

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on December 3, 2012.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-796") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf)). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 13, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-27950 Filed 11-15-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-815]

### Certain Projectors With Controlled-Angle Optical Retarders, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 24) terminating the above-captioned in its entirety based on withdrawal of the complaint.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on November 25, 2011, based on a complaint filed on October 21, 2011, as supplemented on November 2, 2011, by Compound Photonics Ltd. of London, United Kingdom and Compound Photonics U.S. Corporation of Phoenix, Arizona (collectively "Compound Photonics"). 76 FR 72722-23 (Nov. 25, 2011). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain projectors with controlled-angle optical retarders, components thereof, and products containing same by reason

of infringement of claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,829,027. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Corporation of America of New York, New York; and Sony Electronics Inc. of San Diego, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigation was named as a participating party.

On October 17, 2012, Compound Photonics filed a motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on withdrawal of the complaint. The motion stated that the respondents do not oppose the motion. On October 19, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion.

On October 19, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting Compound Photonics' motion pursuant to section 210.21(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)). No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 13, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-27914 Filed 11-15-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Delegation of Authority to the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On November 9, 2012, the Attorney General issued Attorney General Order No. 3350-2012, which delegates all of the power and authority of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division to the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division, unless any such power or authority is required by law to be exercised by the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division personally. With this delegation, the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division and the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil

Division will each, concurrently, have the same set of delegated powers.

**DATES:** Attorney General Order No. 3350–2012 became effective November 9, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Rosemary Hart, Special Counsel, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (202) 514–2027.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 509, “[a]ll functions of other officers of the Department of Justice and all functions of agencies and employees of the Department of Justice are vested in the Attorney General” but for exceptions not applicable here.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 510, the Attorney General has broad authority to “authorize[e] the performance by any other officer, employee, or agency of the Department of Justice of any function of the Attorney General.” Various powers and authorities have been delegated to the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division. *See, e.g.*, 28 CFR Ch. I, Pt. 0, Subpts. I, Y, App. to Subpt. Y; 28 CFR 15.4. This most recent delegation ensures that the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division and the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division will each, concurrently, have the same set of delegated powers, thereby enhancing efficient management of Civil Division operations.

The delegation order is a matter of internal Department management. Accordingly, the requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act for notice and comment and a delay in effective date are not applicable. See 5 U.S.C. 553. Although publication is not required, the Department has chosen to publish this notice to advise the public of this recent delegation.

The order is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and accordingly it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, the order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. See 5 U.S.C. 605(b). Nor will it have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, this order does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment pursuant to Executive Order 13132.

Attorney General Order 3350–2012 reads as follows:

“By virtue of the authority vested in me by law, including 28 U.S.C. §§ 509

and 510, I hereby delegate to the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division all the power and authority of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division, unless any such power or authority is required by law to be exercised by the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division personally.”

Dated: November 13, 2012.

**Rosemary Hart,**

*Special Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2012–27942 Filed 11–15–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–12–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”)

On November 9, 2012, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree (“proposed Decree”) with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania in the lawsuit entitled *United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection v. Cast Parts, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 12–1656.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The United States’ complaint names Cast Parts, Inc., Energy Control Systems, Inc., Gutierrez Machine Corporation, Kirschbaum-Krupp Metal Corporation, K&K Metal Recycling, LLC., Lavigne Manufacturing Co., M.J. Metal, Inc., Marshalltown Company, Metal Mart International, Inc., Middletown Aerospace Corporation, Mid-State Investment Company, Mid-State Machine Company, LLC, National Machine Company, Premco, Inc., Rolls-Royce Corporation, Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc., Johns Hopkins University, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, LLC, and Winter’s Performance Products as defendants. The complaint requests recovery of costs that the United States incurred responding to releases of hazardous substances at the Remacor Superfund Site in West Pittsburg, Lawrence County, Pennsylvania. All defendants signed the consent decree, and collectively agree to pay \$1,110,865.40 of the United States’ response costs. In return, the United States agrees not to sue the defendants under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a).

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the

proposed Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection v. Cast Parts, Inc. et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–09682/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email ...	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012–27874 Filed 11–15–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employee Benefits Security Administration

#### Exemptions From Certain Prohibited Transaction Restrictions

**AGENCY:** Employee Benefits Security Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Grant of Individual Exemptions.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains exemptions issued by the Department of Labor (the Department) from certain of the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA or the Act) and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code). This notice includes the following: D–11710, El Paso Corporation Retirement Savings Plan