

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* E-Verify Program.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* No Agency Form Number; File OMB-18. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Business or other for profit. E-Verify allows employers to electronically verify the employment eligibility status of newly hired employees.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:*

- 65,000 respondents averaging 2.26 hours (2 hours 16 minutes) per response (enrollment time includes review and signing of the MOU, registration, new user training, and review of the user guides); plus
- 425,000, the number of already-enrolled respondents receiving training on new features and system updates averaging 1 hour per response; plus
- 425,000, the number of respondents submitting E-Verify cases averaging .129 hours (approximately 8 minutes) per case.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the*

*collection:* 3,587,275 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please visit the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. We may also be contacted at: USCIS, Office of Policy and Strategy, Regulatory Coordination Division, 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20529, Telephone number 202-272-1470.

Dated: September 5, 2012.

**Laura Dawkins,**

*Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.*

[FR Doc. 2012-22256 Filed 9-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9111-97-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5607-C-25]

#### Notice of Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request: Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** On August 8, 2012, at 77 FR 47430, HUD published [Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide].

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* November 13, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Reports Liaison Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, Room 9120 or the number for the Federal Information Relay Service (1-800-877-8339).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Brennan, Director, Office of Housing Assistance and Grant Administration, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-3000, extension 6732 (this is not a toll free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

*Title of Proposal:* Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide.

*OMB Control Number, if applicable:* 2502-0587.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:*

The Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide implements Section 524 of the Multifamily Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997 (MAHRA) (public law 105-65, enacted on October 27, 1997), which governs how expiring Section 8 project-based assistance contracts are renewed. The Section 8 contract renewal process is an essential component to preserving low income rental housing affordability and availability, while reducing long-term costs of project-based assistance. Project-based assistance contracts are renewed under MAHRA to protect tenants and preserve affordable housing for low and very low-income tenants. The Section 8 contract renewal process will provide housing protection for the low and very low-income tenants living in various United States communities.

The Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide sets forth six renewal options from which a project owner may choose when renewing their expiring Section 8 contract:

- Option One—Mark-Up-To-Market;
- Option Two—Other Contract Renewal with Current Rents at or Below Comparable Market Rents;
- Option Three—Referral to the Office of Affordable Preservation (OAHF);
- Option Four—Renewal of Projects Exempted From OAHF;
- Option Five—Renewal of Portfolio Reengineering Demonstration or Preservation Projects;
- Option Six—Opt Outs.

Owners should select one of six options which are applicable to their project and should submit contract

renewal on an annual basis to renew contract.

*Agency form numbers, if applicable:*  
Contract Renewal Request Form (HUD-9624)

OCAF Rent Adjustment Worksheet (HUD-9625)

Auto-OCAF Letter Option One and Three, (HUD-9626)

Auto-OCAF Letter Option Two and Four (HUD-9627)

Request to Renew Using Non-Section 8 Units in the Section 8 Project as a Market Rent Ceiling (HUD-9629)

Request to Renew Using FMR's as Market Ceiling (HUD-9630)

Use Agreement (HUD-9634)

Projects Preparing a Budget-Based Rent Increase (HUD-9635)

Basic Renewal Contract—One Year Term (HUD-9636)

Basic Renewal Contract—Multi-Year Term (HUD-9637)

Renewal Contract for Mark-Up-To-Market Projects (HUD-9638)

Housing Assistance Payment Preservation Renewal Contract (HUD-9639)

Interim (Full) Mark-to-Market Renewal Contract (HUD-9640)

Interim (Lite) Mark-To-Market Renewal Contract (HUD-9641)

Full Mark-To-Market Renewal Contract (HUD-9642)

Watch List Renewal Contract (HUD-9643)

Project Based Assistance Payments Amendment Contract Moderate Rehabilitation (HUD-9644)

Consent to Assignment of HAP Contract for Freddie Mac Financing (HUD-9648A)

Consent to Assignment of HAP Contract as Security to FNMA as Security for FNMA Credit Enhancement (HUD-9648D)

Consent to Assignment of HAP Contract as Security for Financing (HUD-9649)

Consent to Assignment of HAP Contract as Security for FNMA Financing (HUD-9651)

*Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response:* The number of burden hours is 24,603. The number of respondents is 25,324, the number of responses is 25,324, and the burden hour per response is 1 or less.

*Status of the proposed information collection:* This is a revision of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: August 28, 2012.

**Laura M. Marin,**

*Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing-Acting General Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. 2012-22378 Filed 9-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

#### Tribal Listening Sessions on Sacred Sites on Federal Lands

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Indian Affairs will conduct a listening session with Indian tribes to obtain oral and written comments concerning sacred sites located on Federal lands. This session in Tulsa, Oklahoma, is the sixth in a series of listening sessions held since the beginning of August.

**DATES:** The listening session will be held Tuesday, September 18, 2012. Written input/suggestions are due September 21, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice for the location of the tribal listening session. Submit comments by email to:

[consultation@bia.gov](mailto:consultation@bia.gov) or by U.S. mail to: Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, U.S. Department of the Interior, attn: Dion Killback, Mail Stop 4141 MIB, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dion Killback, Counselor to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, (202) 208-6939.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department, through the Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, intends to develop a policy to strengthen the protection of sacred sites on Federal lands. For many years the Department has received input on sacred sites and to that end, the Department is seeking specific input on, but not limited to, the following topics regarding sacred sites:

- Meanings of sacred sites and whether the Departments should attempt to define the term “sacred site” more definitively;

- Recognized leaders of tribal government and tribal spiritual leaders who should be included in the Department's determination of whether a site is considered “sacred” by a tribe.

- Cultural and social views of existing Departmental practices or policies that should be revised to protect sacred sites and steps necessary to make appropriate revisions;

- Development of potential Departmental practices or policies to protect sacred sites;

- How the Department should facilitate tribal access to tribally provided information regarding sacred sites.

The tribal listening session will be held at the following date and location. Please arrive early to allow time for security clearance and bring identification:

Date	Time	Venue
September 18, 2012 .....	9:00 a.m.–12:30 p.m..	Federal Building, 3rd Floor Courtroom, 333 South Boulder Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103.

Dated: August 31, 2012.

**Donald E. Laverdure,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2012-22355 Filed 9-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLUT030000-L17110000-PH0000-24-1A]

#### Notice of Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument Advisory Committee (GSENMAC) will meet as indicated below.