ca Mau Seafood Joint Stock Company (Seaprimexco).	0.26
Ngoc Sinh Private Enterprise, aka Ngoc Sinh Seafoods, aka Ngoc Sinh Seafoods Processing and Trading Enterprise	0.26
Nha Trang Seaproduct Company ('Nha Trang Seafoods")	0.26
Phu Cuong Seafood Processing and Import-Export Co., Ltd	0.26
Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company ("Fimex VN"), aka Sao Ta Seafood Factory	0.26
Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company	0.26
UTXI Aquatic Products Processing Corporation	0.26

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise during the POR from the 16 Plaintiffs based on the revised assessment rates calculated by the Department.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 7, 2012.

#### Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–14438 Filed 6–8–12; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-427-602]

Brass Sheet and Strip From France: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from GBC Metals, LLC, of Global Brass and Copper, Inc., dba Olin Brass, Heyco Metals, Inc., Aurubis Buffalo, Inc., PMX Industries, Inc., and Revere Copper Products, Inc. (the Petitioners), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from France. The period of review is March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012. Based on the withdrawal of request for review submitted by the Petitioners, we are

now rescinding this administrative review.

DATES: Effective Date: June 12, 2012.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Bezirganian or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1131 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On April 30, 2012, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from France covering the period March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012.¹ The review covers two companies: Griset SA and KME France (the Respondents). The Petitioners requested the review of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> All other rates determined in *Vietnam Shrimp AR3 Final* remain unchanged.

Request for Revocation in Part, 77 FR 25401 (April 30, 2012).

Respondents; no other party requested a review.

On May 14, 2012, the Petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of the Respondents.

#### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws at a later date if the Department exercises its discretion to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. The Petitioners withdrew their request within the 90-day deadline. Therefore, we are rescinding the review with respect to all companies.

## Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### **Notifications**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations

and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 5, 2012.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–14273 Filed 6–11–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-588-854]

### Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of this antidumping duty order.

**DATES:** Effective Date: June 12, 2012. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Cordell or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0408 and (202) 482–3019, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On August 28, 2000, the Department published the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan. <sup>1</sup> On June 1, 2011, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). <sup>2</sup>

As a result of this sunset review, the Department determined that revocation

of the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and, therefore, notified the USITC of the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail should the order be revoked.<sup>3</sup>

On June 4, 2012, the USITC published its determination, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain tin mill products from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United Sates within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>4</sup>

# Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are tin mill flatrolled products that are coated or plated with tin, chromium or chromium oxides. Flat-rolled steel products coated with tin are known as tin plate. Flatrolled steel products coated with chromium or chromium oxides are known as tin-free steel or electrolytic chromium-coated steel. The scope includes all the noted tin mill products regardless of thickness, width, form (in coils or cut sheets), coating type (electrolytic or otherwise), edge (trimmed, untrimmed or further processed, such and scroll cut), coating thickness, surface finish, temper, coating metal (tin, chromium, chromium oxide), reduction (single- or double-reduced), and whether or not coated with a plastic material. All products that meet the written physical description are within the scope of the order unless specifically excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of the order:

■ Single reduced electrolytically chromium coated steel with a thickness 0.238 mm (85 pound base box) (± 10%) or 0.251 mm (90 pound base box) (± 10%) or 0.255 mm (± 10%) with 770 mm (minimum width) (± 1.588 mm) by 900 mm (maximum length if sheared) sheet size or 30.6875 inches (minimum width) (± ½,6 inch) and 35.4 inches (maximum length if sheared) sheet size; with type MR or higher (per ASTM) A623 steel chemistry; batch annealed at T2 ½ anneal temper, with a yield strength of 31 to 42 kpsi (214 to 290

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order, 65 FR 52067 (August 28, 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year "Sunset" Review, 76 FR 31588, 31589 (June 1, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan; Final Results of the Second Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 76 FR 60001 (September 28, 2011) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan Investigation No. 731–TA–860 (Second Review), 77 FR 32998 (June 4, 2012), and USITC Publication 4325 (May 2012), tiled Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan Investigation No. 731–TA–860 (Second Review).