information necessary for one complete "safe harbor" correction is \$53.40. Adding in a postage cost of \$0.46 (one report at a cost of 46 cents to mail each one), we estimate that it will cost \$53.86 a year for persons to prepare and submit the information necessary to satisfy the safe harbor provision of 49 U.S.C. 30170.

Since nothing in this rule would require those persons who submit reports pursuant to this rule to keep copies of any records or reports submitted to us, the cost imposed to keep records would be zero hours and zero costs.

Number of Respondents: We estimate that there will be no more than one per year.

Summary of the Collection of Information: Any person seeking protection from criminal liability under 49 U.S.C. 30170 related to an improper report or failure to report pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30166, or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, is and will be required to report the following information to NHTSA: (1) Each previous improper item of information or document and each failure to report that was required under 49 U.S.C. 30166, or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, (2) the specific predicate under which each improper or omitted report should have been provided, and (3) the complete and correct reports, including all information that was improperly submitted or that should have been submitted and all relevant documents that were not previously submitted to NHTSA or, if the person cannot provide this, then a full detailed description of that information or of the content of those documents and the reason why the individual cannot provide them to NHTSA.

ADDRESSES: Send comments, within 30 days, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention NHTSA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

A Comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

Issued in Washington, DC under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.95.

#### O. Kevin Vincent,

Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2013-17679 Filed 7-23-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2013-0034]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision that Nonconforming 2004 BMW 760I Passenger Cars are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that nonconforming 2004 BMW 760I passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S.-certified version of the 2004 BMW 760I passenger car) and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATE:** The closing date for comments on the petition is August 23, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- Fax: 202–493–2251.

  Instructions: Comments must be written in the English language, and be

no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

How to Read Comments submitted to the Docket: You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register** 

Ü.S. Specs of Havre de Grace,
Maryland (Registered Importer R-03321) has petitioned NHTSA to decide
whether nonconforming 2004 BMW
760I passenger cars are eligible for
importation into the United States. The
vehicles which U.S. Specs believes are
substantially similar are 2004 BMW
760I passenger cars that were
manufactured for sale in the United
States and certified by their
manufacturer as conforming to all
applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it compared non-U.S. certified 2004 BMW 760I passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.

U.S. Specs submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2004 BMW 760I passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards. Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2004 BMW 760I passenger cars are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect, 103 Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch System, 116 Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 135 Light Vehicle Brake Systems, 202 Head Restraints, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 207 Seating Systems, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 212 Windshield Mounting, 214 Side Impact Protection, 216 Roof Crush Resistance. 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* Recalibration of the

speedometer to read in MPH instead of KPH if the speedometer is not already so calibrated; inscription of the word "BRAKE" on the brake failure indicator in place of the ECE warning symbol, if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: Replacement of the headlamps, side marker lamps, and tail lamps with U.S.-model components; installation of a U.S.-model high-mounted stop lamp.

Standard No. 110 Tire Selection and Rims for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) or Less: Installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: Replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of that mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection* and *Rollaway Prevention:* Installation of a warning buzzer if the vehicle is not already so equipped or reprogramming the buzzer to comply with the standard.

Standard No. 118 Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems: Inspection of each vehicle to verify compliance with the standard and reprogramming and/or rewiring the system to meet the standard if it does not already comply.

Standard No. 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact: Inspection of components subject to this standard and replacement as necessary with U.S.model components.

Standard No. 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components: Inspection of door lock and retention components and installation of U.S.-model components if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: Installation of a seat belt warning lamp and audible buzzer if the vehicle is not already so equipped; inspection of vehicle to ensure that airbags, control unit, sensors, seatbelts, and knee bolsters bearing U.S.-model part numbers have been installed.

Standard No. 209 Seat Belt Assemblies: Inspection of all seat belts and replacement with U.S.-model components if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 225 *Child Restraint Anchorage Systems:* Installation of the U.S.-model restraint anchorage system if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 301 Fuel System Integrity: Replacement of fuel system components subject to this standard with U.S.-model components if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 401 *Interior Trunk Release:* Installation of a compliant interior trunk release system.

The petitioner states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post if not already present to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Issued on: July 18, 2013.

#### Claude H. Harris,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2013–17787 Filed 7–23–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Research and Innovative Technology Administration

## Agency Information Collection; Activity Under OMB Review; Confidential Close Call Reporting System

**AGENCY:** Research & Innovative Technology Administration (RITA), Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below is being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval for renewal of information collection supporting a multi-year research study that aims at improving rail safety by analyzing information on close calls and other unsafe occurrences in the rail industry. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection. The Federal Register notice with a 60day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on May 10, 2013 (78 FR 27479) and the comment period ended on July 10, 2013. The 60day notice produced no comments. DATES: Written comments should be submitted by August 23, 2013.