Guaranteed Payments,¹ plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon from the date of default with respect to such payments to and including the date payment in full is made by you pursuant to said Guarantee, at the rate of \_\_\_\_\_% per annum, being the rate for such interest accrual specified in such Note. Such payment is to be made at [state payment instructions of Noteholder].

All capitalized terms herein that are not otherwise defined shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Standard Terms and Conditions of the above-mentioned Guarantee.

[Name of Applicant]

By:

Name:

Title:

Dated:

#### Haven Cruz-Hubbard,

Attorney Advisor, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Agency for International Development.

[FR Doc. 2012–16638 Filed 7–10–12; 8:45 am]  ${\bf BILLING~CODE~M}$ 

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 948

[WV-119-FOR; OSM-2012-0013]

## **West Virginia Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are approving, on an interim basis, an amendment to the West Virginia regulatory program (the West Virginia program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA or the Act). West Virginia revised its Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act (WVSCMRA) to effect changes concerning the special reclamation tax and apportionment of this tax. This amendment is intended to increase and extend the special reclamation tax. Moreover, a specific portion of this tax will be allocated to the Special Reclamation Water Trust Fund for the purpose of designing, constructing and maintaining water treatment systems on forfeited mine sites. We are approving the reinstatement of the special reclamation tax, its increase to twentyseven and nine-tenths cents per ton of

clean coal mined, as well fifteen cents of the amount collected allocated for deposit to the Special Reclamation Water Trust Fund.

**DATES:** Effective Date: This rule is effective July 11, 2012. Comment Date: We will accept written comments until 4 p.m., EST August 10, 2012. If requested, we will hold a public hearing on August 6, 2012. We will accept requests to speak until 4 p.m., EST on July 26, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following two methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov. The proposed rule has been assigned Docket ID: OSM—2012—0013. If you would like to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, go to www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions.
- Mail/Hand Delivery: Mr. Roger W. Calhoun, Director, Charleston Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1027 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, West Virginia 25301. Please include the rule identifier (WV–119–FOR) with your written comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency Docket ID (OSM-2012-0013) for this rulemaking. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see "IV. Public Comment Procedures" in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document. You may also request to speak at a public hearing by any of the methods listed above or by contacting the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Docket: The interim rule and any comments that are submitted may be viewed via the internet at www.regulations.gov. Look for Docket ID OSM-2012-0013. In addition, you may review copies of the West Virginia program, this amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. You may also receive one free copy of this amendment by contacting OSM's Charleston Field Office listed below.

Mr. Roger W. Calhoun, Director, Charleston Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1027 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, West Virginia 25301, Telephone: (304) 347–7158. Email: chfo@osmre.gov.

West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Mining and Reclamation, Mr. Thomas L. Clark, 601 57th Street SE., Charleston, WV 25304, Telephone: (304) 926–0490.

In addition, you may review a copy of the amendment during regular business hours at the following locations:

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Morgantown Area Office, 604 Cheat Road, Suite 150, Morgantown, West Virginia 26508, Telephone: (304) 291–4004. (By Appointment Only).

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Beckley Area Office, 313 Harper Park Drive, Suite 3, Beckley, West Virginia 25801, Telephone: (304) 255–5265.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Roger W. Calhoun, Director, Charleston Field Office, Telephone: (304) 347–7158. Email: chfo@osmre.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the West Virginia Program
II. Description and Submission of the

Amendment III. OSM's Findings IV. Public Comment Procedures V. OSM's Decision

VI. Procedural Determinations

### I. Background on the West Virginia Program

Section 503(a) of the Act permits a State to assume primacy for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations on non-Federal and non-Indian lands within its borders by demonstrating that its program includes, among other things, "\* \* \* a State law which provides for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations in accordance with the requirements of the Act \* \* \*; and rules and regulations consistent with regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to the Act." See 30 U.S.C. 1253(a)(1) and (7). On the basis of these criteria, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the West Virginia program on January 21, 1981. You can find background information on the West Virginia program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and conditions of approval of the West Virginia program in the January 21, 1981, Federal Register (46 FR 5915). You can also find later actions concerning West Virginia's program and program amendments at 30 CFR 948.10, 948.12, 948.13, 948.15, and 948.16.

# II. Description and Submission of the Amendment

By letter dated April 27, 2012, and received on April 27, 2012, (Administrative Record Number WV–

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the event the Application for Compensation relates to Further Guaranteed Payments, such Application must also contain a statement of the nature and circumstances of the related loss.

1577), the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) submitted an amendment to its program under SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.). The amendment includes changes to the West Virginia Surface Coal Mining and Reclamation Act (WVSCMRA) as contained in Enrolled Senate Bill 579, relative to West Virginia's alternative bonding system. As detailed in WVDEP's April 27, 2012, Transmittal Letter, "Senate Bill 579 amends § 22-3-11 of the Code of West Virginia to implement actuarial recommendations relating to the continuing fiscal viability of the Special Reclamation Fund." Subsection 22-3-11(h)(1) of the WVSCMRA is substantively amended by increasing the amount of the special reclamation tax to twenty-seven and nine-tenths cents per ton of clean coal mined. The former special reclamation tax, effective as of July 1, 2009, required remittance of fourteen and four-tenths cents per ton of clean coal mined; the collection of this tax is eliminated and replaced with the aforementioned amount. Additionally, the amended language requires fifteen cents per ton of the collected twenty-seven and ninetenths cents per ton, be deposited in the Special Reclamation Water Trust Fund (the Fund). Historically, although not codified, WVDEP allocated three cents per ton of clean coal mined to finance the Fund, resulting in a severely underfunded account. It is forecasted that the imposition of the new rate enumerated in Senate Bill 579 will ease the strain placed on the Fund.

Formatting and style changes have been effectuated via Senate Bill 579. Former paragraph (h)(1) is revised to add a caption entitled: *Rate, deposits and review;* additionally, the paragraph has been segregated to add four subparts that incorporate all the former language.

## III. OSM's Findings

Effective upon publication of this interim rule, we are approving, on an interim basis, the revisions to Section 22-3-11(h)(1) of the WVSCMRA, which reinstates and increases the Special Reclamation Tax and adds revenue for the Fund, provided that the special reclamation tax may not be reduced until the Special Reclamation Fund and the Fund have sufficient moneys to meet the reclamation responsibilities required in this section. Since these revisions increase revenues to the State's alternative bonding system, we find that they do not render the State's program less effective than the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 800.11(e). Because our approval of these revisions is interim in nature, and in order to satisfy the public participation requirements

for approval or disapproval of State program amendments, we will accept comments on the reinstatement and increase in the Special Reclamation Tax and the additional funds allocated to the Fund in accordance with Section IV of this Federal Register notice. Following our review of the comments received, we will issue a final rule announcing the Director's final decision on the revisions to Section 22–3–1(h)(1) of the WVSCMRA that are the subject of this interim rule.

Pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act at 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), we find that good cause exists to approve the revisions to Section 22-3-11(h)(1) of the WVSCMRA on an interim basis without notice and opportunity for comment, because to require notice and opportunity for comment now would be contrary to the public interest in that it would delay the start of the collection of the increased Special Reclamation Tax. Enrolled Senate Bill 579 becomes effective under State law on July 1, 2012, and the public interest in the accomplishment of prompt and thorough reclamation of bond forfeiture sites, including water treatment of discharges from the sites, will be adversely affected if the twenty-seven and nine-tenths cents per ton special reclamation tax cannot be collected on and after that effective date. As explained above, the public will have an opportunity to comment on the reinstatement and increase in the special reclamation tax and the allocation of fifteen cents per ton of the tax allocated to the Fund, before we make a final decision.

#### **IV. Public Comment Procedures**

Under the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), we are seeking your comments on whether these amendments satisfy the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If we approve these revisions, they will become part of the West Virginia program.

## **Written Comments**

Send your written comments to OSM at one of the addresses given above. Your comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of your recommendations. We may not consider or respond to your comments when developing the final rule if they are received after the close of the comment period (see DATES) or sent to an address other than those listed above (see ADDRESSES).

#### **Availability of Comments**

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that the entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### **Public Hearing**

If you wish to speak at the public hearing, contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4 p.m. EST on July 26, 2012. If you are disabled and need reasonable accommodations to attend a public hearing, contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. We will arrange the location and time of the hearing with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak, we will not hold a hearing.

To assist the transcriber and ensure an accurate record, we request, if possible, that each person who speaks at the public hearing provide us with a written copy of his or her comments. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until everyone scheduled to speak has been given an opportunity to be heard. If you are in the audience and have not been scheduled to speak and wish to do so, you will be allowed to speak after those who have been scheduled. We will end the hearing after everyone scheduled to speak and others present in the audience who wish to speak, have been heard.

### **Public Meeting**

If there is only limited interest in participating in a public hearing, we may hold a public meeting rather than a public hearing. If you wish to meet with us to discuss the amendment, please request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, we will post notices of meetings at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. We will make a written summary of each meeting a part of the Docket for this rulemaking.

#### V. OSM's Decision

Based on the above findings, we are approving on an interim basis, the specific revisions outlined above to the West Virginia program as provided to us on April 27, 2012. To implement this decision, we are amending the Federal regulations at 30 CFR part 948, which

codify decisions concerning the West Virginia program. We find that good cause exists under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to make this interim rule effective immediately. Section 503(a) of SMCRA requires that the State's program demonstrate that the State has the capability of carrying out the provisions of the Act and meeting its purposes. Making this rule effective immediately will expedite that process. SMCRA requires consistency of State and Federal standards.

#### VI. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12630—Takings

This rule does not have takings implications. This determination is based on an analysis of the State submission.

Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments because each program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

Executive Order 13132—Federalism

This rule does not have Federalism implications. SMCRA delineates the roles of the Federal and State governments with regard to the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations. One of the purposes of SMCRA is to "establish a nationwide program to protect society and the environment from the adverse effects of surface coal mining operations." Section 503(a)(1) of SMCRA requires that State laws regulating surface coal mining and reclamation operations be "in

accordance with" the requirements of SMCRA, and Section 503(a)(7) requires that State programs contain rules and regulations "consistent with" regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to SMCRA.

Executive Order 13175—Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

In accordance with Executive Order 13175, we have evaluated the potential effects of this rule on Federally-recognized Indian tribes and have determined that the rule does not have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. The basis for this determination is that our decision is on a State regulatory program and does not involve a Federal regulation involving Indian lands.

Executive Order 13211—Regulations That Significantly Affect the Supply, Distribution, or Use of Energy

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211 which requires agencies to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for a rule that is (1) considered significant under Executive Order 12866, and (2) likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Because this rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 and is not expected to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement because section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5

U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State previously had a special reclamation tax of \$0.144 per ton of clean coal mined. The tax is used to reclaim bond forfeiture sites in the State. On March 30, 2012, the Governor signed into law a bill that reinstated and increased the special reclamation tax to \$0.279 per ton of clean coal mined and allocated \$0.15 of that tax to the Fund for the purpose of designing, constructing and maintaining water treatment systems at bond forfeiture sites. Upon full implementation, the increased tax rate of \$0.279 will yield approximately \$ 36 million annually in additional revenue for bond forfeiture reclamation. The \$0.15 per ton tax that is allocated to the Fund will provide approximately \$20 million annually for water treatment at bond forfeiture sites. The tax is payable by all coal operators mining coal in West Virginia, regardless of size.

 $Small\ Business\ Regulatory\ Enforcement$   $Fairness\ Act$ 

Based upon the above analysis and discussion, we have determined that this rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; (b) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and (c) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

#### Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of \$100 million or more in any given year. This determination is based upon the analysis performed under various laws and executive orders for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 948

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: May 9, 2012.

## Thomas D. Shope,

Regional Director, Appalachian Region.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR part 948 is amended as set forth below:

### **PART 948—WEST VIRGINIA**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 948 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201  $et\ seq.$ 

■ 2. Section 948.15 is amended by adding a new entry to the table in

chronological order by "Date of publication of final rule" to read as follows: § 948.15 Approval of West Virginia regulatory program amendments.

\* \* \* \* \*

Original amendment submission date

Date of publication of final rule

Citation/description of approved provisions

April 27, 2012 .....

July 11, 2012 .....

W. Va. Code 22-3-11(h)(1) (interim approval).

[FR Doc. 2012–16847 Filed 7–10–12; 8:45 am]

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 950

[SATS No: WY-042-FOR; Docket ID OSM-2012-0001]

### **Wyoming Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: We, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), are removing a disapproval codified in OSM regulations concerning a 1986 proposed amendment to the enforcement provisions of the Wyoming regulatory program (the Wyoming program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA or the Act). The disapproval is no longer necessary because Wyoming subsequently submitted and obtained approval of replacement regulations.

DATES: Effective Date: July 11, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey W. Fleischman, Telephone: (307) 261–6550, Email address: jfleischman@osmre.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Discussion of Final Rule II. Procedural Determinations

## I. Discussion of Final Rule

By letter dated March 5, 2010 (SATS number: WY–042–FOR, Administrative Record Docket ID No. OSM–2012–0001), Wyoming requested that we remove the disapproval at 30 CFR 950.12(a)(12) of the proposed 1986 revisions to Chapter XVII of the rules and regulations of the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ), Land Quality Division (LQD). Wyoming requests that we remove the disapproval because the state believes that retention of the disapproval is inconsistent with our subsequent approval of replacement rules for the disapproved amendment.

On May 1, 1986, the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ), Land Quality Division (LQD) submitted proposed amendments to its approved regulatory program under SMCRA. The revisions to Chapter XVII of the LQD Rules and Regulations proposed to incorporate the concept of "minor violations" into the rules on inspection and enforcement. The inspector could cite minor violations in inspection reports rather than through issuance of the more standard notice of violation form.

However, we found that the proposed amendment to Chapter XVII did not provide for adequate enforcement of the approved Wyoming program and therefore was less effective than the Federal regulations. Specifically, the Director found that the proposed amendment was "not adequately limited to violations which are only minor," did not "ensure that operators who repeatedly incur minor infractions or who do not abate the minor infractions in a timely manner will be formally cited," and did not "ensure that minor infractions beyond some specified threshold number will be considered for purposes of determining a pattern of violations" (51 FR 42209, 42216, November 24, 1986). We subsequently disapproved "[a]ll revisions to Chapter XVII, which would have introduced a new enforcement scheme." See 30 CFR 950.12(a)(12) and 51 FR 42209, November 24, 1986.

On March 31, 1989, the WDEQ submitted additional proposed revisions to Chapter XVII to resolve the issues resulting in the disapproval of the 1986 amendment concerning that chapter. We subsequently approved the proposed revisions, finding that the "proposed rule is consistent with and no less stringent than the requirements of SMCRA and the regulations adopted pursuant to SMCRA regarding enforcement." See 55 FR 30221, 30230, July 25, 1990. In our 1990 approval, we stated that "[e]xamples of minor violations that will be identified in the inspection report, but may or may not be subject to formal notice of violation, are listed in chapter XVII, section 2(f)(i) through (f)(ix)." We also specified that

"[o]nly those violations listed at that section may be noted in an inspection report" and "[a] formal notice of violation will be issued for all other violations." See 55 FR 30221, 30229.

Our approval in 1990 of Wyoming's 1989 proposed amendment to its enforcement rules meant that the disapproval at 30 CFR 950.12(a)(12) of the 1986 proposed amendment that the 1989 amendment replaced became moot. At Wyoming's request, we are removing 30 CFR 950.12(a)(12) in this final rule.

Removal of our disapproval of the 1986 proposed amendment does not alter the terms of our decisions on either the 1986 or the 1989 proposed amendments. Wyoming's March 5, 2010, letter confirms that the state has implemented and will continue to implement subsection 2(f) of its enforcement rules in a manner consistent with our 1990 approval of the 1989 proposed amendment. In other words, only those infractions listed in subsection 2(f) may be considered minor violations. All other violations will be cited by issuing a formal notice of violation.

#### **II. Procedural Determinations**

Administrative Procedure Act

We are publishing this final rule without prior public notice or opportunity for public comment. The Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 553, provides an exception to notice and comment requirements when an agency finds that there is good cause for dispensing with notice and comment procedures on the basis that they are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest. We have determined that, under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), good cause exists for dispensing with the notice of proposed rulemaking and public comment procedures for this rule.

Specifically, we have determined that notice and comment is unnecessary for this rule because it is nonsubstantive. As discussed above, this rule removes a now-moot provision concerning a proposed amendment to the Wyoming program that has since been replaced with a subsequent program amendment.