

resubmission requirement, we estimate that approximately half of the third party conformity assessment bodies would be required to resubmit in any one year. As of March 2013, 409 third party conformity assessment bodies have been accepted by CPSC for testing. Approximately half (204) of these firms would be expected to resubmit with CPSC in any given year.

- Under the final rule, 16 CFR part 1112, third party conformity assessment bodies are required to keep the information submitted on CPSC Form 223 up to date and submit a new CPSC Form 223 whenever the information on the CPSC Form 223 changes. Based on current experience with third party conformity assessment bodies, CPSC staff estimates that third party conformity assessment bodies will make no more than three revisions per year to update applicable Form 223 information. A “change in information” is a change that does not require review of laboratory accreditation documents, such as scope or test methods. Examples of revised information include: changes in the Web site URL; changes in the name of the laboratory; and a change of the point of contact.

The total burden is estimated at 259.75 hours, which is rounded up to 260 hours. CPSC staff estimates that hourly compensation for the time required for recordkeeping is \$27.12 per hour (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, “Employer Costs for Employee Compensation,” Table 9, total compensation for sales, office, and related workers in goods-producing industries: <http://www.bls.gov/ncs>). The total cost burden to the respondents is approximately \$7,052 (\$27.12 × 260 hours = \$7,051.20).

Dated: July 1, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–16121 Filed 7–3–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC 2009–0073]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act; Compliance Form

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In the *Federal Register* of April 19, 2013 (76 FR 23546), the

Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) published a notice in accordance with provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), to announce the CPSC’s intention to seek extension of an approval regarding a form used to verify whether pools and spas are in compliance with the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act.

No comments were received in response to that notice. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), a request for extension of approval of those collections of information, without change.

DATES: Fax comments to OMB not later than August 5, 2013.

ADDRESSES: OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: CPSC Desk Officer, FAX: 202–395–6974, or emailed to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified by Docket No. CPSC–2009–0073. In addition, written comments also should be submitted at: <http://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No. CPSC–2009–0073, or by mail/hand delivery/courier (for paper, disk, or CD–ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923. For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: rsquibb@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Renewal of Approval of Collections of Information. Based on previous experience, CPSC staff estimates completion of approximately 97 pool inspections per year under the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act. Investigators typically interview pool owners or operators or staff at the time of the inspection. In addition, investigators collect drain cover and sump certification documents as part of the pool inspection. Inspection of a pool or spa facility under the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act generally requires approximately three hours. The annual total testing burden hours for such

inspections thus are estimated at 291 (97 inspections × 3 hours per inspection). We estimate that hourly compensation for the time required for testing is \$61.06 (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, “Employer Costs for Employee Compensation,” December 2012, Table 9, total compensation for management, professional, and related workers in goods-producing industries: <http://www.bls.gov/ncs>). Accordingly, we estimate the annual cost to be \$17,768 (\$61.06 × 291).

Dated: July 1, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–16122 Filed 7–3–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC 2009–0066]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Recordkeeping Requirements Under the Safety Standard for Infant Walkers

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In the *Federal Register* of April 19, 2013 (76 FR 23544), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) published a notice in accordance with provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), to announce the CPSC’s intention to seek extension of an approval of information collection for the recordkeeping requirements in the safety standard for infant walkers, 16 CFR part 1216.

No comments were received in response to that notice. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for extension of approval of those collections of information, without change.

DATES: Fax comments to OMB not later than August 5, 2013.

ADDRESSES: OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: CPSC Desk Officer, FAX: 202–395–6974, or emailed to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified by Docket No. CPSC–2009–0066. In addition, written comments also should be submitted at: <http://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No.

CPSC–2009–0066, or by mail/hand delivery/courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923. For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: rsquibb@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Request for Renewal of Approval of Collections of Information. Section 9.1 of ASTM F977–07 (which has been incorporated by reference in the safety standard for infant walkers, 16 CFR part 1216) requires infant walkers to be provided with easy-to-read instructions regarding assembly, maintenance, cleaning, and use.

There are 16 known firms supplying infant walkers to the U.S. market. All 16 firms are assumed to use labels already on both their products and their packaging, but they might need to make some modifications to their existing labels as a result of the mandatory rule. The estimated time required to make these modifications is about one hour per model. Each of these firms supplies an average of four different models of infant walkers; therefore, the estimated burden hours associated with labels is 1 hour × 16 firms × 4 models per firm = 64 annual hours.

Section 9.1 of ASTM F977–07 requires instructions to be supplied with the product. Supplying instructions with infant walkers is a usual and customary practice, as these products generally require some assembly, often necessitating instruction. There are no burden hours associated with the instruction requirement in section 9.1 because any burden associated with supplying instructions with infant walkers would be “usual and customary” and not within the definition of “burden” under OMB’s regulations.

CPSC staff estimates that hourly compensation for the time required to create and update labels is \$27.12 (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, “Employer Costs for Employee Compensation,” December 2012, Table 9, total compensation for all sales and office workers in goods-producing private industries: <http://www.bls.gov/ncs/>). Therefore, the estimated annual cost associated with the proposed

requirements is \$1,736 (\$27.12 per hour × 64 hours = \$1,736).

Dated: July 1, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–16120 Filed 7–3–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 12–1, CPSC Docket No. 12–2 and CPSC Docket No. 13–2]

Notice of Telephonic Prehearing Conference

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice of telephonic prehearing conference for the consolidated case: In the Matter of MAXFIELD AND OBERTON HOLDINGS, LLC; CRAIG ZUCKER, individually and as officer of MAXFIELD AND OBERTON HOLDINGS, LLC; ZEN MAGNETS, LLC; and STAR NETWORKS USA, LLC; CPSC Docket No. 12–1; CPSC Docket No. 12–2; and CPSC Docket No. 13–2.

DATES: July 29, 2013, 12:00 p.m. Mountain/1:00 p.m. Central/2:00 p.m. Eastern.

ADDRESSES: Members of the public are welcome to attend the prehearing conference at the Courtroom of Hon. Dean C. Metry at 601 25th Street, 5th Floor Courtroom, Galveston, Texas 77550.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jan Emig, Paralegal Specialist, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Program, (409) 765–1300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Any or all of the following shall be considered during the prehearing conference:

- (1) Petitions for leave to intervene;
- (2) Motions, including motions for consolidation of proceedings and for certification of class actions;
- (3) Identification, simplification and clarification of the issues;
- (4) Necessity or desirability of amending the pleadings;
- (5) Stipulations and admissions of fact and of the content and authenticity of documents;
- (6) Oppositions to notices of depositions;
- (7) Motions for protective orders to limit or modify discovery;
- (8) Issuance of subpoenas to compel the appearance of witnesses and the production of documents;

(9) Limitation of the number of witnesses, particularly to avoid duplicate expert witnesses;

(10) Matters of which official notice should be taken and matters which may be resolved by reliance upon the laws administered by the Commission or upon the Commission’s substantive standards, regulations, and consumer product safety rules;

(11) Disclosure of the names of witnesses and of documents or other physical exhibits which are intended to be introduced into evidence;

(12) Consideration of offers of settlement;

(13) Establishment of a schedule for the exchange of final witness lists, prepared testimony and documents, and for the date, time and place of the hearing, with due regard to the convenience of the parties; and

(14) Such other matters as may aid in the efficient presentation or disposition of the proceedings.

Telephonic conferencing arrangements to contact the parties will be made by the court. Mary B. Murphy, Esq. and Jennifer Argabright, Esq., Counsel for the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, shall be contacted by a third party conferencing center at (301) 504–7809. David C. Japha, Esq., Counsel for ZEN MAGNETS, LLC and STAR NETWORKS USA, LLC shall be contacted by a third party conferencing center at (303) 964–9500. John R. Fleder, Esq., Counsel for CRAIG ZUCKER, shall be contacted by a third party conferencing center at (202) 737–4580. Erika Z. Jones, Esq., Counsel for CRAIG ZUCKER, shall be contacted by a third party conferencing center at (202) 263–3232.

Authority: Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2064.

Dated: June 28, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–16119 Filed 7–3–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Amendment of the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee

AGENCY: DoD.

ACTION: Amendment of Federal Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C. Appendix), the Government in the Sunshine Act of