

The supplemental plat, in 1 sheet, showing a correction to the area of former lot 1, section 13, Township 24 North, Range 63 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada under Group 922 was accepted March 18, 2013. This supplemental plat was prepared to meet certain administrative needs of the BLM.

3. The Plat of Survey of the following described lands will be officially filed at the Nevada State Office, Reno, Nevada on the first business day after thirty (30) days from the publication of this notice:

This plat, in 2 sheets, representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the south, west and north boundaries and portions of the subdivisional lines, the survey of a portion of the south boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of certain sections, Township 12 North, Range 28 East, of the Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, under Group No. 906, was accepted April 16, 2013. This survey was executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals and classifications, the requirement of applicable laws, and other segregations of record, these lands are open to application, petition and disposal, including application under the mineral leasing laws. All such valid applications received on or before the official filing of the Plat of Survey described in paragraph 1, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Applications received thereafter shall be considered in order of filing.

The surveys listed above are now the basic record for describing the lands for all authorized purposes. These surveys have been placed in the open files in the BLM Nevada State Office and are available to the public as a matter of information. Copies of the surveys and related field notes may be furnished to the public upon payment of the appropriate fees.

Dated: April 23, 2013.

**David D. Morlan,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Nevada.*

[FR Doc. 2013-10493 Filed 5-2-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**[NPS-IMR-BITH-12033:  
PX.PD109246K.00.1]**

### General Management Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Big Thicket National Preserve, Texas

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Big Thicket National Preserve, Texas.

**DATES:** The National Park Service will accept comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement from the public for 60 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes this Notice of Availability. Public meetings on the draft will be scheduled during the comment period. Interested parties are encouraged to check the park Web site and local media for information.

**ADDRESSES:** Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BITH>, in the office of the Superintendent, Doug Neighbor, 6044 FM 420, Kountze, TX 77625, 409-951-6801, and at the following location: Fire Management Office, 860 CR 1040, Woodville, TX 75997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Doug Neighbor, Superintendent, Big Thicket National Preserve, 6044 FM Road 420, Kountze, TX 77625, email address [BITH\\_Superintendent@nps.gov](mailto:BITH_Superintendent@nps.gov); 409-951-6801.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The document describes four management alternatives for Big Thicket National Preserve, including a no-action alternative and the National Park Service preferred alternative. The anticipated environmental impacts of those alternatives are also analyzed. *Alternative 1*, the no-action alternative, would extend existing conditions and management trends into the future. This alternative serves as a basis of comparison for evaluating the action alternatives. *Alternative 2*, the NPS preferred alternative, would support a broad ecosystem approach for preserve management using partnerships and collaboration. This alternative recognizes the challenges associated with management of cross-boundary resource issues and the importance of

encouraging partnerships to address and resolve resource issues. The National Park Service would proactively engage in regional planning and policy efforts for the benefit of resource protection, compatible visitor use, and other issues both within and outside the preserve boundaries. Initiatives that advance the long-term protection of the preserve's natural resources would receive the primary focus of management attention and funding. Appropriate visitor uses and experiences would also be improved and expanded. Preserve staff would expand and encourage new partnership agreements with outside public and private organizations. *Alternative 3* would emphasize natural resource preservation and research while providing self-reliant recreational opportunities. *Alternative 4* would seek to increase the importance of the preserve and the National Park Service to the people in the communities of southeast Texas and to visitors from all over the world. If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You are encouraged to submit comments via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BITH>. You may also mail comments to National Park Service, Denver Service Center—Erin Flanagan, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225. Finally, you may hand-deliver comments to 6044 FM Road 420, Kountze, TX 77625. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: January 15, 2013.

**John Wessels,**

*Regional Director, Intermountain Region,  
National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-10423 Filed 5-2-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-CB-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[USITC SE-13-009]**

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** May 10, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731–TA–1206 (Preliminary) (Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products from Japan). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 13, 2013; Commissioners' opinions are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 20, 2013.

5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: May 1, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**William R. Bishop,**  
*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013–10648 Filed 5–1–13; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–805]

### Certain Devices for Improving Uniformity Used in a Backlight Module and Components Thereof and Products Containing Same; Commission Decision To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination on Remand Finding No Violation of Section 337 and on Review To Affirm With Modification; Termination of Investigation With a Finding of No Violation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review-in-part the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") final initial determination on remand ("Remand ID") issued on February 28, 2013, finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, (as amended), 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), in the above-captioned investigation, and on review, to affirm the Remand ID's finding of no violation of section 337

with modification. The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 14, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Industrial Technology Research Institute of Hsinchu, Taiwan and ITRI International Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively "ITRI"). 76 FR 56796–97 (Sept. 14, 2011). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain devices for improving uniformity used in a backlight module and components thereof and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,883,932 ("the '932 patent"). The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents LG Corporation of Seoul, Republic of Korea; LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, Republic of Korea; and LG Electronics, U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a participating party. The complaint was later amended to add respondents LG Display Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea and LG Display America, Inc. of San Jose, California to the investigation. Notice (Feb. 2, 2012); Order No. 11 (Jan. 19, 2012). The Commission later terminated LG Corporation from the investigation. Notice (July 13, 2012); Order No. 18 (June 22, 2012).

On October 22, 2012, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("Final

ID"), finding no violation of section 337 as to the '932 patent. The ID included the ALJ's recommended determination ("RD") on remedy and bonding. In particular, the ALJ found that claims 6, 9 and 10 of the '932 patent are not infringed literally or under the Doctrine of Equivalents by the accused products under his construction of the claim limitation "structured arc sheet" found in claim 6. The ALJ also found that ITRI's domestic industry product does not satisfy the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. The ALJ did find, however, that ITRI has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(A) and (B). Because he found no infringement and no domestic industry, the ALJ did not reach the issues of patent validity or enforceability. In the event the Commission found a violation of section 337, the ALJ recommended that the appropriate remedy is a limited exclusion order barring entry of LG's infringing products. The ALJ also recommended issuance of cease and desist orders against LG Electronics USA and LG Display America. The ALJ further recommended that LG be required to post a bond of one percent of the entered value of each infringing product during the period of Presidential review.

On November 5, 2012, ITRI filed a petition for review of certain aspects of the Final ID. Also on November 5, 2012, participating respondents LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., and LG Display America, Inc. (collectively "LG") filed a contingent petition for review of certain aspects of the ID. No post-RD statements on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) or in response to the post-RD Commission Notice issued on October 24, 2012, were filed. *See* 77 FR 65579 (Oct. 29, 2012).

On December 21, 2012, the Commission determined to review the Final ID in its entirety and to remand-in-part to the ALJ to consider the issues of invalidity and patent unenforceability. 77 FR 77092–7093 (Dec. 31, 2012). On January 29, 2013, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 22) extending the target date for completion of the investigation by four months to June 28, 2013. *See* Notice (Jan. 29, 2013); Order No. 22 (Jan. 9, 2013).

On February 28, 2013, the ALJ issued his Remand ID, finding no violation of section 337. In particular, the ALJ found that the asserted claims of the '932 patent are invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102. He further found that the