eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of ICG Knott County Coal, LLC, a subsidiary of ICG, Inc., Kite, Kentucky (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on April 30, 2013. The workers' firm is engaged in activities related to the production of bituminous coal.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that imports of articles like or directly competitive with the articles produced by the workers did not increase during the relevant period; neither the subject firm nor its major customers increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with the articles produced by the subject workers; the subject firm did not shift production of like or directly competitive articles to a foreign country, and did not acquire production of like or directly competitive articles from a foreign country; the subject firm is neither a Supplier nor Downstream Producer to a firm (or subdivision, whichever is applicable) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a); and the subject firm has not been publically identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in an affirmative finding of serious injury, market disruption, or material injury, or threat thereof.

The request for reconsideration included new information regarding the articles produced by the petitioning worker group.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and will conduct further investigation to determine if workers have met the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of May, 2013.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013-12736 Filed 5-29-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,471]

Amantea Nonwovens, LLC, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Express Employment Professionals, The Job Store, and Staffmark, Cincinnati, Ohio; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 25, 2013, applicable to workers of Amantea Nonwovens, L.L.C. including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals and The Job Store, Cincinnati, Ohio. The workers are engaged in activities related to the production of nonwoven diaper components. The notice was published in the Federal Register on March 26, 2013 (78 FR 18367).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information from the company shows that workers leased from Staffmark were employed on-site at the Cincinnati, Ohio location of Amantea Nonwovens, L.L.C. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of Amantea Nonwovens, L.L.C. to be considered leased workers.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by a shift in the production of nonwoven diaper components to China.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Staffmark working on-site at the Cincinnati, Ohio location of the subject firm.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–82,471 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers from Amantea Nonwovens, L.L.C. including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals, The Job Store and Staffmark, Cincinnati, Ohio, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 18, 2012, through March February 25, 2015, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of May 2013.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013–12731 Filed 5–29–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of May 6, 2013 through May 10, 2013.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and

- (3) One of the following must be satisfied:
- (A) imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
- (B) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;
- (C) imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased:
- (D) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and
- (4) the increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separation

or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm;

II. Section 222(a)(2)(B) all of the following must be satisfied:

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) One of the following must be

satisfied:

- (A) there has been a shift by the workers' firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers' firm;
- (B) there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers' firm; and

(3) the shift/acquisition contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in public agencies and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in the public agency have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the public agency has acquired from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with services which are supplied by such agency; and

(3) the acquisition of services contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected secondary workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(c) of the Act must be met.

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the workers' firm is a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, and such supply or production is related to the article or service that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

- (A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied to the firm described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or
- (B) a loss of business by the workers' firm with the firm described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in firms identified by the International Trade Commission and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(f) of the Act must be met.

(1) the workers' firm is publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in—

- (A) an affirmative determination of serious injury or threat thereof under section 202(b)(1);
- (B) an affirmative determination of market disruption or threat thereof under section 421(b)(1); or
- (C) an affirmative final determination of material injury or threat thereof under section 705(b)(1)(A) or 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(1)(A) and 1673d(b)(1)(A));
- (2) the petition is filed during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which—
- (A) a summary of the report submitted to the President by the International Trade Commission under section 202(f)(1) with respect to the affirmative determination described in paragraph (1)(A) is published in the **Federal Register** under section 202(f)(3); or
- (B) notice of an affirmative determination described in subparagraph (1) is published in the **Federal Register**; and
- (3) the workers have become totally or partially separated from the workers' firm within—
- (A) the 1-year period described in paragraph (2); or
- (B) notwithstanding section 223(b)(1), the 1-year period preceding the 1-year period described in paragraph (2).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
82,496		Miamisburg, OH	February 22, 2012.
82,496A		Duluth, MN	February 22, 2012.
82,496B		Stevens Point, WI	February 22, 2012.
82,496C	Staffing.	Wisconsin Rapids, WI	February 22, 2012.
82,496D	Luke Paper Company, NewPage Corporation, Select Staffing	Luke, MD	February 22, 2012.
82,496E	Rumford Paper Company, NewPage Corporation, Select Staffing	Rumford, ME	February 22, 2012.
82,496F	NewPage Wisconsin Systems, Inc., NewPage Corporation	Biron, WI	February 22, 2012.
82,496G	WickliffePaper Company, Inc., NewPage Corporation, Select Staffing.	Wickliffe, KY	February 22, 2012.
82,496H	Escanaba Paper Company, NewPage Corporation	Escanaba, MI	February 22, 2012.
82,192	NAVTEQ North America, LLC	Chicago, IL	November 15, 2011.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production or

services) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
82,346	Whirlpool Corporation, Aerotek/Tek Systems (Subcontractor of IBM Corporation).	Fort Smith, AR	October 7, 2012.
82,455	First Advantage Corporation, Tapfin, Staffworks, Aerotek Professional Services, Randstad, etc.	St. Petersburg, FL	February 11, 2012.
82,560	Velux America, Inc., TVC Holdings, Inc	Greenwood, SC	March 13, 2012.
82,571	LexisNexis/Matthew Bender, A Reed Elsevier, Not Including Customer Service and Fulfillment Depts.	Albany, NY	March 18, 2012.
82,593	Matheson Tri-Gas, Inc., Taiyo Nippon Sanso, Electronics Division, Aerotek, Apple One, etc.	Newark, CA	March 14, 2012.
82,610	Cooper Bussmann LLC, Cooper Industries, Inc., Accounts Receivable and Credit Group.	Ellisville, MO	March 20, 2012.
82,631	Humana Insurance Company, Carenetwork, Inc., ASO Finance Group.	De Pere, WI	April 4, 2012.
82,658	SunTrust Bank, Enterprise Information Services, MDI Group, Teksystems, Insight Global.	Richmond, VA	April 12, 2012.
82,665	William Arthur, Inc., Manpower	West Kennebunk, ME	April 17, 2012.
82,682	Aclara Technologies LLC, Esco Technologies, Integrity Staffing, Manpower, etc.	Solon, OH	April 22, 2012.
82,692	ADP Workscape, Inc., ADP Inc., Aerotek	Meridian, ID	April 24, 2012.
82,693	Dresser Masoneilan Massachusetts Operation, An Effiliate of General Electric.	Avon, MA	November 20, 2012.
82,699	Medline Industries, Inc	Clearwater, FL	October 23, 2012.
82,701	Pfizer, Inc., Surveillance Testing Group Pfizer Global Supply, Makro Technologies, etc.	Groton, CT	May 1, 2012.
82,702	Electrolux Home Care Products, Inc., Electrolux Major Appliances, Electrolux North America, Inc.	Webster City, IA	February 16, 2013.
82,702A	Leased Workers From Cornerstone, Electrolux Home Care Products, Inc.	Webster City, IA	April 29, 2012.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(c) (supplier to a firm whose workers

are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
82,621	Lionbridge Technologies, Hewlett Packard Image Printer Group, Hewlett-Packard Company.	Vancouver, MA	March 15, 2012.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility

criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under paragraphs (a)(2)(A)

(increased imports) and (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country) of section 222 have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
82,241	Alcoa Automotive, Indiana Assembly & Fabricating Center, Inc., IQ Navigator, Inc.	Auburn, IN.	
82,258	Premier Silica LLC	Glenford, OH.	
82,381	BorgWarner Morse TEC, including On-Site Leased Workers from Manpower.	Cortland, NY.	
82,381A	BorgWarner Morse TEC, 800 Warren Road	Ithaca, NY.	
82,381B	BorgWarner Morse TEC, 780 Warren Road	Ithaca, NY.	
82,466	Cinetech, Deluxe Laboratories, Inc., UI Wages Reported through Deluxe Media Services.	Valencia, CA.	
82,592	JP Morgan Chase and Company, Community and Consumer Banking Division, Centralized Transaction Operations.	Los Angeles, CA.	

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and

on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 USC 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions. The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
	U.S. Textile Corporation	Newland, NC. Portland, OR.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued in cases where these petitions were not filed in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 90.11. Every petition filed by workers must be signed

by at least three individuals of the petitioning worker group. Petitioners separated more than one year prior to the date of the petition cannot be covered under a certification of a petition under Section 223(b), and

therefore, may not be part of a petitioning worker group. For one or more of these reasons, these petitions were deemed invalid.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
82,714	Kim Lighting, Hubbell Lighting, Inc	Ontario, CA.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued

because the petitions are the subject of ongoing investigations under petitions filed earlier covering the same petitioners.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
82,521	NewPage Wisconsin Systems, Inc., Newpage Corporation	Duluth, MN.	

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of May 6, 2013 through May 10, 2013. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888–365–6822.

Dated: May 16, 2013.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013–12733 Filed 5–29–13; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of *May 13, 2013 through May 17, 2013.*

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and

- (3) One of the following must be satisfied:
- (A) imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
- (B) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;
- (C) imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased:
- (D) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and
- (4) the increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; or
- II. Section 222(a)(2)(B) all of the following must be satisfied:

- (1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
- (2) One of the following must be satisfied:
- (A) there has been a shift by the workers' firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers' firm;
- (B) there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers' firm; and
- (3) the shift/acquisition contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in public agencies and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in the public agency have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the public agency has acquired from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with services which are supplied by such agency; and

(3) the acquisition of services contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.