

USFS to the BLM for the following described NFS land which is currently withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the United States mining laws, but not the mineral leasing laws:

Principal Meridian, Montana

Fort Howes Work Center

T. 6 S., R. 45 E., section 24, and T. 6 S., R. 46 E., section 19, being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the E $\frac{1}{4}$ section corner of section 24, T. 6 S., R. 45 E., Principal Meridian Montana; thence S. 18°50'00" E., 317.36 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap at the point of beginning; thence N. 76°54'06" W., 405.51 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap; thence N. 41°06'39" W., 128.12 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap; thence N. 6°31'31" E., 56.77 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap; thence N. 28°24'35" E., 138.99 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap; thence N. 48°56'30" E., 326.99 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap; thence S. 76°44'47" E., 263.17 feet to a $\frac{5}{8}$ in. rebar with a plastic cap; thence S. 10°26'26" W., 530.30 feet to the point of beginning.

The area described contains 5.16 acres, more or less, in Powder River County.

The purpose of the proposed withdrawal modification and transfer of administrative jurisdiction is to protect the significant Federal investment in the administrative and fire facilities to be built. The BLM would be the primary agency with responsibility and liability for the uses and activities on the land.

The use of a right-of-way, interagency or cooperative agreement would not provide adequate protection.

There are no suitable alternative sites available.

Water will not be needed to fulfill the purpose of the withdrawal modification and transfer of administrative jurisdiction.

On or before December 3, 2012, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal modification and transfer of administrative jurisdiction may present their views in writing to the BLM Miles City Field Manager at the address above.

Comments and records relating to the proposed withdrawal, including names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review in the BLM Miles City Field Office at the address indicated above during regular business hours. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While

you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

This withdrawal modification application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR part 2300.

Gary P. Smith,

Acting Chief, Branch of Land Resources.

[FR Doc. 2012–21672 Filed 8–31–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS–IMRO–YELL–11188; 2310–0070–422]

Winter Use Plan, Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Yellowstone National Park

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of additional comment period for draft supplemental environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) announces the opening of an additional comment period on the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (Draft SEIS) for a Winter Use Plan for Yellowstone National Park, located in Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming.

DATES: The NPS will accept comments from the public for 30 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its notice of the additional comment period.

ADDRESSES: Information is available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/YELL> (click on the link to the 2012 Supplemental Winter Use Plan EIS), and at Yellowstone National Park headquarters, Mammoth Hot Springs, WY.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wade Vagias, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone National Park, WY 82190; telephone (307) 344–2035.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NPS has decided, in response to numerous requests from members of the public, to open an additional comment period on the Draft SEIS. The original comment period was open for 45 days, ending on August 20, 2012. The NPS Notice of Availability of the Draft SEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2012 (77 FR 38824–38825) and the EPA Notice of Availability, which

formally opened the comment period, was published on July 6, 2012 (77 FR 40037). The NPS held public meetings on the Draft SEIS in Jackson, Wyoming, on July 16, 2012; West Yellowstone, Montana, on July 17, 2012; Bozeman, Montana, on July 18, 2012; and Cody, Wyoming, on July 19, 2012. The NPS is opening an additional public comment period that will run for 30 days from the date the EPA publishes its notice of the additional comment period in the **Federal Register**.

Four alternatives are considered in the Draft SEIS. Alternative 1, the no-action alternative, would not permit public over-snow vehicle (OSV) use in Yellowstone but would allow for approved non-motorized use to continue. Alternative 1 has been identified as the environmentally preferable alternative. Alternative 2 would manage OSV use at the same levels as the 2011/2012 interim rule (318 best available technology (BAT) snowmobiles and 78 snowcoaches per day). Sylvan Pass would remain open. Alternative 3 would initially allow for the same level of use as alternative 2 (318 BAT snowmobiles and 78 snowcoaches per day), but would transition to snowcoaches only over a three-year period beginning in the 2017/2018 winter season. Upon complete transition, there would be 0 snowmobiles and up to 120 snowcoaches per day in the park, and Sylvan Pass would be closed.

Alternative 4 is the NPS preferred alternative. This alternative would manage OSV use by transportation events. A total of 110 transportation events would be allowed in the park each day. A transportation event would initially equal one snowcoach or one group of snowmobiles (average of 7 snowmobiles per group, averaged over the winter use season; groups could not exceed a maximum of 10 snowmobiles). Operators would decide whether to use their daily allocation of transportation events for snowmobiles or snowcoaches, but no more than 50 daily transportation events could come from snowmobiles. OSV use would continue to be 100 percent guided, with four transportation events per day (one per gate) of up to 5 snowmobiles each allocated for non-commercially guided access. BAT requirements for snowmobiles would remain the same as the BAT requirements in the 2011/2012 interim regulation until the 2017/2018 winter season, at which time additional sound and air emission requirements would be implemented. BAT requirements for snowcoaches would also be implemented beginning in the 2017/2018 season. If OSVs meet additional

established standards for air and sound emissions beyond those required for BAT, the group size of snowmobiles would be allowed to increase from an average of 7 to an average of 8 per transportation event, and snowcoaches would be allowed to increase from one to two snowcoaches per transportation event. These changes would allow for an increase in visitation while reducing transportation-generated noise and air impacts. Sylvan Pass would remain open.

If you wish to comment on the Draft SEIS, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. We encourage you to comment via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/YELL> (click on the link to the 2012 Supplemental Winter Use Plan EIS). You may also comment by mail to: Yellowstone National Park, Winter Use Draft SEIS, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone NP, WY 82190. Finally, you may hand deliver your comments to: Management Assistant's Office, Headquarters Building, Mammoth Hot Springs, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. Comments will not be accepted by fax, email, or in any other way than those specified above. Bulk comments in any format (hard copy or electronic) submitted on behalf of others will not be accepted.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 30, 2012.

Herbert C. Frost,

Associate Director, Natural Resource Stewardship and Science National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 2012-21829 Filed 8-31-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-CT-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-365-366 and 731-TA-734-735 (Third Review)]

Certain Pasta From Italy and Turkey; Institution of Five-year Reviews Concerning the Countervailing and Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Pasta From Italy and Turkey

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;¹ to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is October 4, 2012. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by November 19, 2012. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207), as most recently amended at 74 FR 2847 (January 16, 2009).

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 4, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 24, 1996, the Department of Commerce issued countervailing and antidumping duty orders on imports of certain pasta from Italy and Turkey (61 FR 38544). Following the first five-year reviews by

¹ No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 12-5-274, expiration date June 30, 2014. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.

Commerce and the Commission, effective November 16, 2001, Commerce issued a continuation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on imports of certain pasta from Italy and Turkey (66 FR 57703). Following the second five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective October 12, 2007, Commerce issued a continuation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy and Turkey (72 FR 58052). The Commission is now conducting third reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission's determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are Italy and Turkey.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original and subsequent five-year review determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Like Product* as all dry pasta. One Commissioner defined the *Domestic Like Product* differently in the original and expedited first five-year review determinations.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original and subsequent five-year review determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* as all domestic producers of dry pasta. One Commissioner defined the *Domestic Industry* differently in the original and expedited first five-year review determinations.

(5) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign