

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) ("Interim Final Rule"), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2). The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments initiated on or after March 14, 2011 if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁸ If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁹ If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 USC 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: October 23, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012-26800 Filed 10-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Public Law 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before November 20, 2012. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 12-038. Applicant: Ohio University, 166 Stocker Center, Athens, OH 45701. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** JEOL Ltd., Japan. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to study materials such as catalysts and polymers in the nanoscale range, towards applications in energy and environmental technologies. The instrument's high resolution and scanning mode will enable the investigation of the chemical structure, morphology and elemental distribution in different catalyst formulations. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. **Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:** September 26, 2012.

Docket Number: 12-040. Applicant: University of North Carolina Wilmington, 601 South College Rd., Wilmington, NC 28403-5915. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to examine the ultrastructure of biological materials

including isolated molecules such as DNA, virus particles, bacteria, isolated cells and complex tissues (epithelia, nervous tissue, muscle tissue and connective tissue). The magnification and resolutions of the instrument coupled with the montage creation feature make it possible to examine cellular and sub-cellular features over a wide field of view, thereby allowing more global analyses than previously possible. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. **Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:** September 21, 2012.

Docket Number: 12-041. Applicant: Institute for Imaging & Analytical Technologies, Mississippi State University, Clay Lyle Entomology Building, 100 Twelve Lane, Mississippi State, MS 39762. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** JEOL Ltd., Japan. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to study the crystal structure, defect characteristics, and elemental distribution/segregation of single crystals, interfacial voids, polymers, and composites. The instrument will also be used for the characterization of nanoparticles produced by wood, insect sensory receptors, and nanoscale interactions between the internal fauna of beetles, and the translocation of copper in Cu-tolerant fungus. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. **Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:** September 21, 2012.

Docket Number: 12-042. Applicant: Kansas State University College of Veterinary Medicine, K206 Mosier Hall 1800 Denison Ave., Manhattan, KS 66506. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to study mammalian cell cultures, and the toxic effects of exposure to nanoparticles of different compositions, size, shape and surface coatings. The interactions of these nanoparticles with the body will be investigated, via routes of entry such as topical, perioral, intravenous, inhalation, intramuscular or subcutaneous. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. **Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:** September 26, 2012.

Docket Number: 12-043. Applicant: Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 2111 East 96th St., Cleveland, OH 44106. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be

used to characterize differences between healthy and diseased tissues by studying the composition of their ultrastructure. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 2, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–044. *Applicant:* University of Colorado, 347 UCB Boulder, CO 80309. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to examine the consequences of mutations, experimental manipulations or disease states of cells and tissues in various organisms. It will also be used to locate specific proteins within the samples. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 9, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–045. *Applicant:* Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, 2460 Linden Lane Bldg #503, Silver Spring, MD 20910. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to observe ultrastructural evidence of infectious agents or to observe the ultrastructural pathology or cellular changes caused by infectious agents or therapeutic agents directed toward a certain cell type or cellular organelle. The objectives include observing how a certain microbe interacts with a cell, certain cellular population(s), or subcellular component; or to further provide ultrastructural classification of an organism. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 12, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–046. *Applicant:* Battelle Memorial Institute, 790 6th St, Richland, WA 99354. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to study the structure and chemistry of inorganic materials such as catalysts, semiconductors, and battery materials, to understand the structure-property or structure-activity of the materials and to provide a feedback loop between synthesis and characterization. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 12, 2012.

Dated: October 25, 2012.

Gregory W. Campbell

Director of Subsidies Enforcement, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–26798 Filed 10–30–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Decision of Panel.

SUMMARY: On October 25, 2012, the NAFTA Chapter 19 binational panel issued its decision in the review of the final results of the 2005/2006 antidumping administrative review made by the U.S. Department of Commerce, respecting Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada, NAFTA Secretariat File Number USA–CDA–2008–1904–02. The panel affirmed the U.S. Department of Commerce’s Final Determination with regard to the issue of zeroing in this matter. Copies of the panel’s decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen M. Bohon, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement (“Agreement”) establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* (“Rules”). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this

matter has been conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Panel Decision

On May 11, 2012, the binational panel affirmed the U.S. Department of Commerce’s final results of the administrative review determining that the Complainant’s sales were made at the same level of trade. The panel remanded to the U.S. Department of Commerce with regard to its practice of “zeroing” in the administrative review before the panel, with instructions to provide an explanation consistent with the remand orders in two previous cases. (*Dongbu Steel Co. Ltd. v. United States*, 635 F3d 1363 (Fed Cir. 2011); and *JTEKT Corp. v. United States*, 642 F3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2011)) On October 25, 2012, in accordance with Article 1904.8 of NAFTA, and for reasons set out in the panel’s written decision and related order, the panel affirmed the Department of Commerce’s Final Determination with regard to the issue of “zeroing” in this matter.

Dated: October 25, 2012.

Ellen M. Bohon,

U.S. Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2012–26694 Filed 10–30–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XC289

Receipt of an Application for Incidental Take Permit (16230)

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Supplemental Notice of receipt of application for incidental take permit; availability of draft conservation plan.

SUMMARY: NMFS has received an amended application for an incidental take permit (Permit) from the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries (NCDMF) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA). As required by the ESA, NCDMF’s application includes a proposed conservation plan designed to minimize and mitigate take of endangered or threatened species. The permit application is for the incidental take of ESA-listed adult and juvenile sea turtles associated with otherwise lawful commercial gillnet fisheries operating in inshore waters of North Carolina. The duration of the proposed permit is for 10 years. NMFS is providing this notice