

Administration Order 7400.9V, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 9, 2011, effective September 15, 2011, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 Class D airspace.

* * * * *

ASO AL D Andalusia, AL [Removed]

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

ASO AL E5 Fort Rucker, AL [Amended]

Fort Rucker, Cairns AAF, AL
(Lat. 31°16'33" N., long. 85°42'48" W.)
Andalusia, South Alabama Regional Airport
at Bill Benton Field, AL
(Lat. 31°18'30" N., long. 86°23'32" W.)
Floralda Municipal Airport, AL
(Lat. 31°02'33" N., long. 86°18'42" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface within the area bounded by a line beginning at lat. 31°38'01" N., long. 86°23'30" W.; to lat. 31°45'01" N., long. 85°38'00" W.; to lat. 31°17'01" N., long. 85°26'00" W.; thence to lat. 31°04'01" N., long. 85°52'00" W.; to lat. 31°03'02" N., long. 86°11'04" W.; to and clockwise along the arc of a 6.5-mile radius circle of Floralda Municipal Airport to lat. 31°02'14" N., long. 86°26'10" W.; thence to the point of beginning, and within a 7-mile radius of South Alabama Regional Airport at Bill Benton Field.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on June 21, 2012.

Gerald E. Lynch,

*Acting Manager, Operations Support Group,
Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic
Organization.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16429 Filed 7-9-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 129

[Docket No. FAA-2009-0140; Amdt. No. 129-49]

RIN 2120-AJ45

Operations Specifications; OMB Approval of Information Collection

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; OMB approval of information collection.

SUMMARY: This document notifies the public of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB's) approval of the information collection requirement contained in the FAA's final rule, "Operations Specifications," which was published on February 10, 2011.

DATES: The rule published on February 10, 2011, became effective on April 11, 2011. However, because it contained new information collection requirements, compliance with the information collection provisions contained in § 129.7 was not required until they were approved. This document announces that OMB approval was received on April 5, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical questions contact Darcy D. Reed, International Programs and Policy Division, AFS-50, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington DC 20591; email: Darcy.D.Reed@faa.gov; Telephone: 202-385-8078. For legal questions contact Lorna John, Office of the Chief Counsel, Regulations Division, AGC-200, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; email: Lorna.John@faa.gov; Telephone: 202-267-3921.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 10, 2011, the final rule, "Operations Specifications" was published in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 7482). In that rule, the FAA clarified and standardized the rules for applications by foreign air carriers and foreign persons for part 129 operations specifications and established new standards for amendment, suspension, and termination of those operations specifications.

In the **DATES** section of the final rule, the FAA noted that affected parties were not required to comply with the new information collection requirements in § 129.7 until OMB approved the FAA's request to collect the information. Section 129.7 includes new provisions governing the application, issuance, and denial of operations specifications. That information collection requirement had not been approved by OMB at the time of publication.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, the FAA submitted a copy of the new information collection requirements to OMB for its review. OMB approved the collection on April 5, 2012, and assigned the information collection OMB Control Number 2120-0749, which expires on April 30, 2013.

This document is being published to inform affected parties of the approval, and to announce that as of April 5, 2012, affected parties are required to comply with the new information collection requirements in § 129.7.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 2, 2012.

Brenda D. Courtney,

Acting Director, Office of Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 2012-16840 Filed 7-9-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

15 CFR Parts 740, 742, 748, 750, 752, and 760

Export Administration Regulations

CFR Correction

In Title 15 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 300 to 799, revised as of January 1, 2012, make the following corrections:

- 1. On page 248, in § 740.1, correctly revise the heading of paragraph (d) to read "Shippers Export Declaration or Automated Export System Record".
- 2. On page 321, in § 742.15, move the note to introductory paragraph (b) from under (b)(3) to its correct location above (b)(1), and add the following note under the introductory paragraph of (b)(3):

§ 742.15 Encryption items.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) * * *

Note to introductory text of paragraph (b)(3): Once a mass market classification request is accepted in SNAP-R, you may export and reexport the encryption commodity or software under License Exception ENC as ECCN 5A002 or 5D002, whichever is applicable, to any end-user located or headquartered in a country listed in supplement No. 3 to part 740 as authorized by § 740.17(b) of the EAR, while the mass market classification request is pending review with BIS.

* * * * *

- 3. In Supplement No. 2 to part 742:
- A. On page 332, remove paragraphs (c)(10)(i)(A) and (B), and
- B. On page 336, add (c)(25)(i) to read as set forth below, and
- C. On page 336, remove paragraphs (c)(27)(i)(A) and (B).

Supplement No. 2 to Part 742—Anti-Terrorism Controls: North Korea, Syria and Sudan Contract Sanctity Dates and Related Policies

* * * * *

(c) * * *

* * * * *

(25) * * *

(i) A license is required for the following telecommunications equipment: (A) Radio relay systems or equipment operating at a frequency

equal to or greater than 19.7 GHz or “spectral efficiency” greater than 3 bit/s/Hz; (B) Fiber optic systems or equipment operating at a wavelength greater than 1000 nm; (C) “Telecommunications transmission systems” or equipment with a “digital transfer rate” at the highest multiplex level exceeding 45 Mb/s.

* * * * *

■ 4. On page 422, in § 748.5, in paragraph (b), add the third sentence to read “Designation of another party to receive the license does not alter the responsibilities of the applicant, licensee or exporter.”

■ 5. On page 446, in Supplement No. 2 to part 748, in paragraph (o)(3)(i), correct “E:2” to read “E:1”.

■ 6. On page 466, in § 750.7, in paragraph (c)(1)(ii), correct “quality” to read “quantity” and correct “tolerance” to read “tolerances”.

■ 7. On page 486, in Supplement No. 1 to part 752, in block 11, correct “SF ##” to read “SF #”.

■ 8. On page 487, in Supplement No. 3 to part 752, in block 6, correct “BIS–748P–B” to read “BIS–748P–A”.

■ 9. On page 568, in Supplement No. 7 to part 760, add the fourth paragraph to read as follows:

**Supplement No. 7 to Part 760—
Interpretation**

* * * * *

The United States person may also provide certain services in advance of the unilateral selection by the boycotting country, such as the compilation of lists of qualified suppliers, so long as such services are customary to the type of business the United States person is engaged in, and the services rendered are completely non-exclusionary in character (i.e., the list of qualified suppliers would have to include the supplier whose goods had previously been rejected by the boycotting country, if they were fully qualified). See § 760.2(a)(6) of this part for a discussion of the requirements for the provision of these services.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2012–16905 Filed 7–9–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1983

[Docket Number: OSHA–2010–0006]

RIN 1218–AC47

Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints Under Section 219 of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document provides the final text of regulations governing the employee protection (whistleblower) provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA). An interim final rule governing these provisions and request for public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on August 31, 2010. Three comments were received. This rule responds to those comments and establishes the final procedures and time frames for the handling of retaliation complaints under CPSIA, including procedures and time frames for employee complaints to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), investigations by OSHA, appeals of OSHA determinations to an administrative law judge (ALJ) for a hearing de novo, hearings by ALJs, review of ALJ decisions by the Administrative Review Board (ARB) (acting on behalf of the Secretary of Labor), and judicial review of the Secretary’s final decision.

DATES: This final rule is effective on July 10, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra Dillon, Director, Office of the Whistleblower Protection Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3610, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2199. This is not a toll-free number. This **Federal Register** document is available in alternative formats. The alternative formats available are large print, electronic file on computer disk (Word Perfect, ASCII, Mates with Duxbury Braille System) and audiotape.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA or the Act), Public Law 110–314, 122 Stat. 3016, was enacted on August 14, 2008.

Section 219 of the Act, codified at 15 U.S.C. 2087, provides protection to employees against retaliation by a manufacturer, private labeler, distributor, or retailer, because they provided to their employer, the Federal Government or the attorney general of a state, information relating to any violation of, or any act or omission the employees reasonably believe to be a violation of, any provision of an Act enforced by the Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission), or any order, rule, regulation, standard, or ban under any such Act. The statutes enforced by the Commission include the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), as amended by the CPSIA (15 U.S.C. 2051 *et seq.*), the Children’s Gasoline Burn Prevention Act (Pub. L. 110–278, 122 Stat. 2602 (2008)), the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (15 U.S.C. 1261 *et seq.*), the Flammable Fabrics Act (15 U.S.C. 1191 *et seq.*), the Poison Prevention Packaging Act (15 U.S.C. 1471 *et seq.*), the Refrigerator Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 1211 *et seq.*), and the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 8001 *et seq.*). These rules establish procedures for the handling of whistleblower complaints under CPSIA.

II. Summary of Statutory Procedures

CPSIA’s whistleblower provisions include procedures that allow a covered employee to file, within 180 days of the alleged retaliation, a complaint with the Secretary of Labor (Secretary).¹ Upon receipt of the complaint, the Secretary must provide written notice to the person or persons named in the complaint alleged to have violated the Act (respondent) of the filing of the complaint, the allegations contained in the complaint, the substance of the evidence supporting the complaint, and the rights afforded the respondent throughout the investigation. The Secretary must then, within 60 days of receipt of the complaint, afford the complainant and respondent an opportunity to submit a response and meet with the investigator to present statements from witnesses, and conduct an investigation.

¹ The regulatory provisions in this part have been written and organized to be consistent with other whistleblower regulations promulgated by OSHA to the extent possible within the bounds of the statutory language of CPSIA. Responsibility for receiving and investigating complaints under CPSIA also has been delegated to the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health (Secretary’s Order 1–2012 (Jan. 18, 2012), 77 FR 3912 (Jan. 25, 2012)). Hearings on determinations by the Assistant Secretary are conducted by the Office of Administrative Law Judges, and appeals from decisions by ALJs are decided by the ARB (Secretary’s Order 1–2010 (Jan. 15, 2010), 75 FR 3924 (Jan. 25, 2010)).